



State of Utah

GARY R. HERBERT
Governor

SPENCER J. COX
Lieutenant Governor

Department of
Environmental Quality

L. Scott Baird
Executive Director

DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY
Erica Brown Gaddis, PhD
Director

March 6, 2020

CERTIFIED MAIL
(Return Receipt Requested)

Lance Wood, District Manager
Central Weber Sewer Improvement District
2618 West Pioneer Road
Ogden, UT 84404
Via Email

Subject: UPDES Permit No. UT0021911
Central Weber Sewer Improvement District

Dear Mr. Wood:

Enclosed is a signed copy of the UPDES Permit No. UT0021911, as well as the updated Fact Sheet Statement of Basis, and the Waste Load Analysis for the facility referenced above. The 30-day public notice period ended February 21, 2020 with no comments received. Therefore the permit has been re-issued as drafted and is effective March 1, 2020, subject to the right to appeal in accordance with the provisions of *Utah Administrative Code, Section R317-9*.

As the State agency charged with the administration of issuing UPDES Permits, we are continuously looking for ways to improve our quality of service to you. In an effort to improve the State UPDES permitting process we are asking for your input. Please take a few moments to complete an online survey (Go to <https://deq.utah.gov/division-water-quality> and click on the "Feedback" link on the right side of page.) The results will be used to improve our quality and responsiveness to our permittees and give us feedback on customer satisfaction. We will address the issues you have identified on an ongoing basis.

Thank you for your continued efforts regarding permit compliance and in helping to protect Utah's Water Quality. If you have any questions with regards to this matter, please contact Danielle Lenz at (801) 536-4318 or dlenz@utah.gov.

Sincerely,

Dan Hall, Acting Manager
UPDES Surface Water Section

DH/DL/blj

Page 2
UPDES Permit No. UT0021911
Central Weber Sewer Improvement District

Enclosures (3): 1. Permit (DWQ-2019-015564)
2. FSSOB (DWQ-2019-015562)
3. Waste Load Analysis (DWQ-2019-014506)

Cc: Via Email w/Enclosures

Kevin Hall, Assistant District Manager
Amy Clark, EPA Region VIII
Summer Day, Weber-Morgan Health Department
Chris Cline, PhD, US Fish & Wildlife Services

Via Email w/out Enclosures
Mike Fowlks, Utah Division of Wildlife Resources
Jason Gipson, Chief, Utah Regulatory Office, US Corps of Engineers
Chris Merritt, State Historic Preservation Office

DWQ-2020-005073
FILE: UPDES Section 1 & 3

STATE OF UTAH
DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH

UTAH POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (UPDES) PERMITS

Major Municipal Permit No. **UT0021911**
Biosolids Permit No. **UTL-021911**

In compliance with provisions of the *Utah Water Quality Act, Title 19, Chapter 5, Utah Code Annotated ("UCA") 1953, as amended (the "Act")*,

CENTRAL WEBER SEWER IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT

is hereby authorized to discharge from its wastewater treatment facility to receiving waters named

WARREN CANAL and/or WEBER RIVER,

to dispose of biosolids,

and to discharge storm water,

in accordance with specific limitations, outfalls, and other conditions set forth herein.

This permit shall become effective on March 6, 2020

This permit expires at midnight on March 5, 2025.

Signed this 6th day of March, 2020.



Erica Brown Gaddis, PhD
Director

DWQ-2019-015564

Table of Contents

Outline	Page Number
I. DISCHARGE LIMITATIONS AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.....	1
A. Description of Discharge Points	1
B. Narrative Standard	1
C. Specific Limitations and Self-Monitoring Requirements	1
D. Reporting of Monitoring Results	9
II. INDUSTRIAL PRETREATMENT PROGRAM.....	10
A. Pretreatment Program Delegation.....	10
B. Program Updates.....	11
C. Annual Report.....	11
D. General and Specific Prohibitions	11
E. Categorical Standards.....	12
F. Enforcement Notice.....	12
G. Formal Action.....	12
H. Self-Monitoring and Reporting Requirements	12
A. Pretreatment Reporting Requirements.....	14
III. BIOSOLIDS REQUIREMENTS.....	15
A. Biosolids Treatment and Disposal	15
B. Specific Limitations and Monitoring Requirements	16
C. Management Practices of Biosolids.....	18
D. Special Conditions on Biosolids Storage.....	21
E. Representative Sampling.....	21
F. Reporting of Monitoring Results.....	21
G. Additional Record Keeping Requirements Specific to Biosolids.....	21
IV. STORM WATER REQUIREMENTS.....	23
A. Coverage of This Section.....	23
B. Prohibition of Non-Storm Water Discharges.....	23
C. Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan Requirements.....	23
D. Monitoring and Reporting Requirements	28
V. MONITORING, RECORDING & GENERAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.....	30
A. Representative Sampling	30
B. Monitoring Procedures.....	30
C. Penalties for Tampering.....	30
D. Compliance Schedules.....	30
E. Additional Monitoring by the Permittee	30
F. Records Contents.....	30
G. Retention of Records	30
H. Twenty-four Hour Notice of Noncompliance Reporting.....	30
I. Other Noncompliance Reporting.....	31
J. Inspection and Entry	31
VI. COMPLIANCE RESPONSIBILITIES	33
A. Duty to Comply	33
B. Penalties for Violations of Permit Conditions	33
C. Need to Halt or Reduce Activity not a Defense.....	33
D. Duty to Mitigate.....	33
E. Proper Operation and Maintenance.....	33
F. Removed Substances.....	33
G. Bypass of Treatment Facilities	33
H. Upset Conditions	35
VII. GENERAL REQUIREMENTS.....	36

DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
BIOSOLIDS PERMIT NO. UTL-021911
STORM WATER PERMIT NO. UT0021911

A. Planned Changes.....	36
B. Anticipated Noncompliance.....	36
C. Permit Actions	36
D. Duty to Reapply	36
E. Duty to Provide Information	36
F. Other Information.....	36
G. Signatory Requirements.....	36
H. Penalties for Falsification of Reports.....	37
I. Availability of Reports	37
J. Oil and Hazardous Substance Liability.....	37
K. Property Rights	37
L. Severability	37
M. Transfers.....	38
N. State or Federal Laws	38
O. Water Quality - Reopener Provision.....	38
P. Biosolids – Reopener Provision	38
Q. Toxicity Limitation - Reopener Provision	38
R. Storm Water-Reopener Provision	39
VIII. DEFINITIONS	40
A. Wastewater	40
B. Biosolids	41
C. Storm Water	43

PART I
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
WASTEWATER

I. DISCHARGE LIMITATIONS AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

- A. Description of Discharge Points. The authorization to discharge wastewater provided under this part is limited to those outfalls specifically designated below as discharge locations. Discharges at any location not authorized under a UPDES permit are violations of the *Act* and may be subject to penalties under the *Act*. Knowingly discharging from an unauthorized location or failing to report an unauthorized discharge may be subject to criminal penalties as provided under the *Act*.

<u>Outfall Number(s)</u>	<u>Location of Discharge Outfall(s)</u>
001	Located at latitude 41°16'18" and longitude 112°02'48". Discharge flows through a 108 inch pipe into the Weber River and finally the Great Salt Lake.
002	Located at latitude 41°16'18" and longitude 112°02'49". Discharge flows through a 72 inch pipe into the Warren Canal, then to the Weber River and finally the Great Salt Lake.

- B. Narrative Standard. It shall be unlawful, and a violation of this permit, for the permittee to discharge or place any waste or other substance in such a way as will be or may become offensive such as unnatural deposits, floating debris, oil, scum, or other nuisances such as color, odor or taste, or cause conditions which produce undesirable aquatic life or which produce objectionable tastes in edible aquatic organisms; or result in concentrations or combinations of substances which produce undesirable physiological responses in desirable resident fish, or other desirable aquatic life, or undesirable human health effects, as determined by a bioassay or other tests performed in accordance with standard procedures.

C. Specific Limitations and Self-Monitoring Requirements.

1. Effective immediately, and lasting through the life of this permit, there shall be no acute and/or chronic toxicity in Outfall(s) 001 and 002 as defined in *Part VIII*, and determined by test procedures described in *Part I. C.3.a & b* of this permit.
2.
 - a. Effective immediately and lasting the duration of this permit, the permittee is authorized to discharge from Outfall 001 and 002. Such discharges shall be limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below.
 - b. Central Weber Sewer Improvement District (CWSID)'s wastewater treatment facility will discharge using one of the following mutually exclusive scenarios:

Scenario	Outfall	Description	Flow, MGD
1	001	Discharge from 108 inch pipe to the Weber River	49
2	002	72 inch pipe to the Weber River via Warren Canal	49
3	001 002	24.5 MGD from the 001 pipe to the Weber River, and 45 MGD from the 002 pipe to the Warren Canal	69.5

PART I
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
WASTEWATER

Limits for Scenario 1:

	Outfall 001				
Parameter	Effluent Limitations *a				
	Maximum Monthly Avg	Maximum Weekly Avg	Yearly Average	Daily Minimum	Daily Maximum
Total Flow, MGD	49	--	--	--	--
BOD ₅ , mg/L	25	35	--	--	--
TSS, mg/L	25	35	--	--	--
Dissolved Oxygen, mg/L	--	--	--	5.0	--
Total Ammonia (as N), mg/L					
Summer (Jul-Sep)	3.88	--	--	--	19.24
Fall (Oct-Dec)	4.93	--	--	--	18.11
Winter (Jan-Mar)	5.14	--	--	--	20.98
Spring (Apr-Jun)	5.78	--	--	--	23.72
Interim Total Phosphorous, mg/L (Effective Jan 1, 2020 – Dec 31, 2024)*e	--	--	1.5	--	--
Final Total Phosphorous, mg/L (Effective Jan 1, 2025)	--	--	1.0	--	--
TRC, mg/L					
Summer (Jul-Sep)	0.014	--	--	--	0.025
Fall (Oct-Dec)	0.019	--	--	--	0.033
Winter (Jan-Mar)	0.016	--	--	--	0.027
Spring (Apr-Jun)	0.018	--	--	--	0.031
<i>E. coli</i> , No./100mL	126	157	--	--	--
Oil & Grease, mg/L	--	--	--	--	10.0
WET, Chronic Biomonitoring *h	--	--	--	--	IC ₂₅ > 73.3% effluent
pH, Standard Units	--	--	--	6.5	9

Limits for Scenario 2:

	Outfall 002				
Parameter	Effluent Limitations *a				
	Maximum Monthly Avg	Maximum Weekly Avg	Yearly Average	Daily Minimum	Daily Maximum
Total Flow, MGD	49	--	--	--	--
BOD ₅ , mg/L	25	35	--	--	--
TSS, mg/L	25	35	--	--	--
Dissolved Oxygen, mg/L	--	--	--	5.0	--
Total Ammonia (as N), mg/L					
Summer (Jul-Sep)	8.22	--	--	--	34.64
Fall (Oct-Dec)	7.30	--	--	--	25.90
Winter (Jan-Mar)	9.27	--	--	--	36.06
Spring (Apr-Jun)	8.84	--	--	--	34.85

PART I
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
WASTEWATER

Interim Total Phosphorous, mg/L (Effective Jan 1, 2020 – Dec 31, 2024)*e	--	--	1.5	--	--
Final Total Phosphorous, mg/L (Effective Jan 1, 2025)	--	--	1.0	--	--
TRC, mg/L					
Summer (Jul-Sep)	2.032	--	--	--	3.511
Fall (Oct-Dec)	0.223	--	--	--	0.386
Winter (Jan-Mar)	0.595	--	--	--	1.027
Spring (Apr-Jun)	0.208	--	--	--	0.360
<i>E. coli</i> , No./100mL	126	157	--	--	--
Oil & Grease, mg/L	--	--	--	--	10.0
Aluminum, mg/L					
Summer (Jul-Sep)	--	--	--	--	1.19
Fall (Oct-Dec)	--	--	--	--	1.51
Winter (Jan-Mar)	--	--	--	--	1.28
Spring (Apr-Jun)	--	--	--	--	1.43
WET, Chronic Biomonitoring *h	--	--	--	--	IC ₂₅ > 73.3% effluent
pH, Standard Units	--	--	--	6.5	9

Limits for Scenario 3:

Parameter	Outfall 001 and Outfall 002				
	Effluent Limitations *a				
	Maximum Monthly Avg	Maximum Weekly Avg	Yearly Average	Daily Minimum	Daily Maximum
Total Flow, MGD					
Outfall 001	24.5	--	--	--	--
Outfall 002	45	--	--	--	--
BOD ₅ , mg/L	25	35	--	--	--
TSS, mg/L	25	35	--	--	--
Dissolved Oxygen, mg/L	--	--	--	5.0	--
Total Ammonia (as N), mg/L					
Summer (Jul-Sep)	3.11	--	--	--	11.55
Fall (Oct-Dec)	3.05	--	--	--	9.29
Winter (Jan-Mar)	4.36	--	--	--	14.02
Spring (Apr-Jun)	4.36	--	--	--	14.39
Interim Total Phosphorous, mg/L (Effective Jan 1, 2020 – Dec 31, 2024)*e	--	--	1.5	--	--
Final Total Phosphorous, mg/L (Effective Jan 1, 2025)	--	--	1.0	--	--

PART I
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
WASTEWATER

TRC, mg/L					
Summer (Jul-Sep)	0.017	--	--	--	0.030
Fall (Oct-Dec)	0.027	--	--	--	0.046
Winter (Jan-Mar)	0.020	--	--	--	0.035
Spring (Apr-Jun)	0.024	--	--	--	0.042
<i>E. coli</i> , No./100mL	126	157	--	--	--
Oil & Grease, mg/L	--	--	--	--	10.0
Aluminum, mg/L					
Summer (Jul-Sep)	--	--	--	--	1.05
Fall (Oct-Dec)	--	--	--	--	1.26
Winter (Jan-Mar)	--	--	--	--	1.11
Spring (Apr-Jun)	--	--	--	--	1.20
WET, Chronic Biomonitoring *h	--	--	--	--	IC ₂₅ > 82.9% effluent
pH, Standard Units	--	--	--	6.5	9

The Chronic WET limit dilution percentages for each scenario are shown below. For additional information regarding WET see Part I of the permit or the Biomonitoring Requirements section of this document.

Scenario	Percent Effluent
1	73.3
2	73.3
3	82.9

Self-Monitoring and Reporting Requirements *a			
Parameter	Frequency	Sample Type	Units
Total Flow *b, *c	Continuous	Recorder	MGD
BOD ₅ , Influent *d	Daily	Composite	mg/L
Effluent	Daily	Composite	mg/L
TSS, Influent *d	Daily	Composite	mg/L
Effluent	Daily	Composite	mg/L
<i>E. coli</i>	Daily	Grab	No./100mL
pH	Daily	Grab	SU
Total Ammonia (as N)	Daily	Composite	mg/L
DO	Daily	Grab	mg/L
WET – Biomonitoring *h	Quarterly		
Ceriodaphnia - Chronic	2 nd & 4 th Quarter	Composite	Pass/Fail
Fathead Minnows - Chronic	1 st & 3 rd Quarter	Composite	Pass/Fail
TRC, mg/L, *g	Daily	Grab	mg/L
Oil & Grease *f	When Sheen Observed	Grab	mg/L
Orthophosphate, (as P) *e			
Effluent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Phosphorus, Total *e			
Influent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Effluent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L

PART I
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
WASTEWATER

Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, TKN (as N) *e			
Influent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Effluent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Nitrate, NO3 *e	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Nitrite, NO2 *e	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Mercury	6 X Yearly	Grab	mg/L
Metals *i			
Influent	6 X Yearly	Composite	mg/L
Effluent	6 X Yearly	Composite	mg/L
Organic Toxics			
Influent	2 X Yearly	Grab	mg/L
Effluent	2 X Yearly	Grab	mg/L

- *a See Definitions, Part VIII, for definition of terms.
- *b Flow measurements of influent/effluent volume shall be made in such a manner that the permittee can affirmatively demonstrate that representative values are being obtained.
- *c If the rate of discharge is controlled, the rate and duration of discharge shall be reported.
- *d In addition to monitoring the final discharge, influent samples shall be taken and analyzed for this constituent at the same frequency as required for this constituent in the discharge.
- *e These reflect changes required with the adoption of UCA R317-1-3.3, Technology-based Phosphorus Effluent Limits (TBPEL) rule. CWSID has been granted a variance as to the compliance date to achieve TBPEL (DWQ-2018-004152). This variance does not extend beyond January 1, 2025. CWSID must comply with all TBPEL requirements by that date. Effective January 1, 2020, the Division of Water Quality (DWQ) will impose the following interim effluent limitation: total phosphorus annual average effluent limitation of 1.5 mg/L. Effective January 1, 2025 the total phosphorus annual average effluent limit will be 1.0 mg/L.
- *f Oil & Grease sampled when sheen is present or visible. If no sheen is present or visible, report NA.
- *g Total residual chlorine monitoring is done daily. This has changed from previous permit.
- *h The chronic ceriodaphnia will be tested during the 2nd and 4th quarters, and the chronic fathead minnows will be tested during the 1st and 3rd quarters.
- *i Metals results were reviewed for the last permit cycle. Only aluminum appeared to be close to the limits suggested in the Wasteload. No other limits are required at this time.

3. Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Testing.

a. *Whole Effluent Testing – Chronic Toxicity.*

Starting immediately, the permittee shall quarterly conduct chronic static renewal toxicity tests on a composite sample of the final effluent at Outfall(s) 001 and 002. The sample shall be collected at the point of compliance before mixing with the receiving water.

PART I
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
WASTEWATER

Three samples are required and samples shall be collected on Monday, Wednesday and Friday of each sampling period or collected on a two day progression for each sampling period. This may be changed with Director approval.

The chronic toxicity tests shall be conducted in general accordance with the procedures set out in the latest revision of *Short-Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Water to Marine and Estuarine Organisms, Third Edition*, October 2002 EPA-821-R-02-014 [select one for Great Salt Lake Facilities as per 40 CFR 136.3(a) TABLE IA-LIST OF APPROVED BIOLOGICAL METHODS]. Test species shall consist of *Ceriodaphnia dubia* and *Pimephales promelas* (fathead minnow).

A multi dilution test consisting of at least five concentrations and a control is required at two dilutions below and two above the RWC, if possible. If test acceptability criteria are not met for control survival, growth, or reproduction, the test shall be considered invalid. A valid replacement test is required within the specified sampling period to remain in compliance with this permit. Chronic toxicity occurs when, during a chronic toxicity test, the 25% inhibition concentration (IC25) calculated on the basis of test organism survival and growth or survival and reproduction, is less than or equal to 73.3% (Scenario 1 and 2) or 82.9% (Scenario 3) effluent concentration (equivalent to the RWC). If a sample is found to be chronically toxic during a routine test, the monitoring frequency shall become biweekly (see *Part I.C.4.b* Accelerated Testing). (the Director may enter acceptable variations in the test procedure here as documented in the Fact Sheet Statement of Basis and based on the test acceptability criteria as contained in Utah Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (UPDES) Permitting and Enforcement Guidance Document for Whole Effluent Toxicity Control February, 2018). If possible, dilution water should be obtained from the receiving stream.

If the permit contains a total residual chlorine limitation such that it may interfere with WET testing (>0.20 mg/L), the permittee may dechlorinate the sample in accordance with the standard method. If dechlorination is negatively affecting the test, the permittee may collect the sample just before chlorination with Director approval.

Quarterly test results shall be reported along with the Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) submitted for the end of the required reporting period (e.g., biomonitoring results for the calendar quarter ending March 31 shall be reported with the DMR due April 28, with the remaining biomonitoring reports submitted with DMRs due each July 28, October 28, and January 28). Monthly test results shall be reported along with the DMR submitted for that month. The format for the report shall be consistent with Appendix C of "Utah Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (UPDES) Permitting and Enforcement Guidance Document for Whole Effluent Toxicity, Utah Division of Water Quality, February, 2018.

If the results for ten consecutive tests indicate no chronic toxicity, the permittee may submit a request to the Director to allow a reduction in chronic toxicity testing by alternating species, or using only the most sensitive species. The permit issuing authority may approve or deny the request based on the results and other available information without public notice. If the request is approved, the test procedures are to be the same as specified above for the test species. Under no circumstances shall monitoring for WET at major facilities be reduced less than quarterly. Minor facilities may be less than quarterly at the discretion of the Director.

PART I
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
WASTEWATER

- b. *Accelerated Testing.* When whole effluent toxicity is indicated during routine WET testing as specified in this permit, the permittee shall notify the Director in writing within 5 days after becoming aware of the test result. The permittee shall perform an accelerated schedule of WET testing to establish whether a pattern of toxicity exists unless the permittee notifies the Director and commences a PTI, TIE, or a TRE. Accelerated testing or the PTI, TIE, or TRE will begin within fourteen days after the permittee becomes aware of the test result. Accelerated testing shall be conducted as specified under Part I. Pattern of Toxicity. If the accelerated testing demonstrates no pattern of toxicity, routine monitoring shall be resumed.
- c. *Pattern of Toxicity.* A pattern of toxicity is defined by the results of a series of up to five biomonitoring tests pursuant to the accelerated testing requirements using a full set of dilutions for acute (five plus the control) and five effluent dilutions for chronic (five plus the control), on the species found to be more sensitive, once every week for up to five consecutive weeks for acute and once every two weeks up to ten consecutive weeks for chronic.

If two (2) consecutive tests (not including the scheduled test which triggered the search for a pattern of toxicity) do not result in an exceedance of the acute or chronic toxicity criteria, no further accelerated testing will be required and no pattern of toxicity will be found to exist. The permittee will provide written verification to the Director within 5 days of determining no pattern of toxicity exists, and resume routine monitoring.

A pattern of toxicity may or may not be established based on the following:

WET tests should be run at least weekly (acute) or every two weeks (chronic) (note that only one test should be run at a time), for up to 5 tests, until either:

- 1) 2 consecutive tests fail, or 3 out of 5 tests fail, at which point a pattern of toxicity will have been identified, or
- 2) 2 consecutive tests pass, or 3 out of 5 tests pass, in which case no pattern of toxicity is identified.

- d. *Preliminary Toxicity Investigation.*
- (1) When a pattern of toxicity is detected the permittee will notify the Director in writing within 5 days and begin an evaluation of the possible causes of the toxicity. The permittee will have 15 working days from demonstration of the pattern of toxicity to complete an optional Preliminary Toxicity Investigation (PTI) and submit a written report of the results to the Director. The PTI may include, but is not limited to: additional chemical and biological monitoring, examination of pretreatment program records, examination of discharge monitoring reports, a thorough review of the testing protocol, evaluation of treatment processes and chemical use, inspection of material storage and transfer areas to determine if any spill may have occurred.
 - (2) If the PTI identifies a probable toxicant and/or a probable source of toxicity, the permittee shall submit, as part of its final results, written notification of that effect to the Director. Within thirty days of completing the PTI the permittee shall submit to the Director for approval a control program to control effluent

PART I
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
WASTEWATER

toxicity and shall proceed to implement such plan in accordance with the Director's approval. The control program, as submitted to or revised by the Director, will be incorporated into the permit. After final implementation, the permittee must demonstrate successful removal of toxicity by passing a two species WET test as outlined in this permit. With adequate justification, the Director may extend these deadlines.

- (3) If no probable explanation for toxicity is identified in the PTI, the permittee shall notify the Director as part of its final report, along with a schedule for conducting a Phase I Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) (see *Part 1.4.e* Toxicity Reduction Evaluation).
 - (4) If toxicity spontaneously disappears during the PTI, the permittee shall submit written notification to that effect to the Director, with supporting testing evidence.
- e. *Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE)*. If a pattern of toxicity is detected the permittee shall initiate a TIE/TRE within 7 days unless the Director has accepted the decision to complete a PTI. With adequate justification, the Director may extend the 7-day deadline. The purpose of the TIE portion of a TRE will be to establish the cause of the toxicity, locate the source(s) of the toxicity, and the TRE will control or provide treatment for the toxicity.

A TRE may include but is not limited to one, all, or a combination of the following:

- (1) Phase I – Toxicity Characterization
- (2) Phase II – Toxicity Identification Procedures
- (3) Phase III – Toxicity Control Procedures
- (4) Any other appropriate procedures for toxicity source elimination and control.

If the TRE establishes that the toxicity cannot be immediately eliminated, the permittee shall submit a proposed compliance plan to the Director. The plan shall include the proposed approach to control toxicity and a proposed compliance schedule for achieving control. If the approach and schedule are acceptable to the Director, this permit may be reopened and modified.

If toxicity spontaneously disappears during the TIE/TRE, the permittee shall submit written notification to that effect to the Director.

If the TRE shows that the toxicity is caused by a toxicant(s) that may be controlled with specific numerical limitations, the permittee shall submit the following:

- (a) An alternative control program for compliance with the numerical requirements.
- (b) If necessary, as determined by the Director, provide a modified biomonitoring protocol which compensates for the pollutant(s) being controlled numerically.

PART I
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
WASTEWATER

This permit may be reopened and modified to incorporate any additional numerical limitations, a modified compliance schedule if judged necessary by the Director, and/or modified WET testing requirements without public notice.

Failure to conduct an adequate TIE/TRE plan or program as described above, or the submittal of a plan or program judged inadequate by the Director, shall be considered a violation of this permit. After implementation of TIE/TRE plan, the permittee must demonstrate successful removal of toxicity by passing a two species WET test as outlined in this permit.

D. Reporting of Monitoring Results.

1. Reporting of Wastewater Monitoring Results Monitoring results obtained during the previous month shall be summarized for each month and reported on a Discharge Monitoring Report Form (EPA No. 3320-1)* or by NetDMR, post-marked or entered into NetDMR no later than the 28th day of the month following the completed reporting period. If no discharge occurs during the reporting period, “no discharge” shall be reported. Legible copies of these, and all other reports including whole effluent toxicity (WET) test reports required herein, shall be signed and certified in accordance with the requirements of *Signatory Requirements (see Part VII.G)*, and submitted by NetDMR, or to the Division of Water Quality at the following address:

Department of Environmental Quality
Division of Water Quality
PO Box 144870
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-4870

* Starting January 1, 2017 monitoring results must be submitted using NetDMR unless the permittee has successfully petitioned for an exception.

II. INDUSTRIAL PRETREATMENT PROGRAM

- A. Pretreatment Program Delegation. The permittee has been delegated primary responsibility for enforcing against discharges prohibited by *40 CFR 403.5* and applying and enforcing any national Pretreatment Standards established by the United States Environmental Protection Agency in accordance with Section 307 (b) and (c) of *The Clean Water Act (CWA)*, as amended by *The Water Quality Act (WQA)*, of 1987.

The permittee shall implement the Industrial Pretreatment Program in accordance with the legal authorities, policies, and procedures described in the permittee's approved Pretreatment Program submission. Such program commits the permittee to do the following:

1. Carry out inspection, surveillance, and monitoring procedures, which will determine, independent of information supplied by the industrial user, whether the industrial user is in compliance with the pretreatment standards. At a minimum, all significant industrial users shall be inspected and sampled by the permittee at least once per year;
2. Control through permit, order, or similar means, the contribution to the POTW by each industrial user to ensure compliance with applicable pretreatment standards and requirements;
3. Require development, as necessary, of compliance schedules by each industrial user for the installation of control technologies to meet applicable pretreatment standards;
4. Maintain and update industrial user information as necessary, to ensure that all IUs are properly permitted and/or controlled at all times;
5. Enforce all applicable pretreatment standards and requirements and obtain appropriate remedies for noncompliance by any industrial user;
6. Annually publish a list of industrial users that were determined to be in significant noncompliance during the previous year. The notice must be published before March 28 of the following year;
7. Maintain an adequate revenue structure and staffing level for continued implementation of the Pretreatment Program.
8. Evaluate all significant industrial users at least once every two years to determine if they need to develop a slug prevention plan. If a slug prevention plan is required, the permittee shall insure that the plan contains at least the minimum elements required in *40 CFR 403.8(f)(2)(v)*;
9. Notify all significant industrial users of their obligation to comply with applicable requirements under *Subtitles C and D* of the *Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)*; and
10. Develop, implement, and maintain an enforcement response plan as required by *40 CFR 403.8(f)(5)* which shall, at a minimum,
 - a. Describe how the POTW will investigate instances of noncompliance;
 - b. Describe the types of escalating enforcement responses the POTW will take in response to all anticipated type of industrial user violations; and

PART II
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
PRETREATMENT

- c. Describe the time periods within which such responses will be taken and identify the POTW staff position(s) responsible for pursuing these actions.
11. Establish and enforce specific local limits as necessary to implement the provisions of the *40 CFR Parts 403.5(a) and (b)*, and as required by *40 CFR Part 403.5(c)*.
- B. Program Updates. The permittee is required to modify its pretreatment program, as necessary, to reflect changes in the regulations of *40 CFR 403*. Such modifications shall be completed within the time frame set forth by the applicable regulations. Modification of the approved pretreatment program must be done in accordance with the requirements of *40 CFR 403.18*. Modifications of the approved program which result in less stringent industrial user requirements shall not be effective until after approval has been granted by the Director.
- C. Annual Report. The permittee shall provide the Division of Water Quality and EPA with an annual report briefly describing the permittee's pretreatment program activities over the previous calendar year. Reports shall be submitted no later than March 28 of each year. These annual reports shall, at a minimum, include:
1. An updated listing of the permittee's industrial users.
 2. A descriptive summary of the compliance activities including numbers of any major enforcement actions, i.e., administrative orders, penalties, civil actions, etc.
 3. An assessment of the compliance status of the permittee's industrial users and the effectiveness of the permittee's Pretreatment Program in meeting its needs and objectives.
 4. A summary of all sampling data taken of the influent and effluent for those pollutants listed in *Part II.H*.
 5. A description of all substantive changes made to the permittee's pretreatment program referenced in *Section B* of this section. Substantive changes include, but are not limited to, any change in any ordinance, major modification in the program's administrative structure or operating agreement(s), a significant reduction in monitoring, or a change in the method of funding the program.
 6. Evaluate the current local limits and justify that the current local limits are sufficiently protective or need to be revised.
 7. Other information as may be determined necessary by the Director.
- D. General and Specific Prohibitions. Pretreatment standards (*40 CFR 403.5*) specifically prohibit the introduction of the following pollutants into the waste treatment system from any source of non-domestic discharge:
1. Pollutants which create a fire or explosion hazard in the publicly owned treatment works (POTW), including, but not limited to, wastestreams with a closed cup flashpoint of less than 140°F (60°C);
 2. Pollutants, which will cause corrosive structural damage to the POTW, but in no case, discharges with a pH lower than 5.0;

PART II
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
PRETREATMENT

3. Solid or viscous pollutants in amounts which will cause obstruction to the flow in the POTW resulting in interference;
 4. Any pollutant, including oxygen demanding pollutants (BOD, etc.), released in a discharge at such volume or strength as to cause interference in the POTW;
 5. Heat in amounts, which will inhibit biological activity in the POTW, resulting in interference, but in no case, heat in such quantities that the influent to the sewage treatment works exceeds 104°F (40°C);
 6. Petroleum oil, nonbiodegradable cutting oil, or products of mineral oil origin in amounts that will cause interference or pass through;
 7. Pollutants, which result in the presence of toxic gases, vapor, or fumes within the POTW in a quantity that may cause worker health or safety problems;
 8. Any trucked or hauled pollutants, except at discharge points designated by the POTW; or
 9. Any pollutant that causes pass through or interference at the POTW.
 10. Any specific pollutant which exceeds any local limitation established by the POTW in accordance with the requirement of *40 CFR 403.5(c)* and *40 CFR 403.5(d)*.
- E. Categorical Standards. In addition to the general and specific limitations expressed in *Part A and D* of this section, applicable National Categorical Pretreatment Standards must be met by all industrial users of the POTW. These standards are published in the federal regulations at *40 CFR 405 et. seq.*
- F. Enforcement Notice. *UCA 19-5-104* provides that the State may issue a notice to the POTW stating that a determination has been made that appropriate enforcement action must be taken against an industrial user for noncompliance with any pretreatment requirements within 30 days. The issuance of such notice shall not be construed to limit the authority of the Director.
- G. Formal Action. The Director retains the right to take legal action against any industrial user and/or POTW for those cases where a permit violation has occurred because of the failure of an industrial user to meet an applicable pretreatment standard.
- H. Self-Monitoring and Reporting Requirements.
1. Influent and Effluent Monitoring and Reporting Requirements. The permittee shall sample and analyze both the influent and effluent, for the parameters listed in the Monitoring for Pretreatment Program Table.

PART II
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
PRETREATMENT

Monitoring for Pretreatment Program Table				
Parameter	MDL a*	Sample Type	Frequency	Units
Total Arsenic	0.129	Composite	6 X Yearly	mg/L
Total Cadmium	0.0021			
Total Chromium	0.235			
Total Copper	0.0475			
Total Lead	0.0132			
Total Molybdenum	NA			
Total Nickel	0.146			
Total Selenium	0.0058			
Total Silver	0.0236			
Total Zinc	0.3326			
Total Cyanide	0.0067	Composite/Grab	2 X Yearly	
Total Mercury	0.000016			
TTOs, b*	NA			

a* The minimum detection limit (MDL) of the test method used for analysis must be below this limit, if a test method is not available the permittee must submit documentation to the Director regarding the method that will be used.

b* In addition, the permittee shall analyze the treatment facility influent and effluent for the presence of the toxic pollutants listed in 40 CFR 122 Appendix D Table II (Organic Toxic Pollutants) twice a year. The pesticides fraction of Appendix D, Table II is suspended unless pesticides are expected to be present.

The results of the analyses of metals, cyanide and toxic organics shall be submitted along with the Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) at the end of the earliest possible reporting period.

For local limit parameters it is recommended that the most sensitive method be used for analysis. This will determine if the parameter is present and provide removal efficiencies based on actual data rather than literature values. If a parameter load is greater than the allowable head works load, for any pollutant listed in Part II.H.1. or a pollutant of concern listed in the local limit development document, the permittee must report the exceedances to the DWQ's Pretreatment Coordinator. If the loading exceeds the allowable headworks load, increase sampling must occur based on the requirements given by the DWQ's Pretreatment Coordinator. If needed sampling may need to occur to find the source(s) of the increase. This may include sampling of the collection system. Notification regarding the exceedances of the allowable headworks loading can be provided via email.

- In accordance with the requirements of *40 CFR Part 403.5(c)*, the permittee shall determine if there is a need to develop or revise its local limits in order to implement the general and specific prohibitions of *40 CFR Part 403.5 (a)* and *Part 403.5 (b)*. A technical evaluation of the need to develop or revise local limits shall be submitted to the Division within **12 months** of the effective date of this permit. This evaluation should be conducted in accordance with the latest revision of the *Utah Model industrial Pretreatment Program, Section 4, Local Limits*. If a technical evaluation, which may be based on the *Utah Model Industrial Pretreatment Program, Section 4, Local Limits*, reveals that development or revision of local limits is necessary, the permittee shall

PART II
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
PRETREATMENT

submit the proposed local limits revision to the Division of Water Quality for approval, and after approval implement the new local limits, within **12 months** of the Division's determination that a revision is necessary.

III. BIOSOLIDS REQUIREMENTS

- A. Biosolids Treatment and Disposal. The authorization to dispose of biosolids provided under this permit is limited to those biosolids produced from the treatment works owned and operated by the permittee. The treatment methods and disposal practices are designated below.

1. Treatment

- a. Treatment Class A Biosolids (Compost). The solids are stabilized in primary and secondary anaerobic digesters with a mean cell residence time of at least 15 days with a minimum temperature of at least 95 °F (36.6 °C). The biosolids are de-watered with a belt press to about seventeen percent solids and composted using the windrow method of composting. Using the windrow method of composting, the temperature needs to be maintained at 55 °C (131 °F) or higher for fifteen days, with a minimum of five turnings during those fifteen days.
- b. Treatment Class A Biosolids (Two Summer Method). The solids are stabilized in primary and secondary anaerobic digesters with a mean cell residence time of at least 15 days with a minimum temperature of at least 95 °F (36.6 °C). The biosolids are de-watered with a belt press to about seventeen percent solids and treated using the two summer method. Biosolids are stored in batch over at least two summers. The biosolids have a total solids content of at least 14%, but no more than 40%, when the piles are formed. The piles are formed in windrows 3.5 to 6.0 feet in height. During the first summer the total solids of the pile does not exceed 60%. The average temperature of the pile exceeds 20 °C for 12 months of the storage period. (These 12 months are not necessarily consecutive months.) The pile is turned at least three times (at evenly spaced intervals during each summer period. The finished biosolids do not contain more than one viable helminth ova per four grams of total solids (dry weight basis).

2. Description of Biosolids Disposal Method

- a. Class A biosolids may be sold or given away to the public for lawn and garden use or land application.
- b. Class B biosolids may be land applied for agriculture use or at reclamation sites at agronomic rates.
- c. Biosolids may be disposed of in a landfill, or transferred to another facility for treatment/disposal.

3. Changes in Treatment Systems and Disposal Practices.

- a. Should the permittee change their disposal methods or the biosolids generation and handling processes of the plant, the permittee must notify the Director at least 30 days in advance if the process/method is specified in 40 CFR 503. This includes, but is not limited to, the permanent addition or removal of any biosolids treatment units (i.e., digesters, drying beds, belt presses, etc.) and/or any other change.
- b. Should the permittee change their disposal methods or the biosolids generation and handling processes of the plant, the permittee must notify the Director at least 180 days in advance if the process/method is not specified in 40 CFR 503. This includes,

PART III
BIOSOLIDS PERMIT NO. UTL-021911

but is not limited to, the permanent addition or removal of any biosolids treatment units (i.e., digesters, drying beds, belt presses, etc.) and/or any other change.

For any biosolids that are land filled, the requirements in *Section 2.12* of the latest version of the *EPA Region VIII Biosolids Management Handbook* must be followed

- B. Specific Limitations and Monitoring Requirements. All biosolids generated by this facility to be sold or given away to the public shall meet the requirements of *Part III.B.1, 2, 3 and 4* listed below.
1. Metals Limitations. All biosolids sold or given away in a bag or similar container for application to lawns and home gardens must meet the metals limitations as described below. If these metals limitations are not met, the biosolids must be landfilled.
 2. Pathogen Limitations. All biosolids sold or given away in a bag or a similar container for application to lawns and home gardens must meet the pathogen limitations for Class A. Land applied biosolids must meet the pathogen limitations for Class B as described below. If the pathogen limitations are not met, the biosolids must be landfilled.
 - a. Class A biosolids shall meet one of the pathogen measurement requirements in the following Pathogen Control Class table or shall meet the requirements for a Process to Further Reduce Pathogens as defined in *40 CFR Part 503.32(a) Sewage Sludge – Class A*, or as approved by the EPA Pathogen Equivalency Committee (PEC).
 - b. Class B biosolids shall meet the pathogen measurement requirements in the following Pathogen Control Class table or shall meet the requirements for a Process to Significantly Reduce Pathogens as defined in *40 CFR Part 503.32(b) Sewage Sludge – Class B*. In addition, the permittee shall comply with all applicable site restrictions listed below (*40 CFR Part 503.32,(b),(5)*):
 - (1) Food crops with harvested parts that touch the biosolids/soil mixture and are totally above the land surface shall not be harvested for 14 months after application.
 - (2) Food crops with harvested parts below the land surface shall not be harvested for 20 months after application if the biosolids remains on the land surface for four months or more prior to incorporation into the soil.
 - (3) Food crops with harvested parts below the surface of the land shall not be harvested for 38 months after application of sewage sludge when the sewage sludge remains on the land surface for less than four months prior to incorporation into the soil.
 - (4) Food crops, feed crops, and fiber crops shall not be harvested from the land for 30 days after application.
 - (5) Animals shall not be allowed to graze on the land for 30 days after application.
 - (6) Turf grown on land where biosolids is applied shall not be harvested for one year after application if the harvested turf is placed on either land with a high potential for public exposure or a lawn.
 - (7) Public access to land with a high potential for public exposure shall be restricted for one year after application.

PART III
BIOSOLIDS PERMIT NO. UTL-021911

- (8) Public access to land with a low potential for public exposure shall be restricted for 30 days after application.
- (9) The sludge or the application of the sludge shall not cause or contribute to the harm of a threatened or endangered species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat of a threatened or endangered species after application.

Pathogen Control Class	
Class A, Two Summer Method	503.32 (b)(1) - (5), Class B
Fecal coliform shall be < 1000 MPN [†] /g of total solids or (<i>salmonella</i> shall be < 3 MPN/4g of total solids).	Fecal Coliforms – less than 2,000,000 MPN or CFU [‡] per gram total solids (DWB).
And CWSID shall monitor for viable helminth ova once for each batch. The finished biosolids cannot contain more than one viable helminth ova per four grams of total solids (dry weight basis). The permittee is not required to monitor for enteric viruses if the conditions listed under the PFRP are followed.	
503.32 (a)(1) - (5), (7),-(8), Class A	
B <i>Salmonella</i> species –less than three (3) MPN per four (4) grams total solids (DWB) [§] or Fecal Coliforms – less than 1,000 MPN per gram total solids (DWB).	
503.32 (a)(6) Class A—Alternative 4	
B <i>Salmonella</i> species –less than three (3) MPN per four (4) grams total solids (DWB) or less than 1,000 MPN Fecal Coliforms per gram total solids (DWB),	
And - Enteric viruses –less than one (1) plaque forming unit per four (4) grams total solids (DWB)	
And - Viable helminth ova –less than one (1) per four (4) grams total solids (DWB)	

3. Vector Attraction Reduction Requirements.

- a. The permittee will meet vector attraction reduction through use of one of the methods listed in 40 CFR 503.33. Facility is meeting the requirements through the following methods.
- (1) Under 40 CFR 503.33(b)(1), the solids need to be treated through anaerobic digestion for at least 15 days at a temperature of at least 35 °C (95 °F) with a 38% reduction of volatile solids. At CWSID the solids are stabilized in a primary anaerobic digester and secondary anaerobic digester with a combined mean cell residence time of 82 days at an average temperature of 35 °C (95 °F).

[†] MPN – Most Probable Number

[‡] CFU – Colony Forming Units

[§] DWB – Dry Weight Basis.

PART III
BIOSOLIDS PERMIT NO. UTL-021911

If the permittee intends to use another one of the alternatives, the Director and the EPA must be informed at least thirty (30) days prior to its use. This change may be made without additional public comment.

4. Self-Monitoring Requirements.

- a. At a minimum, upon the effective date of this permit, all chemical pollutants, pathogens and applicable vector attraction reduction requirements shall be monitored according to *40 CFR 503.16(1)(a)*.

Minimum Frequency of Monitoring (40 CFR Part 503.16, 503.26. and 503.46)		
Amount of Biosolids Disposed Per Year		Monitoring Frequency
Dry US Tons	Dry Metric Tons	Per Year or Batch
> 0 to < 320	> 0 to < 290	Once Per Year or Batch
> 320 to < 1650	> 290 to < 1,500	Once a Quarter or Four Times
> 1,650 to < 16,500	> 1,500 to < 15,000**	Bi-Monthly or Six Times
> 16,500	> 15,000	Monthly or Twelve Times

- b. Sample collection, preservation and analysis shall be performed in a manner consistent with the requirements of *40 CFR 503* and/or other criteria specific to this permit. A metals analysis is to be performed using *Method SW 846* with *Method 3050* used for digestion. For the digestion procedure, an amount of biosolids equivalent to a dry weight of one gram shall be used. The methods are also described in the latest version of the *Region VIII Biosolids Management Handbook*.
- c. The Director may request additional monitoring for specific pollutants derived from biosolids if the data shows a potential for concern.
- d. After two (2) years of monitoring at the frequency specified, the permittee may request that the Director reduce the sampling frequency for the heavy metals. The frequency cannot be reduced to less than once per year for biosolids that are sold or given away to the public for any parameter. The frequency also cannot be reduced for any of the pathogen or vector attraction reduction requirements listed in this permit.

C. Management Practices of Biosolids.

1. Biosolids Distribution Information

- a. For biosolids that are sold or given away, an information sheet shall be provided to the person who receives the biosolids. The label or information sheet shall contain:
- (1) The name and address of the person who prepared the biosolids for a sale or to be given away.
 - (2) A statement that prohibits the application of the biosolids to the land except in accordance with the instructions on the label or information sheet.

2. Biosolids Application Site Storage

** Permittee has produced on average 4,100 Dry Metric Tons annually since 2010.. Accordingly, they will sample at least 6 times per year.

PART III
BIOSOLIDS PERMIT NO. UTL-021911

- a. For biosolids or material derived from biosolids that are stored in piles for one year or longer, measures shall be taken to ensure that erosion (whether by wind or water) does not occur. However, best management practices should also be used for piles used for biosolids treatment. If a treatment pile is considered to have caused a problem, best management practices could be added as a requirement in the next permit renewal

3. Land Application Practices

- a. The permittee shall operate and maintain the land application site operations in accordance with the following requirements:
 - (1) The permittee shall provide to the Director and the EPA within 90 days of the effective date of this permit a land application plan.
 - (2) Application of biosolids shall be conducted in a manner that will not contaminate the groundwater or impair the use classification for that water underlying the sites.
 - (3) Application of biosolids shall be conducted in a manner that will not cause a violation of any receiving water quality standard from discharges of surface runoff from the land application sites. Biosolids shall not be applied to land 10 meters or less from waters of the United States (as defined in 40 CFR 122.2).
 - (4) No person shall apply biosolids for beneficial use to frozen, ice-covered, or snow-covered land where the slope of such land is greater than three percent and is less than or equal to six percent unless one of the following requirements is met:
 - (a) there is 80 percent vegetative ground cover; or,
 - (b) approval has been obtained based upon a plan demonstrating adequate runoff containment measures.
 - (5) Application of biosolids is prohibited to frozen, ice-covered, or snow covered sites where the slope of the site exceeds six percent.
 - (6) Agronomic Rate
 - (a) Application of biosolids shall be conducted in a manner that does not exceed the agronomic rate for available nitrogen of the crops grown on the site. At a minimum, the permittee is required to follow the methods for calculating agronomic rate outlined in the latest version of the *Region VIII Biosolids Management Handbook* (other methods may be approved by the Director). The treatment plant shall provide written notification to the applier of the biosolids of the concentration of total nitrogen (as N on a dry weight basis) in the biosolids. Written permission from the Director is required to exceed the agronomic rate.
 - (b) The permittee may request the limits of *Part III, C, 6* be modified if different limits would be justified based on local conditions. The limits are required to be developed in cooperation with the local agricultural extension office or university.

PART III
BIOSOLIDS PERMIT NO. UTL-021911

- (c) Deep soil monitoring for nitrate-nitrogen is required for all land application sites (does not apply to sites where biosolids are applied less than once every five years). A minimum of six samples for each 320 (or less) acre area is to be collected. These samples are to be collected down to either a 5 foot depth, or the confining layer, whichever is shallower (sample at 1 foot, 2 foot, 3 foot, 4 foot and 5 foot intervals). Each of these one-foot interval samples shall be analyzed for nitrate-nitrogen. In addition to the one-foot interval samples, a composite sample of the 5 foot intervals shall be taken, and analyzed for nitrate-nitrogen as well. Samples are required to be taken once every five years for non-irrigated sites that receive more than 18 inches of precipitation annually or for irrigated sites
- (7) Biosolids shall not be applied to any site area with standing surface water. If the annual high groundwater level is known or suspected to be within five feet of the surface, additional deep soil monitoring for nitrate-nitrogen as described in *Part III.C.(6),(c)*. is to be performed. At a minimum, this additional monitoring will involve a collection of more samples in the affected area and possibly more frequent sampling. The exact number of samples to be collected will be outlined in a deep soil monitoring plan to be submitted to the Director and the EPA within 90 days of the effective date of this permit. The plan is subject to approval by the Director.
- (8) The specified cover crop shall be planted during the next available planting season. If this does not occur, the permittee shall notify the Director in writing. Additional restrictions may be placed on the application of the biosolids on that site on a case-by-case basis to control nitrate movement. Deep soil monitoring may be increased under the discretion of the Director.
- (9) When weather and or soil conditions prevent adherence to the biosolids application procedure, biosolids shall not be applied on the site.
- (10) For biosolids that are sold or given away, an information sheet shall be provided to the person who receives the biosolids. The label or information sheet shall contain:
 - (a) The name and address of the person who prepared the biosolids for sale or give away for application to the land.
 - (b) A statement that prohibits the application of the biosolids to the land except in accordance with the instructions on the label or information sheet.
 - (c) The annual whole biosolids application rate for the biosolids that do not cause the metals loading rates in Tables 1, 2, and 3 (*Part III.B.1.*) to be exceeded.
- (11) Biosolids subject to the cumulative pollutant loading rates in Table 2 (*Part III.B.1.*) shall not be applied to agricultural land, forest, a public contact site, or a reclamation site if any of the cumulative pollutant loading rates in Table 2 have been reached.
- (12) If the treatment plant applies the biosolids, it shall provide the owner or leaseholder of the land on which the biosolids are applied notice and necessary information to comply with the requirements in this permit.

PART III
BIOSOLIDS PERMIT NO. UTL-021911

(13) The permittee shall inspect the application of the biosolids to active sites to prevent malfunctions and deterioration, operator errors and discharges, which may cause or lead to the release of biosolids to the environment or a threat to human health. The permittee must conduct these inspections often enough to identify problems in time to correct them before they harm human health or the environment. The permittee shall keep an inspection log or summary including at least the date and time of inspection, the printed name and the handwritten signature of the inspector, a notation of observations made and the date and nature of any repairs or corrective action.

- D. Special Conditions on Biosolids Storage. Permanent storage of biosolids is prohibited. Biosolids shall not be temporarily stored for more than two (2) years. Written permission to store biosolids for more than two years must be obtained from the Director. Storage of biosolids for more than two years will be allowed only if it is determined that significant treatment is occurring.
- E. Representative Sampling. Biosolids samples used to measure compliance with *Part III* of this Permit shall be collected at locations representative of the quality of biosolids generated at the treatment works and immediately prior to land application.
- F. Reporting of Monitoring Results.

1. Biosolids. The permittee shall provide the results of all monitoring performed in accordance with *Part III.B*, and information on management practices, biosolids treatment, site restrictions and certifications shall be provided no later than February 19 of each year. Each report is for the previous calendar year. If no biosolids were sold or given away during the reporting period, "no biosolids were sold or given away" shall be reported. Legible copies of these, and all other reports required herein, shall be signed and certified in accordance with the *Signatory Requirements* (see *Part VII.G*), and submitted to the Utah Division of Water Quality by NetDMR^{††} or at the following address:

Original to: Biosolids Coordinator
 Utah Division of Water Quality
 P. O. Box 144870
 Salt Lake City Utah, 84114-4870

- G. Additional Record Keeping Requirements Specific to Biosolids.

1. Unless otherwise required by the Director, **the permittee is not required to keep records** on compost products if the permittee prepared them from biosolids that meet the limits in Table 3 (*Part III.B.1*), the Class A pathogen requirements in *Part III.B.2* and the vector attraction reduction requirements in *Part III.B.3*. The Director may notify the permittee that additional record keeping is required if it is determined to be significant to protecting public health and the environment.
2. **The permittee is required** to keep the following information for at least 5 years:
- a. Concentration of each heavy metal in Table 3 (*Part III.B.1*).

^{††} Starting January 1, 2017 monitoring results must be submitted using NetDMR unless the permittee has successfully petitioned for an exception. Annual Biosolids Reports should also be submitted through this system.

PART III
BIOSOLIDS PERMIT NO. UTL-021911

- b. A description of how the pathogen reduction requirements in *Part III.B.2* were met.
 - c. A description of how the vector attraction reduction requirements in *Part III.B.3* were met.
 - d. A description of how the management practices in *Part III.C* were met (if necessary).
 - e. The following certification statement:

"I certify under the penalty of law, that the heavy metals requirements in *Part III.B.1*, the pathogen requirements in *Part III.B.2*, the vector attraction requirements in *Part III.B.3*, the management practices in *Part III.C*. This determination has been made under my direction and supervision in accordance with the system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information used to determine that the pathogen requirements, the vector attraction reduction requirements and the management practices have been met. I am aware that there are significant penalties for false certification including the possibility of imprisonment."
3. The permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this permit and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit for the life of the permit. Data collected on site, copies of Biosolids Report forms, and a copy of this UPDES biosolids-only permit must be maintained on site during the duration of activity at the permitted location.

IV. STORM WATER REQUIREMENTS.

- A. Coverage of This Section. The requirements listed under this section shall apply to storm water discharges. Storm water discharges from the following portions of the facility may be eligible for coverage under this permit: biosolids drying beds, haul or access roads on which transportation of biosolids may occur, grit screen cleaning areas, chemical loading, unloading and storage areas, salt or sand storage areas, vehicle or equipment storage and maintenance areas, or any other wastewater treatment device or system, used in the storage, treatment, recycling, and reclamation of municipal or domestic sewage, including lands dedicated to the disposal of sewage sludge that are located within the confines of the facility that may have a reasonable expectation to contribute to pollutants in a storm water discharge.
- B. Prohibition of Non-Storm Water Discharges. Except for discharges identified in *Part I.*, and discharges described below in this paragraph, non-storm water discharges are prohibited. The following non-storm water discharges may be authorized under this permit provided the non-storm water component of the discharge is in compliance with this section; discharges from firefighting activities; fire hydrant flushing; potable water sources including waterline flushing; drinking fountain water; irrigation drainage and lawn watering; routine external building wash down water where detergents or other compounds have not been used in the process; pavement wash waters where spills or leaks of toxic or hazardous materials (including oils and fuels) have not occurred (unless all spilled material has been removed) and where detergents are not used; air conditioning condensate; uncontaminated compressor condensate; uncontaminated springs; uncontaminated ground water; and foundation or footing drains where flows are not contaminated with process materials such as solvents.
- C. Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan Requirements. The permittee must have (on site) and implement a storm water pollution prevention plan as a condition of this permit.
1. Contents of the Plan. The plan shall include, at a minimum, the following items:
 - a. *Pollution Prevention Team.* Each plan shall identify a specific individual or individuals within the facility organization as members of a storm water Pollution Prevention Team who are responsible for developing the storm water pollution prevention plan and assisting the facility or plant manager in its implementation, maintenance, and revision. The plan shall clearly identify the responsibilities of each team member. The activities and responsibilities of the team shall address all aspects of the facility's storm water pollution prevention plan.
 - b. *Description of Potential Pollutant Sources.* Each plan shall provide a description of potential sources which may reasonably be expected to add significant amounts of pollutants to storm water discharges or which may result in the discharge of pollutants during dry weather from separate storm sewers draining the facility. Each plan shall identify all activities and significant materials which may be reasonably expected to have the potential as a significant pollutant source. Each plan shall include, at a minimum:
 - (1) *Drainage.* A site map indicating drainage areas and storm water outfalls. For each area of the facility that generates storm water discharges associated with the wastewater treatment related activity with a reasonable potential for containing significant amounts of pollutants, a prediction of the direction of flow and an identification of the types of pollutants that are likely to be present in storm water discharges associated with the activity. Factors to consider include the toxicity of the pollutant; quantity of chemicals used, produced or

PART IV
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
STORM WATER

discharged; the likelihood of contact with storm water; and history of significant leaks or spills of toxic or hazardous pollutants. Flows with a significant potential for causing erosion shall be identified. The site map shall include but not be limited to:

- (a) Drainage direction and discharge points from all wastewater associated activities including but not limited to grit screen cleaning, bio-solids drying beds and transport, chemical/material loading, unloading and storage areas, vehicle maintenance areas, salt or sand storage areas.
 - (b) Location of any erosion and sediment control structure or other control measures utilized for reducing pollutants in storm water runoff.
 - (c) Location of bio-solids drying beds where exposed to precipitation or where the transportation of bio-solids may be spilled onto internal roadways or tracked off site.
 - (d) Location where grit screen cleaning or other routinely performed industrial activities are located and are exposed to precipitation.
 - (e) Location of any handling, loading, unloading or storage of chemicals or potential pollutants such as caustics, hydraulic fluids, lubricants, solvents or other petroleum products, or hazardous wastes and where these may be exposed to precipitation.
 - (f) Locations where any major spills or leaks of toxic or hazardous materials have occurred.
 - (g) Location of any sand or salt piles.
 - (h) Location of fueling stations or vehicle and equipment maintenance and cleaning areas that are exposed to precipitation.
 - (i) Location of receiving streams or other surface water bodies.
 - (j) Locations of outfalls and the types of discharges contained in the drainage areas of the outfalls.
- (2) *Inventory of Exposed Materials.* An inventory of the types of materials handled at the site that potentially may be exposed to precipitation. Such inventory shall include a narrative description of significant materials that have been handled, treated, stored or disposed in a manner to allow exposure to storm water between the time of 3 years prior to the effective date of this permit and the present; method and location of onsite storage or disposal; materials management practices employed to minimize contact of materials with storm water runoff between the time of 3 years prior to the effective date of this permit and the present; the location and a description of existing structural and nonstructural control measures to reduce pollutants in storm water runoff; and a description of any treatment the storm water receives.
- (3) *Spills and Leaks.* A list of significant spills and significant leaks of toxic or hazardous pollutants that occurred at areas that are exposed to precipitation or that otherwise drain to a storm water conveyance at the facility after the date of

PART IV
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
STORM WATER

3 years prior to the effective date of this permit. Such list shall be updated as appropriate during the term of the permit.

- (4) *Sampling Data.* A summary of existing discharge sampling data describing pollutants in storm water discharges from the facility, including a summary of sampling data collected during the term of this permit.
 - (5) *Summary of Potential Pollutant Sources and Risk Assessment.* A narrative description of the potential pollutant sources from the following activities associated with treatment works: access roads/rail lines; loading and unloading operations; outdoor storage activities; material handling sites; outdoor vehicle storage or maintenance sites; significant dust or particulate generating processes; and onsite waste disposal practices. Specific potential pollutants shall be identified where known.
- c. *Measures and Controls.* The permittee shall develop a description of storm water management controls appropriate for the facility, and implement such controls. The appropriateness and priorities of controls in a plan shall reflect identified potential sources of pollutants at the facility. The description of storm water management controls shall address the following minimum components, including a schedule for implementing such controls:
- (1) *Good Housekeeping.* All areas that may contribute pollutants to storm waters discharges shall be maintained in a clean, orderly manner. These are practices that would minimize the generation of pollutants at the source or before it would be necessary to employ sediment ponds or other control measures at the discharge outlets. Where applicable, such measures or other equivalent measures would include the following: sweepers and covered storage to minimize dust generation and storm runoff; conservation of vegetation where possible to minimize erosion; sweeping of haul roads, bio-solids access points, and exits to reduce or eliminate off site tracking; sweeping of sand or salt storage areas to minimize entrainment in storm water runoff; collection, removal, and proper disposal of waste oils and other fluids resulting from vehicle and equipment maintenance; other equivalent measures to address identified potential sources of pollution.
 - (2) *Preventive Maintenance.* A preventive maintenance program shall involve timely inspection and maintenance of storm water management devices (e.g., cleaning oil/water separators, catch basins) as well as inspecting and testing facility equipment and systems to uncover conditions that could cause breakdowns or failures resulting in discharges of pollutants to surface waters, and ensuring appropriate maintenance of such equipment and systems.
 - (3) *Spill Prevention and Response Procedures.* Areas where potential spills that can contribute pollutants to storm water discharges can occur, and their accompanying drainage points, shall be identified clearly in the storm water pollution prevention plan. Where appropriate, specifying material handling procedures, storage requirements, and use of equipment such as diversion valves in the plan should be considered. Procedures and equipment for cleaning up spills shall be identified in the plan and made available to the appropriate personnel.

PART IV
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
STORM WATER

- (4) *Inspections.* In addition to the comprehensive site evaluation required under paragraph (*Part IV.C.1.c.(10)*) of this section, qualified facility personnel shall be identified to inspect designated equipment and areas of the facility on a periodic basis. The following areas shall be included in all inspections: access roads/rail lines, equipment storage and maintenance areas (both indoor and outdoor areas); fueling; material handling areas, residual treatment, storage, and disposal areas; and wastewater treatment areas. A set of tracking or follow-up procedures shall be used to ensure that appropriate actions are taken in response to the inspections. Records of inspections shall be maintained. The use of a checklist developed by the facility is encouraged.
- (5) *Employee Training.* Employee training programs shall inform personnel responsible for implementing activities identified in the storm water pollution prevention plan or otherwise responsible for storm water management at all levels of responsibility of the components and goals of the storm water pollution prevention plan. Training should address topics such as spill response, good housekeeping and material management practices. The pollution prevention plan shall identify how often training will take place, but training should be held at least annually (once per calendar year). Employee training must, at a minimum, address the following areas when applicable to a facility: petroleum product management; process chemical management; spill prevention and control; fueling procedures; general good housekeeping practices; proper procedures for using fertilizers, herbicides and pesticides.
- (6) *Record keeping and Internal Reporting Procedures.* A description of incidents (such as spills, or other discharges), along with other information describing the quality and quantity of storm water discharges shall be included in the plan required under this part. Inspections and maintenance activities shall be documented and records of such activities shall be incorporated into the plan.
- (7) *Non-storm water Discharges.*
 - (a) *Certification.* The plan shall include a certification that the discharge has been tested or evaluated for the presence of non-storm water discharges. The certification shall include the identification of potential significant sources of non-storm water at the site, a description of the results of any test and/or evaluation for the presence of non-storm water discharges, the evaluation criteria or testing method used, the date of any testing and/or evaluation, and the onsite drainage points that were directly observed during the test. Certifications shall be signed in accordance with *Part VII.G* of this permit.
 - (b) *Exceptions.* Except for flows from fire fighting activities, sources of non-storm water listed in *Part IV.B.* (Prohibition of Non-storm water Discharges) of this permit that are combined with storm water discharges associated with industrial activity must be identified in the plan. The plan shall identify and ensure the implementation of appropriate pollution prevention measures for the non-storm water component(s) of the discharge.
 - (c) *Failure to Certify.* Any facility that is unable to provide the certification required (testing for non-storm water discharges), must notify the *Director* within 180 days after the effective date of this permit. If the failure to

PART IV
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
STORM WATER

certify is caused by the inability to perform adequate tests or evaluations, such notification shall describe: the procedure of any test conducted for the presence of non-storm water discharges; the results of such test or other relevant observations; potential sources of non-storm water discharges to the storm sewer; and why adequate tests for such storm sewers were not feasible. Non-storm water discharges to waters of the State, which are not, authorized by a *UPDES* permit are unlawful, and must be terminated.

- (8) *Sediment and Erosion Control.* The plan shall identify areas, which, due to topography, activities, or other factors, have a high potential for significant soil erosion, and identify structural, vegetative, and/or stabilization measures to be used to limit erosion.
- (9) *Management of Runoff.* The plan shall contain a narrative consideration of the appropriateness of traditional storm water management practices (practices other than those which control the generation or source(s) of pollutants) used to divert, infiltrate, reuse, or otherwise manage storm water runoff in a manner that reduces pollutants in storm water discharges from the site. The plan shall provide that measures that the permittee determines to be reasonable and appropriate shall be implemented and maintained. The potential of various sources at the facility to contribute pollutants to storm water discharges associated with industrial activity *Part IV.C.1.b* (Description of Potential Pollutant Sources) of this permit] shall be considered when determining reasonable and appropriate measures. Appropriate measures or other equivalent measures may include: vegetative swales and practices, reuse of collected storm water (such as for a process or as an irrigation source), inlet controls (such as oil/water separators), snow management activities, infiltration devices, wet detention/retention devices and discharging storm water through the wastewater facility for treatment.
- (10) *Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluation.* Qualified personnel shall conduct site compliance evaluations at appropriate intervals specified in the plan, but in no case less than once a year. Such evaluations shall provide:
 - (a) Areas contributing to a storm water discharge associated with industrial activity shall be visually inspected for evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. Measures to reduce pollutant loadings shall be evaluated to determine whether they are adequate and properly implemented in accordance with the terms of the permit or whether additional control measures are needed. Structural storm water management measures, sediment and erosion control measures, and other structural pollution prevention measures identified in the plan shall be observed to ensure that they are operating correctly. A visual inspection of equipment needed to implement the plan, such as spill response equipment, shall be made.
 - (b) Based on the results of the evaluation, the description of potential pollutant sources identified in the plan in accordance with *Part IV.C.1.b* (Description of Potential Pollutant Sources) of this section and pollution prevention measures and controls identified in the plan in accordance with *Part IV.C.1.c* (Measures and Controls) of this section shall be revised as appropriate within 2 weeks of such evaluation and shall provide for

PART IV
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
STORM WATER

implementation of any changes to the plan in a timely manner, but in no case more than 12 weeks after the evaluation.

- (c) A report summarizing the scope of the evaluation, personnel making the evaluation, the date(s) of the evaluation, major observations relating to the implementation of the storm water pollution prevention plan, and actions taken in accordance with paragraph (b) (above) shall be made and retained as part of the storm water pollution prevention plan for at least 3 years after the date of the evaluation. The report shall identify any incidents of noncompliance. Where a report does not identify any incidents of noncompliance, the report shall contain a certification that the facility is in compliance with the storm water pollution prevention plan and this permit. The report shall be signed in accordance with *Part VII.G* (Signatory Requirements) of this permit.
- (11) *Deadlines for Plan Preparation and Compliance.* The permittee shall prepare and implement a plan in compliance with the provisions of this section within 270 days of the effective date of this permit. If the permittee already has a plan, it shall be revised according to *Part IV.C.1.c.(10)*, Comprehensive Site Evaluation.
- (12) *Keeping Plans Current.* The permittee shall amend the plan whenever there is a change in design, construction, operation, or maintenance, that has a significant effect on the potential for the discharge of pollutants to the waters of the state or if the storm water pollution prevention plan proves to be ineffective in eliminating or significantly minimizing pollutants from sources identified by the plan, or in otherwise achieving the general objective of controlling pollutants in storm water discharges associated with the activities at the facility.

D. Monitoring and Reporting Requirements.

- 1. Quarterly Visual Examination of Storm Water Quality. Facilities shall perform and document a visual examination of a storm water discharge associated with industrial activity from each outfall, except discharges exempted below. The examination must be made at least once in each of the following designated periods during daylight hours unless there is insufficient rainfall or snow melt to produce a runoff event: January through March; April through June; July through September; and October through December.
 - a. *Sample and Data Collection.* Examinations shall be made of samples collected within the first 30 minutes (or as soon thereafter as practical, but not to exceed 1 hour) of when the runoff or snowmelt begins discharging. The examinations shall document observations of color, odor, clarity, floating solids, settled solids, suspended solids, foam, oil sheen, and other obvious indicators of storm water pollution. The examination must be conducted in a well lit area. No analytical tests are required to be performed on the samples. All such samples shall be collected from the discharge resulting from a storm event that is greater than 0.1 inches in magnitude and that occurs at least 72 hours from the previously measurable (greater than 0.1 inch rainfall) storm event. Where practicable, the same individual should carry out the collection and examination of discharges for entire permit term.
 - b. *Visual Storm Water Discharge Examination Reports.* Visual examination reports must be maintained onsite in the pollution prevention plan. The report shall include

PART IV
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
STORM WATER

the examination date and time, examination personnel, the nature of the discharge (i.e., runoff or snow melt), visual quality of the storm water discharge (including observations of color, odor, clarity, floating solids, settled solids, suspended solids, foam, oil sheen, and other obvious indicators of storm water pollution), and probable sources of any observed storm water contamination.

- c. *Representative Discharge.* When the permittee has two or more outfalls that, based on a consideration of industrial activity, significant materials, and management practices and activities within the area drained by the outfall, the permittee reasonably believes discharge substantially identical effluents, the permittee may collect a sample of effluent of one of such outfalls and report that the observation data also applies to the substantially identical outfall(s) provided that the permittee includes in the storm water pollution prevention plan a description of the location of the outfalls and explains in detail why the outfalls are expected to discharge substantially identical effluents. In addition, for each outfall that the permittee believes is representative, an estimate of the size of the drainage area (in square feet) and an estimate of the runoff coefficient of the drainage area [e.g., low (under 40 percent), medium (40 to 65 percent), or high (above 65 percent)] shall be provided in the plan.
- d. *Adverse Conditions.* When a discharger is unable to collect samples over the course of the visual examination period as a result of adverse climatic conditions, the discharger must document the reason for not performing the visual examination and retain this documentation onsite with the results of the visual examination. Adverse weather conditions, which may prohibit the collection of samples, include weather conditions that create dangerous conditions for personnel (such as local flooding, high winds, hurricane, tornadoes, electrical storms, etc.) or otherwise make the collection of a sample impracticable (drought, extended frozen conditions, etc.).
- e. *Inactive and Unstaffed Site.* When a discharger is unable to conduct visual storm water examinations at an inactive and unstaffed site, the operator of the facility may exercise a waiver of the monitoring requirement as long as the facility remains inactive and unstaffed. The facility must maintain a certification with the pollution prevention plan stating that the site is inactive and unstaffed so that performing visual examinations during a qualifying event is not feasible.

V. MONITORING, RECORDING & GENERAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

- A. Representative Sampling. Samples taken in compliance with the monitoring requirements established under *Part I* shall be collected from the effluent stream prior to discharge into the receiving waters. Samples and measurements shall be representative of the volume and nature of the monitored discharge. Samples of biosolids shall be collected at a location representative of the quality of biosolids immediately prior to the use-disposal practice.
- B. Monitoring Procedures. Monitoring must be conducted according to test procedures approved under *Utah Administrative Code ("UAC") R317-2-10 and 40CFR Part 503*, unless other test procedures have been specified in this permit.
- C. Penalties for Tampering. The *Act* provides that any person who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate, any monitoring device or method required to be maintained under this permit shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than six months per violation, or by both.
- D. Compliance Schedules. Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any Compliance Schedule of this permit shall be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date.
- E. Additional Monitoring by the Permittee. If the permittee monitors any parameter more frequently than required by this permit, using test procedures approved under *UAC R317-2-10 and 40 CFR 503* or as specified in this permit, the results of this monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reporting of the data submitted in the DMR or the Biosolids Report Form. Such increased frequency shall also be indicated. Only those parameters required by the permit need to be reported.
- F. Records Contents. Records of monitoring information shall include:
 - 1. The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
 - 2. The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
 - 3. The date(s) and time(s) analyses were performed;
 - 4. The individual(s) who performed the analyses;
 - 5. The analytical techniques or methods used; and,
 - 6. The results of such analyses.
- G. Retention of Records. The permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit, for a period of at least five years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application. This period may be extended by request of the Director at any time. A copy of this UPDES permit must be maintained on site during the duration of activity at the permitted location
- H. Twenty-four Hour Notice of Noncompliance Reporting.
 - 1. The permittee shall (orally) report any noncompliance including transportation accidents, spills, and uncontrolled runoff from biosolids transfer or land application sites which may seriously endanger health or environment, as soon as possible, but no later than twenty-four (24) hours from the time the permittee first became aware of circumstances. The

PART V
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
BIOSOLIDS PERMIT NO. UTL-021911
STORM WATER PERMIT NO. UT0021911

report shall be made to the Division of Water Quality, (801) 536-4300, or 24-hour answering service (801) 536-4123.

2. The following occurrences of noncompliance shall be reported by telephone (801) 536-4300 as soon as possible but no later than 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances:
 - a. Any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment;
 - b. Any unanticipated bypass, which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit (See *Part VI.G, Bypass of Treatment Facilities.*);
 - c. Any upset which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit (See *Part VI.H, Upset Conditions.*);
 - d. Violation of a daily discharge limitation for any of the pollutants listed in the permit; or,
 - e. Violation of any of the Table 3 metals limits, the pathogen limits, the vector attraction reduction limits or the management practices for biosolids that have been sold or given away.
3. A written submission shall also be provided within five days of the time that the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. The written submission shall contain:
 - a. A description of the noncompliance and its cause;
 - b. The period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times;
 - c. The estimated time noncompliance is expected to continue if it has not been corrected;
 - d. Steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance; and,
 - e. Steps taken, if any, to mitigate the adverse impacts on the environment and human health during the noncompliance period.
4. The Director may waive the written report on a case-by-case basis if the oral report has been received within 24 hours by the Division of Water Quality, (801) 536-4300.
5. Reports shall be submitted to the addresses in *Part I.D, Reporting of Monitoring Results.*
- I. Other Noncompliance Reporting. Instances of noncompliance not required to be reported within 24 hours shall be reported at the time that monitoring reports for *Part I.D* are submitted. The reports shall contain the information listed in *Part V.H.*
- J. Inspection and Entry The permittee shall allow the Director, or an authorized representative, upon the presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:
 1. Enter upon the permittee's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of the permit;

PART V
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
BIOSOLIDS PERMIT NO. UTL-021911
STORM WATER PERMIT NO. UT0021911

2. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
3. Inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit, including but not limited to, biosolids treatment, collection, storage facilities or area, transport vehicles and containers, and land application sites;
4. Sample or monitor at reasonable times, for the purpose of assuring permit compliance or as otherwise authorized by the *Act*, any substances or parameters at any location, including, but not limited to, digested biosolids before dewatering, dewatered biosolids, biosolids transfer or staging areas, any ground or surface waters at the land application sites or biosolids, soils, or vegetation on the land application sites; and,
5. The permittee shall make the necessary arrangements with the landowner or leaseholder to obtain permission or clearance, the Director, or authorized representative, upon the presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, will be permitted to enter without delay for the purposes of performing their responsibilities.

VI. COMPLIANCE RESPONSIBILITIES

- A. Duty to Comply. The permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Act and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or for denial of a permit renewal application. The permittee shall give advance notice to the Director of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity, which may result in noncompliance with permit requirements.
- B. Penalties for Violations of Permit Conditions. The Act provides that any person who violates a permit condition implementing provisions of the Act is subject to a civil penalty not to exceed \$10,000 per day of such violation. Any person who willfully or negligently violates permit conditions or the Act is subject to a fine not exceeding \$25,000 per day of violation. Any person convicted under *UCA 19-5-115(2)* a second time shall be punished by a fine not exceeding \$50,000 per day. Except as provided at *Part VI.G, Bypass of Treatment Facilities* and *Part VI.H, Upset Conditions*, nothing in this permit shall be construed to relieve the permittee of the civil or criminal penalties for noncompliance.
- C. Need to Halt or Reduce Activity not a Defense. It shall not be a defense for a permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit.
- D. Duty to Mitigate. The permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge in violation of this permit, which has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment. The permittee shall also take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any land application in violation of this permit.
- E. Proper Operation and Maintenance. The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of back-up or auxiliary facilities or similar systems, which are installed by a permittee only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit.
- F. Removed Substances. Collected screening, grit, solids, sludge, or other pollutants removed in the course of treatment shall be disposed of in such a manner so as to prevent any pollutant from entering any waters of the state or creating a health hazard. Sludge/digester supernatant and filter backwash shall not directly enter either the final effluent or waters of the state by any other direct route.
- G. Bypass of Treatment Facilities.
1. Bypass Not Exceeding Limitations. The permittee may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause effluent limitations to be exceeded, but only if it also is for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to paragraph 2 and 3 of this section.
 2. Prohibition of Bypass.

PART VI
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
BIOSOLIDS PERMIT NO. UTL-021911
STORM WATER PERMIT NO. UT0021911

- a. Bypass is prohibited, and the Director may take enforcement action against a permittee for bypass, unless:
 - (1) Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of human life, personal injury, or severe property damage;
 - (2) There were no feasible alternatives to bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if adequate backup equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgement to prevent a bypass which occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance, and
 - (3) The permittee submitted notices as required under *section VI.G.3*.
- b. The Director may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if the Director determines that it will meet the three conditions listed in *sections VI.G.2.a (1), (2) and (3)*.

3. Notice.

- a. *Anticipated bypass.* Except as provided above in *section VI.G.2* and below in *section VI.G.3.b*, if the permittee knows in advance of the need for a bypass, it shall submit prior notice, at least ninety days before the date of bypass. The prior notice shall include the following unless otherwise waived by the Director:
 - (1) Evaluation of alternative to bypass, including cost-benefit analysis containing an assessment of anticipated resource damages;
 - (2) A specific bypass plan describing the work to be performed including scheduled dates and times. The permittee must notify the Director in advance of any changes to the bypass schedule;
 - (3) Description of specific measures to be taken to minimize environmental and public health impacts;
 - (4) A notification plan sufficient to alert all downstream users, the public and others reasonably expected to be impacted by the bypass;
 - (5) A water quality assessment plan to include sufficient monitoring of the receiving water before, during and following the bypass to enable evaluation of public health risks and environmental impacts; and,
 - (6) Any additional information requested by the Director.
- b. *Emergency Bypass.* Where ninety days advance notice is not possible, the permittee must notify the Director, and the Director of the Department of Natural Resources, as soon as it becomes aware of the need to bypass and provide to the Director the information in *section VI.G.3.a.(1) through (6)* to the extent practicable.

PART VI
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
BIOSOLIDS PERMIT NO. UTL-021911
STORM WATER PERMIT NO. UT0021911

- c. *Unanticipated bypass.* The permittee shall submit notice of an unanticipated bypass to the Director as required under *Part IV.H, Twenty Four Hour Reporting*. The permittee shall also immediately notify the Director of the Department of Natural Resources, the public and downstream users and shall implement measures to minimize impacts to public health and environment to the extent practicable.

H. Upset Conditions.

1. Effect of an upset. An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with technology based permit effluent limitations if the requirements of paragraph 2 of this section are met. Director's administrative determination regarding a claim of upset cannot be judiciously challenged by the permittee until such time as an action is initiated for noncompliance.
2. Conditions necessary for a demonstration of upset. A permittee who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset shall demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs, or other relevant evidence that:
 - a. An upset occurred and that the permittee can identify the cause(s) of the upset;
 - b. The permitted facility was at the time being properly operated;
 - c. The permittee submitted notice of the upset as required under *Part V.H, Twenty-four Hour Notice of Noncompliance Reporting*; and,
 - d. The permittee complied with any remedial measures required under *Part VI.D, Duty to Mitigate*.
3. Burden of proof. In any enforcement proceeding, the permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof.

VII. GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

- A. Planned Changes. The permittee shall give notice to the Director as soon as possible of any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility. Notice is required only when the alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of parameters discharged or pollutant sold or given away. This notification applies to pollutants, which are not subject to effluent limitations in the permit. In addition, if there are any planned substantial changes to the permittee's existing sludge facilities or their manner of operation or to current sludge management practices of storage and disposal, the permittee shall give notice to the Director of any planned changes at least 30 days prior to their implementation.
- B. Anticipated Noncompliance. The permittee shall give advance notice to the Director of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity, which may result in noncompliance with permit requirements.
- C. Permit Actions. This permit may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause. The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance, does not stay any permit condition.
- D. Duty to Reapply. If the permittee wishes to continue an activity regulated by this permit after the expiration date of this permit, the permittee shall apply for and obtain a new permit. The application shall be submitted at least 180 days before the expiration date of this permit.
- E. Duty to Provide Information. The permittee shall furnish to the Director, within a reasonable time, any information which the Director may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit, or to determine compliance with this permit. The permittee shall also furnish to the Director, upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit.
- F. Other Information. When the permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or any report to the Director, it shall promptly submit such facts or information.
- G. Signatory Requirements. All applications, reports or information submitted to the Director shall be signed and certified.
 - 1. All permit applications shall be signed by either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official.
 - 2. All reports required by the permit and other information requested by the Director shall be signed by a person described above or by a duly authorized representative of that person. A person is a duly authorized representative only if:
 - a. The authorization is made in writing by a person described above and submitted to the Director, and,

PART VII
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
BIOSOLIDS PERMIT NO. UTL-021911
STORM WATER PERMIT NO. UT0021911

- b. The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility, such as the position of plant manager, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters. A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position.
- 3. Changes to authorization. If an authorization under *paragraph VII.G.2* is no longer accurate because a different individual or position has responsibility for the overall operation of the facility, a new authorization satisfying the requirements of *paragraph VII.G.2* must be submitted to the Director prior to or together with any reports, information, or applications to be signed by an authorized representative.
- 4. Certification. Any person signing a document under this section shall make the following certification:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."
- H. Penalties for Falsification of Reports. The *Act* provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any record or other document submitted or required to be maintained under this permit, including monitoring reports or reports of compliance or noncompliance shall, upon conviction be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000.00 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than six months per violation, or by both.
- I. Availability of Reports. Except for data determined to be confidential under *UAC R317-8-3.2*, all reports prepared in accordance with the terms of this permit shall be available for public inspection at the office of Director. As required by the *Act*, permit applications, permits and effluent data shall not be considered confidential.
- J. Oil and Hazardous Substance Liability. Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the permittee of any legal action or relieve the permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties to which the permittee is or may be subject under the *Act*.
- K. Property Rights. The issuance of this permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize any injury to private property or any invasion of personal rights, nor any infringement of federal, state or local laws or regulations.
- L. Severability. The provisions of this permit are severable, and if any provisions of this permit, or the application of any provision of this permit to any circumstance, is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances, and the remainder of this permit, shall not be affected thereby.

PART VII
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
BIOSOLIDS PERMIT NO. UTL-021911
STORM WATER PERMIT NO. UT0021911

- M. Transfers. This permit may be automatically transferred to a new permittee if:
1. The current permittee notifies the Director at least 20 days in advance of the proposed transfer date;
 2. The notice includes a written agreement between the existing and new permittee's containing a specific date for transfer of permit responsibility, coverage, and liability between them; and,
 3. The Director does not notify the existing permittee and the proposed new permittee of his or her intent to modify, or revoke and reissue the permit. If this notice is not received, the transfer is effective on the date specified in the agreement mentioned in paragraph 2 above.
- N. State or Federal Laws. Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of any legal action or relieve the permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties established pursuant to any applicable state law or regulation under authority preserved by *UCA 19-5-117* and *Section 510* of the *Act* or any applicable Federal or State transportation regulations, such as but not limited to the Department of Transportation regulations.
- O. Water Quality - Reopener Provision. This permit may be reopened and modified (following proper administrative procedures) to include the appropriate effluent limitations and compliance schedule, if necessary, if one or more of the following events occurs:
1. Water Quality Standards for the receiving water(s) to which the permittee discharges are modified in such a manner as to require different effluent limits than contained in this permit.
 2. A final wasteload allocation is developed and approved by the State and/or EPA for incorporation in this permit.
 3. Revisions to the current CWA § 208 areawide treatment management plans or promulgations/revisions to TMDLs (40 CFR 130.7) approved by the EPA and adopted by DWQ which calls for different effluent limitations than contained in this permit.
- P. Biosolids – Reopener Provision. This permit may be reopened and modified (following proper administrative procedures) to include the appropriate biosolids limitations (and compliance schedule, if necessary), management practices, other appropriate requirements to protect public health and the environment, or if there have been substantial changes (or such changes are planned) in biosolids use or disposal practices; applicable management practices or numerical limitations for pollutants in biosolids have been promulgated which are more stringent than the requirements in this permit; and/or it has been determined that the permittees biosolids use or land application practices do not comply with existing applicable state of federal regulations.
- Q. Toxicity Limitation - Reopener Provision.

PART VII
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
BIOSOLIDS PERMIT NO. UTL-021911
STORM WATER PERMIT NO. UT0021911

This permit may be reopened and modified (following proper administrative procedures) to include, whole effluent toxicity (WET) limitations, a compliance date, a compliance schedule, a change in the whole effluent toxicity (biomonitoring) protocol, additional or modified numerical limitations, or any other conditions related to the control of toxicants if one or more of the following events occur;

1. Toxicity is detected, as per *Part I.C.4.a* of this permit, during the duration of this permit.
 2. The TRE results indicate that the toxicant(s) represent pollutant(s) or pollutant parameter(s) that may be controlled with specific numerical limits, and the Director concludes that numerical controls are appropriate.
 3. Following the implementation of numerical control(s) of toxicant(s), the Director agrees that a modified biomonitoring protocol is necessary to compensate for those toxicants that are controlled numerically.
 4. The TRE reveals other unique conditions or characteristics, which in the opinion of the permit issuing authority justify the incorporation of unanticipated special conditions in the permit.
- R. Storm Water-Reopener Provision. At any time during the duration (life) of this permit, this permit may be reopened and modified (following proper administrative procedures) as per *UAC R317.8*, to include, any applicable storm water provisions and requirements, a storm water pollution prevention plan, a compliance schedule, a compliance date, monitoring and/or reporting requirements, or any other conditions related to the control of storm water discharges to "waters-of-State".

VIII. DEFINITIONS

A. Wastewater.

1. The "7-day (and weekly) average", other than for *E. coli* bacteria, fecal coliform bacteria, and total coliform bacteria, is the arithmetic average of all samples collected during a consecutive 7-day period or calendar week, whichever is applicable. Geometric means shall be calculated for *E. coli* bacteria, fecal coliform bacteria, and total coliform bacteria. The 7-day and weekly averages are applicable only to those effluent characteristics for which there are 7-day average effluent limitations. The calendar week, which begins on Sunday and ends on Saturday, shall be used for purposes of reporting self-monitoring data on discharge monitoring report forms. Weekly averages shall be calculated for all calendar weeks with Saturdays in the month. If a calendar week overlaps two months (i.e., the Sunday is in one month and the Saturday in the following month), the weekly average calculated for that calendar week shall be included in the data for the month that contains Saturday.
2. The "30-day (and monthly) average," other than for *E. coli* bacteria, fecal coliform bacteria and total coliform bacteria, is the arithmetic average of all samples collected during a consecutive 30-day period or calendar month, whichever is applicable. Geometric means shall be calculated for *E. coli* bacteria, fecal coliform bacteria and total coliform bacteria. The calendar month shall be used for purposes of reporting self-monitoring data on discharge monitoring report forms.
3. "Act," means the *Utah Water Quality Act*.
4. "Acute toxicity" occurs when 50 percent or more mortality is observed for either test species at any effluent concentration (lethal concentration or "LC₅₀").
5. "Bypass," means the diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility.
6. "Chronic toxicity" occurs when the IC₂₅ < 73.3% (Scenario 1 and 2) or IC₂₅ < 82.9% (Scenario 3) effluent. The XX% effluent is the concentration of the effluent in the receiving water, at the end of the mixing zone expressed as per cent effluent.
7. "IC₂₅" is the concentration of toxicant (given in % effluent) that would cause a 25% reduction in mean young per female, or a 25% reduction in overall growth for the test population.
8. "Composite Samples" shall be flow proportioned. The composite sample shall, as a minimum, contain at least four (4) samples collected over the compositing period. Unless otherwise specified, the time between the collection of the first sample and the last sample shall not be less than six (6) hours nor more than 24 hours. Acceptable methods for preparation of composite samples are as follows:
 - a. Constant time interval between samples, sample volume proportional to flow rate at time of sampling;

PART VIII
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
BIOSOLIDS PERMIT NO. UTL-021911
STORM WATER PERMIT NO. UT0021911

- b. Constant time interval between samples, sample volume proportional to total flow (volume) since last sample. For the first sample, the flow rate at the time the sample was collected may be used;
 - c. Constant sample volume, time interval between samples proportional to flow (i.e., sample taken every “X” gallons of flow); and,
 - d. Continuous sample volume, with sample collection rate proportional to flow rate.
9. “CWA,” means *The Federal Water Pollution Control Act*, as amended, by *The Clean Water Act of 1987*.
10. “Daily Maximum” (Daily Max.) is the maximum value allowable in any single sample or instantaneous measurement.
11. “EPA,” means the United States Environmental Protection Agency.
12. “Director,” means Director of the Division of Water Quality.
13. A “grab” sample, for monitoring requirements, is defined as a single “dip and take” sample collected at a representative point in the discharge stream.
14. An “instantaneous” measurement, for monitoring requirements, is defined as a single reading, observation, or measurement.
15. “Severe Property Damage,” means substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production.
16. “Upset,” means an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology-based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventative maintenance, or careless or improper operation.

B. Biosolids.

- 1. “Biosolids,” means any material or material derived from sewage solids that have been biologically treated.
- 2. “Dry Weight-Basis,” means 100 percent solids (i.e. zero percent moisture).
- 3. “Land Application” is the spraying or spreading of biosolids onto the land surface; the injection of biosolids below the land surface; or the incorporation of biosolids into the land so that the biosolids can either condition the soil or fertilize crops or vegetation

PART VIII
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
BIOSOLIDS PERMIT NO. UTL-021911
STORM WATER PERMIT NO. UT0021911

grown in the soil. Land application includes distribution and marketing (i.e. the selling or giving away of the biosolids).

4. "Pathogen," means an organism that is capable of producing an infection or disease in a susceptible host.
5. "Pollutant" for the purposes of this permit is an organic substance, an inorganic substance, a combination of organic and inorganic substances, or pathogenic organisms that after discharge and upon exposure, ingestion, inhalation, or assimilation into an organism either directly from the environment or indirectly by ingestion through the food-chain, could on the basis of information available to the Administrator of EPA, cause death, disease, behavioral abnormalities, cancer, genetic mutations, physiological malfunctions (including malfunction in reproduction), or physical deformations in either organisms or offspring of the organisms.
6. "Runoff" is rainwater, leachate, or other liquid that drains over any part of a land surface and runs off the land surface.
7. "Similar Container" is either an open or closed receptacle. This includes, but is not limited to, a bucket, a box, a carton, and a vehicle or trailer with a load capacity of one metric ton or less.
8. "Total Solids" are the materials in the biosolids that remain as a residue if the biosolids are dried at 103° or 105° Celsius.
9. "Treatment Works" are either Federally owned, publicly owned, or privately owned devices or systems used to treat (including recycling and reclamation) either domestic sewage or a combination of domestic sewage and industrial waste or liquid manure.
10. "Vector Attraction" is the characteristic of biosolids that attracts rodents, flies mosquito's or other organisms capable of transporting infectious agents.
11. "Animals" for the purpose of this permit are domestic livestock.
12. "Annual Whole Sludge Application Rate" is the amount of sewage sludge (dry-weight basis) that can be applied to a unit area of land during a cropping cycle.
13. "Agronomic Rate" is the whole sludge application rate (dry-weight basis) designed to: (1) provide the amount of nitrogen needed by the crop or vegetation grown on the land; and (2) minimize the amount of nitrogen in the sewage sludge that passes below the root zone of the crop or vegetation grown on the land to the ground water.
14. "Annual Pollutant Loading Rate" is the maximum amount of a pollutant (dry-weight basis) that can be applied to a unit area of land during a 365-day period.
15. "Application Site or Land Application Site" means all contiguous areas of a users' property intended for sludge application.

PART VIII
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
BIOSOLIDS PERMIT NO. UTL-021911
STORM WATER PERMIT NO. UT0021911

16. "Cumulative Pollutant Loading Rate" is the maximum amount of an inorganic pollutant (dry-weight basis) that can be applied to a unit area of land.
17. "Grit and Screenings" are sand, gravel, cinders, other materials with a high specific gravity and relatively large materials such as rags generated during preliminary treatment of domestic sewage at a treatment works and shall be disposed of according to *40 CFR* 258.
18. "High Potential for Public Contact Site" is land with a high potential for contact by the public. This includes, but is not limited to, public parks, ball fields, cemeteries, plant nurseries, turf farms, and golf courses.
19. "Low Potential for Public Contact Site" is the land with a low potential for contact by the public. This includes, but is not limited to, farms, ranches, reclamation areas, and other lands which are private lands, restricted public lands, or lands which are not generally accessible to or used by the public.
20. "Monthly Average" is the arithmetic mean of all measurements taken during the month.
21. "Volatile Solids" is the amount of the total solids in sewage sludge lost when the sludge is combusted at 550 degrees Celsius for 15-20 minutes in the presence of excess air.

C. Storm Water.

1. "Best Management Practices" ("BMPs") means schedules of activities, prohibitions of practices, maintenance procedures, and other management practices to prevent or reduce the pollution of waters of the State. BMPs also include treatment requirements, operating procedures, and practices to control facility site runoff, spillage or leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw material storage.
2. "Coal pile runoff" means the rainfall runoff from or through any coal storage pile.
3. "Co-located industrial activity" means when a facility has industrial activities being conducted onsite that are described under more than one of the coverage sections of *Appendix I* in the General Multi-Sector Permit for Storm Water Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity. Facilities with co-located industrial activities shall comply with all applicable monitoring and pollution prevention plan requirements of each section in which a co-located industrial activity is described.
4. "Commercial Treatment and Disposal Facilities" means facilities that receive, on a commercial basis, any produced hazardous waste (not their own) and treat or dispose of those wastes as a service to the generators. Such facilities treating and/or disposing exclusively residential hazardous wastes are not included in this definition.
5. "Landfill" means an area of land or an excavation in which wastes are placed for permanent disposal, and that is not a land application unit, surface impoundment, injection well, or waste pile.

PART VIII
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
BIOSOLIDS PERMIT NO. UTL-021911
STORM WATER PERMIT NO. UT0021911

6. “Land application unit” means an area where wastes are applied onto or incorporated into the soil surface (excluding manure spreading operations) for treatment or disposal.
7. “Municipal separate storm sewer system” (large and/or medium) means all municipal separate storm sewers that are either:
 - a. Located in an incorporated place (city) with a population of 100,000 or more as determined by the latest Decennial Census by the Bureau of Census (at the issuance date of this permit, Salt Lake City is the only city in Utah that falls in this category); or
 - b. Located in the counties with unincorporated urbanized populations of 100,000 or more, except municipal separate storm sewers that are located in the incorporated places, townships or towns within such counties (at the issuance date of this permit Salt Lake County is the only county that falls in this category); or
 - c. Owned or operated by a municipality other than those described in paragraph *a.* or *b.* (above) and that are designated by the *Director* as part of the large or medium municipal separate storm sewer system.
8. “NOI” means “notice of intent”, it is an application form that is used to obtain coverage under the General Multi-Sector Permit for Storm Water Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity.
9. “NOT” means “notice of termination”, it is a form used to terminate coverage under the General Multi-Sector Permit for Storm Water Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity.
10. “Point source” means any discernible, confined, and discrete conveyance, including but not limited to, any pipe, ditch, channel, tunnel, conduit, well, discrete fissure, container, rolling stock, concentrated animal feeding operation, landfill leachate collection system, vessel or other floating craft from which pollutants are or may be discharged. This term does not include return flows from irrigated agriculture or agricultural storm water runoff.
11. “Section 313 water priority chemical” means a chemical or chemical categories that:
 - a. Are listed at *40 CFR 372.65* pursuant to *Section 313* of the *Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA)* (also known as *Title III of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA)* of 1986);
 - b. Are present at or above threshold levels at a facility subject to *EPCRA Section 313* reporting requirements; and
 - c. Meet at least one of the following criteria:
 - (1) Are listed in *Appendix D* of *40 CFR Part 122* on either Table II (organic priority pollutants), Table III (certain metals, cyanides, and phenols) or Table V (certain toxic pollutants and hazardous substances);

PART VIII
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
BIOSOLIDS PERMIT NO. UTL-021911
STORM WATER PERMIT NO. UT0021911

- (2) Are listed as a hazardous substance pursuant to *Section 311(b)(2)(A)* of the *CWA* at *40 CFR 116.4*; or
 - (3) Are pollutants for which EPA has published acute or chronic water quality criteria. See *Appendix III* of this permit. This appendix was revised based on final rulemaking EPA published in the *Federal Register* November 30, 1994.
12. “Significant materials” includes, but is not limited to: raw materials; fuels; materials such as solvents, detergents, and plastic pellets; finished materials such as metallic products; raw materials used in food processing or production; hazardous substances designated under *Section 101(14)* of *CERCLA*; any chemical the facility is required to report pursuant to *EPCRA Section 313*; fertilizers; pesticides; and waste products such as ashes, slag and sludge that have the potential to be released with storm water discharges.
13. “Significant spills” includes, but is not limited to: releases of oil or hazardous substances in excess of reportable quantities under *Section 311 of the Clean Water Act* (see *40 CFR 110.10* and *CFR 117.21*) or *Section 102 of CERCLA* (see *40 CFR 302.4*).
14. “Storm water” means storm water runoff, snowmelt runoff, and surface runoff and drainage.
15. “SWDMR” means “storm water discharge monitoring report”, a report of the results of storm water monitoring required by the permit. The Division of Water Quality provides the storm water discharge monitoring report form.
16. “Storm water associated with industrial activity” (*UAC R317-8-3.8(6)(c) & (d)*) means the discharge from any conveyance that is used for collecting and conveying storm water and that is directly related to manufacturing, processing or raw materials storage areas at an industrial plant. The term does not include discharges from facilities or activities excluded from the *UPDES* program. For the categories of industries identified in paragraphs (a) through (j) of this definition, the term includes, but is not limited to, storm water discharges from industrial plant yards; immediate access roads and rail lines used or traveled by carriers of raw materials, manufactured products, waste material, or by-products used or created by the facility; material handling sites; refuse sites; sites used for the application or disposal of process waste waters (as defined in *40 CFR Part 401*); sites used for the storage and maintenance of material handling equipment; sites used for residual treatment, storage, or disposal; shipping and receiving areas; manufacturing buildings; storage areas (including tank farms) for raw materials, and intermediate and finished products; and areas where industrial activity has taken place in the past and significant materials remain and are exposed to storm water. For the categories of industries identified in paragraph (k) of this definition, the term includes only storm water discharges from all areas (except access roads and rail lines) listed in the previous sentence where material handling equipment or activities, raw materials, intermediate products, final products, waste materials, by-products, or industrial machinery are exposed to storm water. For the purposes of this paragraph, material handling activities include the storage, loading and unloading, transportation, or conveyance of any raw material, intermediate product, finished product, by-product or waste product. The term excludes areas located on plant lands separate from the plant's industrial activities, such

PART VIII
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
BIOSOLIDS PERMIT NO. UTL-021911
STORM WATER PERMIT NO. UT0021911

as office buildings and accompanying parking lots as long as the drainage from the excluded areas is not mixed with storm water drained from the above described areas. Industrial facilities (including industrial facilities that are Federally, State, or municipally owned or operated that meet the description of the facilities listed in paragraphs (a) to (k) of this definition) include those facilities designated under *UAC R317-8-3.8(1)(a)5*. The following categories of facilities are considered to be engaging in "industrial activity" for purposes of this subsection:

- a. Facilities subject to storm water effluent limitations guidelines, new source performance standards, or toxic pollutant effluent standards under *40 CFR Subchapter N* (except facilities with toxic pollutant effluent standards that are exempted under category (k) of this definition);
- b. Facilities classified as Standard Industrial Classifications 24 (except 2434), 26 (except 265 and 267), 28 (except 283 and 285), 29, 311, 32 (except 323), 33, 3441, 373;
- c. Facilities classified as Standard Industrial Classifications 10 through 14 (mineral industry) including active or inactive mining operations (except for areas of coal mining operations no longer meeting the definition of a reclamation area under *40 CFR 434.11(l)* because the performance bond issued to the facility by the appropriate SMCRA authority has been released, or except for areas of non-coal mining operations that have been released from applicable State or Federal reclamation requirements after December 17, 1990) and oil and gas exploration, production, processing, or treatment operations, or transmission facilities that discharge storm water contaminated by contact with or that has come into contact with, any overburden, raw material, intermediate products, finished products, byproducts or waste products located on the site of such operations; inactive mining operations are mining sites that are not being actively mined, but that have an identifiable owner/operator;
- d. Hazardous waste treatment, storage, or disposal facilities, including those that are operating under interim status or a permit under Subtitle C of RCRA;
- e. Landfills, land application sites, and open dumps that have received any industrial wastes (waste that is received from any of the facilities described under this subsection) including those that are subject to regulation under *Subtitle D* of RCRA;
- f. Facilities involved in the recycling of materials, including metal scrapyards, battery reclaimers, salvage yards, and automobile junkyards, including but limited to those classified as Standard Industrial Classification 5015 and 5093;
- g. Steam electric power generating facilities, including coal handling sites;
- h. Transportation facilities classified as Standard Industrial Classifications 40, 41, 42 (except 4221-25), 43, 44, 45 and 5171 that have vehicle maintenance shops, equipment cleaning operations, or airport deicing operations. Only those portions of the facility that are either involved in vehicle maintenance (including vehicle rehabilitation, mechanical repairs, painting, fueling, and lubrication), equipment

PART VIII
DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. UT0021911
BIOSOLIDS PERMIT NO. UTL-021911
STORM WATER PERMIT NO. UT0021911

cleaning operations, airport deicing operations, or that are otherwise identified under paragraphs (a) to (g) or (l) to (k) of this subsection are associated with industrial activity;

- i. Treatment works treating domestic sewage or any other sewage sludge or wastewater treatment device or system, used in the storage treatment, recycling, and reclamation of municipal or domestic sewage, including land dedicated to the disposal of sewage sludge that are located within the confines of the facility, with a design flow of 1.0 mgd or more, or required to have an approved pretreatment program under *40 CFR Part 403*. Not included are farm lands, domestic gardens or lands used for sludge management where sludge is beneficially reused and that are not physically located in the confines of the facility, or areas that are in compliance with *40 CFR Part 503*;
 - j. Construction activity including clearing, grading and excavation activities except: operations that result in the disturbance of less than 1 acre of total land area that are not part of a larger common plan of development or sale;
 - k. Facilities under Standard Industrial Classifications 20, 21, 22, 23, 2434, 25, 265, 267, 27, 283, 285, 30, 31 (except 311), 323, 34 (except 3441), 35, 36, 37 (except 373), 38, 39, 4221-25, (and that are not otherwise included within categories (a) to (j))
17. "Waste pile" means any non-containerized accumulation of solid, non-flowing waste that is used for treatment or storage.

**FACT SHEET AND STATEMENT OF BASIS
CENTRAL WEBER SEWER IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT
RENEWAL PERMIT: DISCHARGE, BIOSOLIDS & STORM WATER
UPDES PERMIT NUMBER: UT0021911
UPDES BIOSOLIDS PERMIT NUMBER: UTL-021911
MAJOR MUNICIPAL**

FACILITY CONTACTS

Person Name:	Lance Wood	Person Name:	Shawn Wilson
Position:	General Manager	Position:	Plant Superintendent
Phone Number:	(801) 731-3011		
Person Name:	Kevin Hall	Person Name:	Brett Nelson
Position:	Assistant General Manager	Position:	Pretreatment Coordinator
Phone Number:	(801) 731-3011		
Facility Name:	Central Weber Sewer Improvement District		
Mailing and Facility Address:	2618 West Pioneer Road Ogden, Utah 84404		
Telephone:	(801) 731-3011		
Actual Address:	Same as above		

DESCRIPTION OF FACILITY

Originally placed in service in 1959, the Central Weber Sewer Improvement District (CWSID) uses a single-stage trickling filter treatment process with chlorination and dechlorination. In 2011 an upgrade was completed of the treatment plant that increased the capacity of the treatment plant to 69.5 MGD. The upgrade is a parallel activated sludge treatment plant. The upgrade included a new headwork's facility, raw sludge pump station, two primary clarifiers, aeration basins with a blower building, four secondary clarifiers, a chlorine contact basin, a dechlorination building, two anaerobic digesters with a digester control building, a sludge thickening building, and a 9 foot diameter effluent pipeline to the Weber River. The facility serves the area including the towns of Farr West, Hooper, Harrisville, North Ogden, Ogden, Pleasant View, Marriott-Slaterville, Riverdale, South Ogden, West Haven, South Weber, Washington Terrace, Weber County and portions of Plain City, Roy and Uintah. The facility is located at latitude 41°16'18" and longitude 112°02'49".

SUMMARY OF CHANGES FROM PREVIOUS PERMIT

SCENARIOS:

The number and specifics of scenarios has changed compared to previous permit. Scenario three has been added to handle wet weather events. During these wet weather events this scenario will allow additional discharge flow not typical year round. This will reduce the number of effluent limitation scenarios, as well as better manage the discharge throughout the year.

TBPEL:

Water Quality adopted UAC R317-1-3.3, Technology-Based Phosphorus Effluent Limit (TBPEL) Rule in 2014. The TBPEL rule as it relates to "non-lagoon" wastewater treatment plants establishes new regulations for the discharge of phosphorus to surface waters and is self-implementing. The TBPEL rule includes the following requirements for non-lagoon wastewater treatment plants:

The TBPEL requires that all non-lagoon wastewater treatment works discharging wastewater to surface waters of the state shall provide treatment processes which will produce effluent less than or equal to an annual mean of 1.0 mg/L for total phosphorus. This TBPEL shall be achieved by January 1, 2020.

The TBPEL discharging treatment works are required to implement, at a minimum, monthly monitoring of the following beginning July 1, 2015:

- R317-1-3.3, D, 1 Influent for total phosphorus (as P) and total Kjeldahl nitrogen (as N) concentrations;
- R317-1-3.3, D, 2. Effluent for total phosphorus and orthophosphate (as P), ammonia, nitrate-nitrite and total Kjeldahl nitrogen (as N);

In R317-1-3.3, D, 3 the rule states that all monitoring shall be based on 24-hour composite samples by use of an automatic sampler or a minimum of four grab samples collected a minimum of two hours apart.

CWSID has been granted a variance as to the compliance date to achieve TBPEL (DWQ-2018-004152). This variance does not extend beyond January 1, 2025. CWSID must comply with all TBPEL requirements by that date. Effective January 1, 2020, the Division of Water Quality (DWQ) will impose the following interim effluent limitation: total phosphorus annual average effluent limitation of 1.5 mg/L. Effective January 1, 2025 the total phosphorus annual average effluent limit will be 1.0 mg/L.

Effective January 1, 2020, CWSID shall operate their existing Bio-P removal treatment train with the goal of achieving a total phosphorus annual average effluent concentration of 1.0 mg/L. If CWSID is unable to achieve an annual average effluent concentration of 1.0 mg/L then discussion shall be included in the Annual Report. The discussion shall detail: 1. An explanation for why the 1.0 mg/L total phosphorus annual average goal was not achieved, and 2. Measures currently being taken to achieve the goal.

PARAMETERS:

With the implementation of this permit there will be an effluent limitation for aluminum. There will no longer be an effluent limitation for mercury or copper. See 'Reasonable Potential Analysis' section below.

DISCHARGE

DESCRIPTION OF DISCHARGE

CWSID has been reporting self-monitoring results on Discharge Monitoring Reports on a monthly basis.

<u>Outfall</u>	<u>Description of Discharge Point</u>
001	Located at latitude 41°16'18" and longitude 112°02'48". Discharge flows through a 108 inch pipe into the Weber River and finally the Great Salt Lake.
002	Located at latitude 41°16'18" and longitude 112°02'49". Discharge flows through a 72 inch pipe into the Warren Canal, then to the Weber River and finally the Great Salt Lake.

RECEIVING WATERS AND STREAM CLASSIFICATION

If a discharge were to occur, it would be pumped into the Weber River which is a Class 2B, 3C, 3D, 3E, and 4 according to *Utah Administrative Code (UAC) R317-2-13* and/or the Warren Canal which is a Class 2B, 3E, and 4 according to *Utah Administrative Code (UAC) R317-2-13*:

Class 2B --	Protected for infrequent primary contact recreation. Also protected for secondary contact recreation where there is a low likelihood of ingestion of water or a low degree of bodily contact with the water. Examples include, but are not limited to, wading, hunting, and fishing.
Class 3C --	Protected for nongame fish and other aquatic life, including the necessary aquatic organisms in their food chain.
Class 3D --	Protected for waterfowl, shore birds and other water-oriented wildlife not included in Classes 3A, 3B, or 3C, including the necessary aquatic organisms in their food chain.
Class 3E --	Severely habitat-limited waters. Narrative standards will be applied to protect these waters for aquatic wildlife.
Class 4 --	Protected for agricultural uses including irrigation of crops and stock watering.

BASIS FOR EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

Limitations on total suspended solids (TSS), biochemical oxygen demand (BOD₅), E-coli and pH are based on current Utah Secondary Treatment Standards, *UAC R317-1-3.2*. A variance for the percent removal requirements of TSS and BOD₅ will be continued from the previous permit. In accordance with *UAC R317-1-3.E*, this variance was granted based on the low influent concentration, which is due to inflow and infiltration (I/I), and the infeasibility of the removal of that I/I based on cost of the removal. The oil and grease limit is based on best professional judgment (BPJ). Total residual chlorine (TRC), ammonia, dissolved oxygen (DO), and aluminum limits are determined by wasteload analysis, which is attached.

The facility will be required to test for chronic toxicity. This is because acute toxicity will be detected in the chronic biomonitoring test and the permittee has consistently passed acute testing in the past. If chronic toxicity occurs that might be or is believed to be due to an acute toxicity failure, then the facility may be required to test for acute toxicity as per the Directors requirements. The Chronic WET must pass with an IC₂₅ of > 73.3% (Scenario 1 and 2) or IC₂₅ of > 82.9% (Scenario 3) effluent.

Reasonable Potential Analysis

Since January 1, 2016, DWQ has conducted reasonable potential analysis (RP) on all new and renewal applications received after that date. RP for this permit renewal was conducted following DWQ's September 10, 2015 Reasonable Potential Analysis Guidance (RP Guidance). There are four outcomes defined in the RP Guidance: Outcome A, B, C, or D. These Outcomes provide a frame work for what routine monitoring or effluent limitations are required.

A quantitative RP analysis was performed on aluminum, arsenic, cadmium, chromium, cyanide, copper, lead, mercury, molybdenum, nickel, selenium, silver, and zinc to determine if there was reasonable potential for the discharge to exceed the applicable water quality standards. Based on the RP analysis, the following parameter exceeded the most stringent chronic water quality standard or was determined to have a reasonable potential to exceed the standard: aluminum. A copy of the RP analysis is included at the end of this Fact Sheet.

Scenarios

CWSID's wastewater treatment facility will discharge using one of the following mutually exclusive scenarios:

Scenario	Outfall	Description	Flow, MGD
1	001	Discharge from 108 inch pipe to the Weber River	49
2	002	72 inch pipe to the Weber River via Warren Canal	49
3	001 002	24.5 MGD from the 001 pipe to the Weber River, and 45 MGD from the 002 pipe to the Warren Canal	69.5

Limits for Scenario 1:

Parameter	Outfall 001				
	Effluent Limitations *a				
	Maximum Monthly Avg	Maximum Weekly Avg	Yearly Average	Daily Minimum	Daily Maximum
Total Flow, MGD	49	--	--	--	--
BOD ₅ , mg/L	25	35	--	--	--
TSS, mg/L	25	35	--	--	--
Dissolved Oxygen, mg/L	--	--	--	5.0	--
Total Ammonia (as N), mg/L					
Summer (Jul-Sep)	3.88	--	--	--	19.24
Fall (Oct-Dec)	4.93	--	--	--	18.11
Winter (Jan-Mar)	5.14	--	--	--	20.98
Spring (Apr-Jun)	5.78	--	--	--	23.72

Interim Total Phosphorous, mg/L (Effective Jan 1, 2020 – Dec 31, 2024)*e	--	--	1.5	--	--
Final Total Phosphorous, mg/L (Effective Jan 1, 2025)	--	--	1.0	--	--
TRC, mg/L					
Summer (Jul-Sep)	0.014	--	--	--	0.025
Fall (Oct-Dec)	0.019	--	--	--	0.033
Winter (Jan-Mar)	0.016	--	--	--	0.027
Spring (Apr-Jun)	0.018	--	--	--	0.031
<i>E. coli</i> , No./100mL	126	157	--	--	--
Oil & Grease, mg/L	--	--	--	--	10.0
WET, Chronic Biomonitoring *h	--	--	--	--	IC ₂₅ > 73.3% effluent
pH, Standard Units	--	--	--	6.5	9

Limits for Scenario 2:

	Outfall 002				
Parameter	Effluent Limitations *a				
	Maximum Monthly Avg	Maximum Weekly Avg	Yearly Average	Daily Minimum	Daily Maximum
Total Flow, MGD	49	--	--	--	--
BOD ₅ , mg/L	25	35	--	--	--
TSS, mg/L	25	35	--	--	--
Dissolved Oxygen, mg/L	--	--	--	5.0	--
Total Ammonia (as N), mg/L					
Summer (Jul-Sep)	8.22	--	--	--	34.64
Fall (Oct-Dec)	7.30	--	--	--	25.90
Winter (Jan-Mar)	9.27	--	--	--	36.06
Spring (Apr-Jun)	8.84	--	--	--	34.85
Interim Total Phosphorous, mg/L (Effective Jan 1, 2020 – Dec 31, 2024)*e	--	--	1.5	--	--
Final Total Phosphorous, mg/L (Effective Jan 1, 2025)	--	--	1.0	--	--
TRC, mg/L					
Summer (Jul-Sep)	2.032	--	--	--	3.511
Fall (Oct-Dec)	0.223	--	--	--	0.386
Winter (Jan-Mar)	0.595	--	--	--	1.027
Spring (Apr-Jun)	0.208	--	--	--	0.360
<i>E. coli</i> , No./100mL	126	157	--	--	--
Oil & Grease, mg/L	--	--	--	--	10.0

Aluminum, mg/L					
Summer (Jul-Sep)	--	--	--	--	1.19
Fall (Oct-Dec)	--	--	--	--	1.51
Winter (Jan-Mar)	--	--	--	--	1.28
Spring (Apr-Jun)	--	--	--	--	1.43
WET, Chronic Biomonitoring *h	--	--	--	--	IC ₂₅ > 73.3% effluent
pH, Standard Units	--	--	--	6.5	9

Limits for Scenario 3:

	Outfall 001 and Outfall 002				
Parameter	Effluent Limitations *a				
	Maximum Monthly Avg	Maximum Weekly Avg	Yearly Average	Daily Minimum	Daily Maximum
Total Flow, MGD					
Outfall 001	24.5	--	--	--	--
Outfall 002	45	--	--	--	--
BOD ₅ , mg/L	25	35	--	--	--
TSS, mg/L	25	35	--	--	--
Dissolved Oxygen, mg/L	--	--	--	5.0	--
Total Ammonia (as N), mg/L					
Summer (Jul-Sep)	3.11	--	--	--	11.55
Fall (Oct-Dec)	3.05	--	--	--	9.29
Winter (Jan-Mar)	4.36	--	--	--	14.02
Spring (Apr-Jun)	4.36	--	--	--	14.39
Interim Total Phosphorous, mg/L (Effective Jan 1, 2020 – Dec 31, 2024)*e	--	--	1.5	--	--
Final Total Phosphorous, mg/L (Effective Jan 1, 2025)	--	--	1.0	--	--
TRC, mg/L					
Summer (Jul-Sep)	0.017	--	--	--	0.030
Fall (Oct-Dec)	0.027	--	--	--	0.046
Winter (Jan-Mar)	0.020	--	--	--	0.035
Spring (Apr-Jun)	0.024	--	--	--	0.042
<i>E. coli</i> , No./100mL	126	157	--	--	--
Oil & Grease, mg/L	--	--	--	--	10.0
Aluminum, mg/L					
Summer (Jul-Sep)	--	--	--	--	1.05
Fall (Oct-Dec)	--	--	--	--	1.26
Winter (Jan-Mar)	--	--	--	--	1.11
Spring (Apr-Jun)	--	--	--	--	1.20

WET, Chronic Biomonitoring *h	--	--	--	--	IC ₂₅ > 82.9% effluent
pH, Standard Units	--	--	--	6.5	9

The Chronic WET limit dilution percentages for each scenario are shown below. For additional information regarding WET see Part I of the permit or the Biomonitoring Requirements section of this document.

Scenario	Percent Effluent
1	73.3
2	73.3
3	82.9

SELF-MONITORING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The following self-monitoring requirements are different from the previous permit, as now additional phosphorous and nitrogen monitoring is required. The permit will require reports to be submitted monthly and annually, as applicable, on Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) forms due 28 days after the end of the monitoring period. Effective January 1, 2017, monitoring results must be submitted using NetDMR unless the permittee has successfully petitioned for an exception. Lab sheets for biomonitoring must be attached to the biomonitoring DMR. Lab sheets for metals and toxic organics must be attached to the DMRs.

Self-Monitoring and Reporting Requirements for Outfall 001 and Outfall 002 *a			
Parameter	Frequency	Sample Type	Units
Total Flow *b, *c	Continuous	Recorder	MGD
BOD ₅ , Influent *d	Daily	Composite	mg/L
Effluent	Daily	Composite	mg/L
TSS, Influent *d	Daily	Composite	mg/L
Effluent	Daily	Composite	mg/L
<i>E. coli</i>	Daily	Grab	No./100mL
pH	Daily	Grab	SU
Total Ammonia (as N)	Daily	Composite	mg/L
DO	Daily	Grab	mg/L
WET – Biomonitoring *h	Quarterly		
Ceriodaphnia - Chronic	2 nd & 4 th Quarter	Composite	Pass/Fail
Fathead Minnows - Chronic	1 st & 3 rd Quarter	Composite	Pass/Fail
TRC, mg/L, *g	Daily	Grab	mg/L
Oil & Grease *f	When Sheen Observed	Grab	mg/L
Orthophosphate, (as P) *e			
Effluent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Phosphorus, Total *e			
Influent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Effluent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L

Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, TKN (as N) *e Influent Effluent	Monthly Monthly	Composite Composite	mg/L mg/L
Nitrate, NO3 *e	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Nitrite, NO2 *e	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Mercury	6 X Yearly	Grab	mg/L
Metals *i Influent Effluent	6 X Yearly 6 X Yearly	Composite/Grab Composite/Grab	mg/L mg/L
Organic Toxics Influent Effluent	2 X Yearly 2 X Yearly	Grab Grab	mg/L mg/L

*a See Definitions, *Part VIII*, for definition of terms.

*b Flow measurements of influent/effluent volume shall be made in such a manner that the permittee can affirmatively demonstrate that representative values are being obtained.

*c If the rate of discharge is controlled, the rate and duration of discharge shall be reported.

*d In addition to monitoring the final discharge, influent samples shall be taken and analyzed for this constituent at the same frequency as required for this constituent in the discharge.

*e These reflect changes required with the adoption of UCA R317-1-3.3, Technology-based Phosphorus Effluent Limits (TBPEL) rule. CWSID has been granted a variance as to the compliance date to achieve TBPEL (DWQ-2018-004152). This variance does not extend beyond January 1, 2025. CWSID must comply with all TBPEL requirements by that date. Effective January 1, 2020, the Division of Water Quality (DWQ) will impose the following interim effluent limitation: total phosphorus annual average effluent limitation of 1.5 mg/L. Effective January 1, 2025 the total phosphorus annual average effluent limit will be 1.0 mg/L.

*f Oil & Grease sampled when sheen is present or visible. If no sheen is present or visible, report NA.

*g Total residual chlorine monitoring is done daily. This has changed from previous permit.

*h The chronic Ceriodaphnia will be tested during the 2nd and 4th quarters, and the chronic fathead minnows will be tested during the 1st and 3rd quarters.

*i Metals results were reviewed for the last permit cycle. Only aluminum appeared to be close to the limits suggested in the Wasteload. No other limits are required at this time.

BIOSOLIDS

For clarification purposes, sewage sludge is considered solids, until treatment or testing shows that the solids are safe, and meet beneficial use standards. After the solids are tested or treated, the solids are then known as biosolids. Class A biosolids may be used for high public contact sites, such as home lawns and gardens, parks, or playing fields, etc. Class B biosolids may be used for low public contact sites, such as farms, rangeland, or reclamation sites, etc.

SUBSTANTIAL BIOSOLIDS TREATMENT CHANGES

There have been no substantial changes in regards to biosolids treatment since the 2014 permit.

DESCRIPTION OF TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

CWSID submitted their 2018 annual biosolids (DWQ-2019-001496) report on February 6, 2019. The report states CWSID produced 3,253 dry metric tons (DMT) of solids. All of the biosolids produced at CWSID are treated either in a compost operation or stored and treated through the two summer storage method for the pathogen reduction requirements.

CWSID sold or gave away 1,382 dry metric tons of the composted biosolids. CWSID also applies Class A biosolids to agricultural land. This Class A biosolids meets the Pathogen reduction requirements. During the 2018 calendar year 2,282 dry metric tons of Class A biosolids was land applied to agricultural lands. In total 3,664 DMT of composted biosolids were produced and sold or given away to the public. At the time the report was submitted CWSID had a total of 389 dry metric tons of biosolids in storage.

The solids are stabilized in a primary anaerobic digester and secondary anaerobic digester with a combined mean cell residence time of 82 days at an average temperature of 35° C (95° F). After stabilization, the biosolids are de-watered with a belt press to about seventeen percent solids.

SELF-MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

Under *40 CFR 503.16(a)(1)*, the self-monitoring requirements are based upon the amount of biosolids disposed per year and shall be monitored according to the chart below.

Minimum Frequency of Monitoring (40 CFR Part 503.16, 503.26. and 503.46)		
Amount of Biosolids Disposed Per Year		Monitoring Frequency
Dry US Tons	Dry Metric Tons	Per Year or Batch
> 0 to < 320	> 0 to < 290	Once Per Year or Batch
> 320 to < 1650	> 290 to < 1,500	Once a Quarter or Four Times
> 1,650 to < 16,500	> 1,500 to < 15,000	Bi-Monthly or Six Times
> 16,500	> 15,000	Monthly or Twelve Times

CWSID produces on average 4,100 DMT of biosolids annually, therefore they need to sample at least 6 times a year.

Landfill Monitoring

Under *40 CFR 258*, the landfill monitoring requirements include a paint filter test. If the biosolids do not pass a paint filter test, the biosolids cannot be disposed in the sanitary landfill (*40 CFR 258.28(c)(1)*). No biosolids were landfilled in 2018.

BIOSOLIDS LIMITATIONS

Heavy Metals

Class A Biosolids for Home Lawn and Garden Use

The intent of the heavy metals regulations of Table 3, *40 CFR 503.13* is to ensure the heavy metals do not build up in the soil in home lawn and gardens to the point where the heavy metals become phytotoxic to plants. The permittee will be required to produce an information sheet (see *Part III. C.* of the permit) to made available to all people who are receiving and land applying Class A biosolids to their lawns and gardens. If the instructions of the information sheet are followed to any reasonable degree, the Class A biosolids will be able to be land applied year after year, to the same lawns and garden plots without any deleterious effects to the environment. The information sheet must be provided to the public, because the permittee is not required, nor able to track the quantity of Class A biosolids that are land applied to home lawns and gardens.

Class A Requirements With Regards to Heavy Metals

If the biosolids are to be applied to a lawn or home garden, the biosolids shall not exceed the maximum heavy metals in Table 1 and the monthly average pollutant concentrations in Table 3 (see Table 1 and Table 3 below). If the biosolids do not meet these requirements, the biosolids cannot be sold or given away for applications to home lawns and gardens.

Class B Requirements for Agriculture and Reclamation Sites

The intent of the heavy metals regulations of Tables 1, 2 and 3, of *40 CFR 503.13* is to ensure that heavy metals do not build up in the soil at farms, forest land, and land reclamation sites to the point where the heavy metals become phytotoxic to plants. The permittee will be required to produce an information sheet (see *Part III. C.* of the permit) to be handed out to all people who are receiving and land applying Class B biosolids to farms, ranches, and land reclamation sites (if biosolids are only applied to land owned by the permittee, the information sheet requirements are waived). If the biosolids are land applied according to the regulations of *40 CFR 503.13*, to any reasonable degree, the Class B biosolids will be able to be land applied year after year, to the same farms, ranches, and land reclamation sites without any deleterious effects to the environment.

Class B Requirements With Regards to Heavy Metals

If the biosolids are to be land applied to agricultural land, forest land, a public contact site or a reclamation site it must meet at all times:

The maximum heavy metals listed in *40 CFR Part 503.13(b) Table 1* and the heavy metals loading rates in *40 CFR Part 503.13(b) Table 2*; or

The maximum heavy metals in *40 CFR Part 503.13(b) Table 1* and the monthly heavy metals concentrations in *40 CFR Part 503.13(b) Table 3*.

Tables 1, 2, and 3 of Heavy Metal Limitations

Pollutant Limits, (40 CFR Part 503.13(b)) Dry Mass Basis				
Heavy Metals	Table 1	Table 2	Table 3	Table 4

	Ceiling Conc. Limits, (mg/kg)	CPLR ¹ , (mg/ha)	Pollutant Conc. Limits, (mg/kg)	APLR ² , (mg/ha-yr)
Total Arsenic	75	41	41	2
Total Cadmium	85	39	39	1.9
Total Copper	4300	1500	1500	75
Total Lead	840	300	300	15
Total Mercury	57	17	17	0.85
Total Molybdenum	75	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Nickel	420	420	420	21
Total Selenium	100	100	100	5.0
Total Zinc	7500	2800	2800	140

Any violation of these limitations shall be reported in accordance with the requirements of Part III.F.1. of the permit. If the biosolids do not meet these requirements they cannot be land applied.

Pathogens

The Pathogen Control class listed in the table below must be met;

Pathogen Control Class	
503.32 (a)(1) - (5), (7),-(8), Class A	503.32 (b)(1) - (5), Class B
B Salmonella species –less than three (3) MPN ³ per four (4) grams total solids (DWB) ⁴ or Fecal Coliforms – less than 1,000 MPN per gram total solids (DWB).	Fecal Coliforms – less than 2,000,000 MPN or CFU ⁵ per gram total solids (DWB).
503.32 (a)(6) Class A—Alternative 4	
B Salmonella species –less than three (3) MPN per four (4) grams total solids (DWB) or less than 1,000 MPN Fecal Coliforms per gram total solids (DWB),	
And - Enteric viruses –less than one (1) plaque forming unit per four (4) grams total solids (DWB)	
And - Viable helminth ova –less than one (1) per four (4) grams total solids (DWB)	

Class A Requirements for Home Lawn and Garden Use

If biosolids are land applied to home lawns and gardens, the biosolids need to be treated by a specific process to further reduce pathogens (PFRP), and meet a microbiological limit of less than less than 3 most probable number (MPN) of *Salmonella* per 4 grams of total solids (or less than 1,000 most probable number (MPN/g) of fecal coliform per gram of total solids) to be considered Class A biosolids. CWSID will achieve PFRP using the Windrow Method.

¹ CPLR -- Cumulative Pollutant Loading Rate

² APLR – Annual Pollutant Loading Rate

³ MPN – Most Probable Number

⁴ DWB – Dry Weight Basis.

⁵ CFU – Colony Forming Units

1. Windrow Method - Using the windrow method of composting, the temperature needs to be maintained at 55 °C (131 °F) or higher for fifteen days, with a minimum of five turnings during those fifteen days.

This composting method can be found under *(40 CFR 503.32(a)(8)(ii))*.

2. Two Summers Method - Biosolids are stored in batch over at least two summers. The biosolids have a total solids content of at least 14%, but no more than 40%, when the piles are formed. The piles are formed in windrows 3.5 to 6.0 feet in height. During the first summer the total solids of the pile does not exceed 60%. The average temperature of the pile exceeds 20 °C for 12 months of the storage period. (These 12 months are not necessarily consecutive months.) The pile is turned at least three times (at evenly spaced intervals during each summer period. The finished biosolids do not contain more than one viable helminth ova per four grams of total solids (dry weight basis).

The Two Summer method is as approved by the EPA Pathogen Equivalency Committee (PEC).

The practice of sale or giveaway to the public is an acceptable use of biosolids of this quality as long as the biosolids continue to meet Class A standards with respect to pathogens. If the biosolids do not meet Class A pathogen standards the biosolids cannot be sold or given away to the public, and the permittee will need find another method of beneficial use or disposal.

Pathogens Class B

If biosolids are to be land applied for agriculture or land reclamation the solids need to be treated by a specific process to significantly reduce pathogens (PSRP). The Permittee has not chosen to produce biosolids that meet the Class B PSRP requirements.

Vector Attraction Reduction (VAR)

If the biosolids are land applied CWSID will be required to meet VAR through the use of a method of listed under *40 CFR 503.33*. CWSID intends to meet the vector attraction reduction requirements through one of the methods listed below.

1. Under *40 CFR 503.33(b)(1)*, the solids need to be treated through anaerobic digestion for at least 15 days at a temperature of at least 35 °C (95 °F) with a 38% reduction of volatile solids. At CWSID the solids are stabilized in a primary anaerobic digester and secondary anaerobic digester with a combined mean cell residence time of 82 days at an average temperature of 35 °C (95 °F).

If the biosolids do not meet a method of VAR, the biosolids cannot be land applied.

If the permittee intends to use another one of the listed alternatives in *40 CFR 503.33*, the Director and the EPA must be informed at least thirty (30) days prior to its use. This change may be made without additional public notice

Landfill Monitoring

Under *40 CFR 258*, the landfill monitoring requirements include a paint filter test to determine if the

biosolids exhibit free liquid. If the biosolids do not pass a paint filter test, the biosolids cannot be disposed in the sanitary landfill (40 CFR 258.28(c)(1)).

Record Keeping

The record keeping requirements from 40 CFR 503.17 are included under *Part III.G.* of the permit. The amount of time the records must be maintained are dependent on the quality of the biosolids in regards to the metals concentrations. If the biosolids continue to meet the metals limits of *Table 3* of 40 CFR 503.13, and are sold or given away the records must be retained for a minimum of five years. If the biosolids are disposed in a landfill the records must retained for a minimum of five years.

Reporting

CWSID must report annually as required in 40 CFR 503.18. This report is to include the results of all monitoring performed in accordance with *Part III.B* of the permit, information on management practices, biosolids treatment, and certifications. This report is due no later than February 19 of each year. Each report is for the previous calendar year.

MONITORING DATA

METALS MONITORING DATA

CWSID has sampled for metals 12 times a year since 2010. All biosolids land applied in have met *Table 3* of 40 CFR 503.13, therefore the CWSID biosolids qualify as EQ with regards to metals. The monitoring data summarized is below.

CWSID Metals Monitoring Data 2010 through 2018

CWSID Metals Monitoring Data (2010 – 2018)				
Heavy Metals	Table 1, mg/kg	Table 3, mg/kg	CWSID Average, mg/kg	CWSID Maximum, mg/kg
Total Arsenic	75	41	23	35
Total Cadmium	85	39	2	17
Total Copper	4300	1,500	812	1440
Total Lead	840	300	36	68
Total Mercury	57	17	1.7	4.4
Total Molybdenum	75	N/A	12.6	27
Total Nickel	420	420	38	95
Total Selenium	100	100	12.2	28
Total Zinc	7500	2,800	1479	2550

PATHOGEN MONITORING DATA

CWSID has been monitoring for *Fecal Coliform* and Helminth Ova since 2010. The results indicate they have met the pathogen monitoring requirements. The results from 2010 through 2018 are summarized below.

TSSD Pathogen Monitoring Data 2011 through 2018.

Annual <i>Helmoth Ova</i> Monitoring Results, 2010 - 2018		
Year	Average Annual Samples, Per 4 gm	Maximum Sample, Per 4 gm

Annual <i>Helmoth Ova</i> Monitoring Results, 2010 - 2018		
Year	Average Annual Samples, Per 4 gm	Maximum Sample, Per 4 gm
2018	0	0
2017	0	0
2016	0	0
2015	0	0
2014	0	0
2013	0	0
2012	0	0
2011	0	0
2010	0	0

Annual <i>Fecal Coliform</i> Monitoring Results, 2010 - 2018		
Year	Geometric Mean of Samples, MPN/gm	Maximum Sample, MPN/gm
2018	30	420
2017	63	404
2016	281	19031
2015	223	2604
2014	190	8344
2013	51	1990
2012	35	69
2011	37	327
2010	52	385

STORM WATER

STORM WATER REQUIREMENTS

Storm water provisions are included in this combined UPDES permit.

The storm water requirements are based on the UPDES Multi-Sector General Permit for Storm Water Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity, General Permit No. UTR000000 (MSGP). All sections of the MSGP that pertain to discharges from wastewater treatment plants have been included and sections which are redundant or do not pertain have been deleted.

The permit requires the preparation and implementation of a storm water pollution prevention plan for all areas within the confines of the plant. Elements of this plan are required to include:

1. The development of a pollution prevention team,
2. Development of drainage maps and materials stockpiles,
3. An inventory of exposed materials,
4. Spill reporting and response procedures,
5. A preventative maintenance program,
6. Employee training,
7. Certification that storm water discharges are not mixed with non-storm water discharges,
8. Compliance site evaluations and potential pollutant source identification, and
9. Visual examinations of storm water discharges.

PRETREATMENT REQUIREMENTS

Changes have been made to the pretreatment section of the permit. The permittee will no longer be required to implement a mercury control program. This is due to the implementation of the categorical standard by EPA. Also the permittee has not violated mercury since prior to August 2016, therefore it has been determined that the mercury control program is no longer necessary.

The pretreatment requirements, regarding administering an approved pretreatment program, remain the same as in the current permit. Any substantial and/or non-substantial changes to the program as defined in *40 CFR 403.18*, must be submitted for approval to the Division of Water Quality. Authority to require a pretreatment program is provided for in *19-5-108 UCA*, *1953 ann.* and *UAC R317-8-8*.

The sampling of metals will be conducted six times a year and the sampling of organic toxics will be conducted twice a year, see Part II of the UPDES Permit. This is consistent with the guidance developed by the Division of Water Quality. Additional requirements have been added to the permit to ensure that if the allowable headwork's loading is above the value calculated for the local limit development that additional monitoring and notification must occur.

The permittee will be required to perform an annual evaluation of the need to revise or develop technically based local limits to implement the general and specific prohibitions of *40 CFR, Part 403.5(a)* and *Part 403.5(b)*. This evaluation may indicate that present local limits are sufficiently protective, or that they must be revised. The initial evaluation is due twelve months after the effective date of the permit. As part of this evaluation, the permit requires influent and effluent monitoring for metals and organic toxics. The permittee should utilize EPA's Local Limits Development Guidance to justify the re-evaluation of the local limits.

BIOMONITORING REQUIREMENTS

A nationwide effort to control toxic discharges where effluent toxicity is an existing or potential concern is regulated in accordance with the Utah Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit and Enforcement Guidance Document for Whole Effluent Toxicity Control (biomonitoring), dated February 2018. Authority to require effluent biomonitoring is provided in Permit Conditions, UAC R317-8-4.2, Permit Provisions, UAC R317-8-5.3 and Water Quality Standards, UAC R317-2-5 and R317 -2-7.2.

PERMIT DURATION

It is recommended that this permit be effective for a duration of five (5) years.

Drafted by
Danielle Lenz, Discharge
Daniel Griffin, Biosolids
Jennifer Robinson, Pretreatment
Lonnie Shull, Biomonitoring
Lisa Stevens, Storm Water
Dave Wham, Wasteload Analysis
Utah Division of Water Quality, (801) 536-4300

PUBLIC NOTICE

Began: January 21, 2020
Ended: February 21, 2020

Comments will be received at: 195 North 1950 West
PO Box 144870
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-4870

The Public Notice of the draft permit was published in the Standard Examiner.

During the public comment period provided under R317-8-6.5, any interested person may submit written comments on the draft permit and may request a public hearing, if no hearing has already been scheduled. A request for a public hearing shall be in writing and shall state the nature of the issues proposed to be raised in the hearing. All comments will be considered in making the final decision and shall be answered as provided in R317-8-6.12.

ADDENDUM TO FSSOB

During finalization of the Permit certain dates, spelling edits and minor language corrections were completed. Due to the nature of these changes they were not considered Major and the permit is not required to be re Public Noticed.

Responsiveness Summary

There were no public comments received during the public notice period.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

ATTACHMENT 1

Effluent Monitoring Data

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Effluent Monitoring Data.

Outfall 001:

	Flow		pH		O & G	TRC	<i>E. coli</i>		BOD5		TSS	
Month	Ave	Max	Min	Max	Max	Max	Acute	Chronic	Ave	Max	Ave	Max
Nov-14 through Jun -15	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Jul-15	0.2	21.3	7.5	7.5	3.5	0.35	2	2	4.5	4.5	5	-
Aug-15 through May-16	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Jun-16	0.0018	0.05	7.4	7.4	3.5	0.4	15.8	15.8	3.7	3.7	5	-
Jul-16 through Mar -19	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Apr-19	0.1	-	7.3	7.3	3.5	0.2	16.9	16.9	4.8	5.7	5.1	-
May-19	0.4	-	7.2	7.7	3.5	0.06	11.1	23.4	4.6	5.3	5	-
Jun-19	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Month	WET Test	Pass / Fail
Sep-15	Chronic Ceriodaphnia	Pass
Jun-16	Chronic Pimephales Promelas	Pass
Mar-17	Chronic Ceriodaphnia	Pass
Jun-19	Chronic Pimephales Promelas	Pass

Outfall 002:

	Flow		pH		O & G	TRC	<i>E. coli</i>		BOD5		TSS	
Month	Ave	Max	Min	Max	Max	Max	Acute	Chronic	Ave	Max	Ave	Max
Nov-14	26.2	-	7.3	7.6	3.5	0.5	7.6	18.3	9.1	10.7	6.3	7
Dec-14	24.9	-	7.4	7.7	4	0.1	28.8	70.8	13.8	15.8	8.8	10
Jan-15	26.1	-	7.2	7.6	3.8	0.1	23.2	111.3	18.4	21.6	12	18.7
Feb-15	24.8	-	7.3	7.5	5.3	0.1	2.8	4.9	13.6	15.6	8.9	15.6
Mar-15	24.5	-	7.2	7.7	3.5	0.1	2.2	1.5	9.1	10.6	6.6	7.9
Apr-15	25.2	-	7.2	7.5	3.5	0.1	4.9	5.4	9.5	10.2	6.3	7.1
May-15	38.4	-	7.2	7.6	3.5	0.2	16.5	25.4	13.1	17.7	7.4	9.7
Jun-15	40.4	-	7.2	7.5	3.9	0.5	10.1	30.6	11.4	13.3	6.8	8
Jul-15	39.9	-	7.4	7.6	3.5	0.8	21.7	51.6	9.4	10.4	6.7	7.1
Aug-15	39.1	-	7.4	7.6	3.5	0.6	38.6	74.4	9.4	10.9	7.5	8.3
Sep-15	36.9	-	7.4	7.9	3.5	0.2	32.3	38.8	11.1	11.8	7.2	7.8
Oct-15	30.9	-	7.3	7.7	3.5	0.1	53.9	107.1	8.2	10.4	6.3	7.1
Nov-15	27.1	-	7.4	7.8	3.5	0.1	26	36.3	6.9	8.1	5	5.1
Dec-15	27.8	-	7.3	7.6	3.5	0.1	17.7	112.9	9.7	13.3	5.1	5.1

Jan-16	31	-	7.4	7.6	3.5	0.1	16.7	24.4	12.2	14.2	5.7	6.2
Feb-16	33.7	-	7.4	7.6	3.5	0.1	39.9	111	12.7	15.2	6.2	6.6
Mar-16	31.4	-	7.3	7.5	3.5	0.1	38.6	93.1	11	12.4	5.6	5.9
Apr-16	32.7	-	7.37	7.53	3.5	0.1	11.4	24.1	15.2	16.7	7.1	8.3
May-16	36.1	-	7.4	7.6	3.5	0.1	14.5	30.4	11	13.2	6	6.6
Jun-16	40.4	-	7.4	7.6	3.5	0.5	12.2	17.6	8.4	9.1	6	7
Jul-16	40	-	7.4	7.6	4.2	0.7	14.2	47.3	8.2	8.8	6.4	7.1
Aug-16	39.6	-	7.4	7.8	3.5	0.7	16.3	21.1	8.3	9.4	6.9	7.5
Sep-16	41.2	-	7.4	7.6	3.5	0.37	23.7	83	7.9	8.7	5.9	5.9
Oct-16	34.3	-	7.4	7.7	3.5	0.3	9.2	13.3	7.5	9.1	7.5	6.1
Nov-16	29.1	-	7.4	7.6	3.5	0.1	13.6	21.7	7.2	8.7	5.4	5.8
Dec-16	34	-	7.2	7.7	3.5	0.1	10.7	32.7	11.4	15.2	6.9	8.9
Jan-17	40.6	-	7.65	7.13	6.7	0.1	27.3	46.4	12.8	14.4	12.8	14.4
Feb-17	54.8	-	7.3	7.5	3.7	0.1	14.9	24.9	13.3	16	7.2	9.8
Mar-17	46.7	-	7.4	7.7	4.5	0.4	15.2	14	11.2	12.3	5.8	5.9
Apr-17	42.9	-	6.7	7.6	4.2	0.3	37.5	133.6	8.3	10.8	5.5	6.4
May-17	42.2	-	7.3	7.5	3.7	0.7	10	16.6	7.2	8.4	5.6	6.3
Jun-17	46	-	7.3	7.5	3.5	0.8	20.1	24.2	7.2	9.1	5.7	6.7
Jul-17	41.7	-	7.3	7.6	3.5	0.7	13.5	58.2	5	5.5	5.2	5.5
Aug-17	38.9	-	7.4	7.5	4	0.9	11	39.8	5.1	5.9	5	5.1
Sep-17	38.4	-	7.4	7.6	3.5	0.4	16.4	22	6.8	7.8	5.2	5.4
Oct-17	31.7	-	7.2	7.5	3.5	0.07	20.6	32.3	6.6	7.9	5	5.1
Nov-17	28.1	-	7.3	7.5	3.8	0.1	25.4	52.2	6.3	8.9	5.1	5.5
Dec-17	26.2	-	7.3	7.4	3.5	0.29	10.3	13.4	6.4	8.2	5.1	5.2
Jan-18	26.6	-	7.1	7.4	3.5	0.46	11.4	61.4	9.8	11.7	5.3	5.5
Feb-18	25.1	-	7.6	7.2	3.5	0.07	19.4	39.3	10.1	11.2	5.3	5.8
Mar-18	27.2	-	7.2	7.4	3.5	0.6	33.8	39.6	12.9	15	7	8.9
Apr-18	28	-	7.3	7.4	3.5	0.3	20.7	48.6	7.6	8.4	5.3	5.6
May-18	29.6	-	7.3	7.6	3.5	0.5	11.1	12.8	6.3	6.6	5.1	5.1
Jun-18	33.3	-	7.3	7.5	3.5	0.8	9.9	18.2	7	11.6	5.3	6.9
Jul-18	35.6	-	7.3	7.5	3.5	0.6	14.2	19.8	6.8	7.6	5.2	5.7
Aug-18	36.5	-	7.3	7.7	3.5	0.7	16.3	23.9	7.7	8.6	5.9	6
Sep-18	35.5	-	7.3	7.5	3.5	0.2	30	34.6	10.6	11.5	7	7.7
Oct-18	32.6	-	7.3	7.8	3.5	0.16	50	65.3	10.6	11.3	6.8	8.1
Nov-18	27.5	-	7	7.5	3.5	0.1	36.2	69.4	10.2	12.4	5.8	6.4
Dec-18	27.5	-	7.3	7.5	3.5	0.1	28.5	40.8	10.6	11.4	6.3	6.5
Jan-19	29.3	-	7.3	7.5	4.3	0.07	15.5	50.8	11.1	12.4	6.9	7.3
Feb-19	34.2	-	7.2	7.5	3.7	0.13	18.3	73.5	10.7	12.3	6.3	7.1
Mar-19	40.4	-	7.1	7.5	3.5	0.7	7.7	17.2	11	13.5	6.7	8.3
Apr-19	49.4	-	7.2	7.5	3.7	0.5	11.2	13.6	12.4	13.2	6.7	7.3
May-19	46.5	-	7.3	7.7	3.5	0.2	10.8	21.3	11	11.9	7.1	9.2
Jun-19	44.5	-	7.3	7.5	3.5	0.7	10.7	17.4	9.7	11.1	6.9	7.3

Month	WET Test	Pass / Fail
Dec-14	Chronic Pimephales Promelas	Fail
Mar-15	Chronic Ceriodaphnia	Fail
Jun-15	Chronic Pimephales Promelas	Pass
Sep-15	Chronic Ceriodaphnia	Pass
Dec-15	Chronic Pimephales Promelas	Pass
Mar-16	Chronic Ceriodaphnia	Pass
Jun-16	Chronic Pimephales Promelas	Pass
Sep-16	Chronic Ceriodaphnia	Pass
Dec-16	Chronic Pimephales Promelas	Pass
Mar-17	Chronic Ceriodaphnia	Pass
Jun-17	Chronic Pimephales Promelas	Pass
Sep-17	Chronic Ceriodaphnia	Pass
Dec-17	Chronic Pimephales Promelas	Pass
Mar-18	Chronic Ceriodaphnia	Pass
Jun-18	Chronic Pimephales Promelas	Pass
Sep-18	Chronic Ceriodaphnia	Pass
Dec-18	Chronic Pimephales Promelas	Pass
Mar-19	Chronic Ceriodaphnia	Pass
Jun-19	Chronic Pimephales Promelas	Pass

ATTACHMENT 2

Wasteload Analysis

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Statement of Basis
ADDENDUM
Wasteload Analysis and Antidegradation Level I Review**

Date: September 23, 2019

Prepared by: Dave Wham 
Standards and Technical Services

Facility: Central Weber Sewer Improvement District, UPDES Permit No. UT002911

Receiving water: Weber River

This addendum summarizes the wasteload analysis that was performed to determine water quality based effluent limits (WQBEL) for this discharge. Wasteload analyses are performed to determine point source effluent limitations necessary to maintain designated beneficial uses by evaluating projected effects of discharge concentrations on in-stream water quality. The wasteload analysis also takes into account downstream designated uses (UAC R317-2-8). Projected concentrations are compared to numeric water quality standards to determine acceptability. The numeric criteria in this wasteload analysis may be modified by narrative criteria and other conditions determined by staff of the Division of Water Quality.

Discharge

Central Weber Sewer Improvement District's wastewater treatment facility will discharge using one of the following mutually exclusive scenarios:

001	108 inch pipe to the Weber River	49 MGD (75.8 cfs)
002	72 inch pipe to the Weber River via the Warren Canal	49 MGD (75.8 cfs)
003	24.5 MGD from the 001 pipe to the Weber River, and 45 MGD from the 002 pipe to the Warren Canal, thence to the Weber River	69.5 MGD (107.5 cfs)

Receiving Water

As per R317-2-13.4(a) the beneficial uses of the Weber River, from Great Salt Lake to Slaterville diversion, (with exceptions) are: 2B, 3C, 3D, 4. As per R317-2-12.9, the beneficial uses of irrigation canals and ditches statewide, except as otherwise designated are: 2B, 3E, 4

- *Class 2B -- Protected for infrequent primary contact recreation. Also protected for secondary contact recreation where there is a low likelihood of ingestion of water or a low degree of bodily contact with the water. Examples include, but are not limited to, wading, hunting, and fishing.*

Utah Division of Water Quality
Wasteload Analysis
Central Weber Sewer Improvement District
UPDES Permit No. UT0029117

- *Class 3C -- Protected for nongame fish and other aquatic life, including the necessary aquatic organisms in their food chain.*
- *Class 3D -- Protected for waterfowl, shore birds and other water-oriented wildlife not included in Classes 3A, 3B, or 3C, including the necessary aquatic organisms in their food chain.*
- *Class 3E -- Severely habitat-limited waters. Narrative standards will be applied to protect these waters for aquatic wildlife.*
- *Class 4 - Protected for agricultural uses including irrigation of crops and stock watering.*

Receiving water chemistry was characterized using data obtained from DWQ monitoring site #4920050, Weber River South of Plain City for the period 2006-2016.

Flow

Typically, the critical flow for the wasteload analysis is considered the lowest stream flow for seven consecutive days with a ten year return frequency (7Q10). The 7Q10 flow for each season was calculated using data obtained from USGS Station #10141000, Weber River near Plain City, UT for the period 1998 – 2018. The calculated seasonal 7Q10 values are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Seasonal critical low flow values

Season	7Q10 (cfs)
Fall (Oct-Dec)	54.72
Spring (Apr-Jun)	31.64
Summer (Jul-Sep)	22.24
Winter (Jan-Mar)	46.29

TMDL

Unit Description According to the Utah's 2016 303(d) Water Quality Assessment Report, the receiving water for the discharge, Weber River and tributaries from Great Salt Lake to Slaterville Diversion (Assessment Unit # UT16020102-001_00) is listed as impaired for O/E Bioassessment and ammonia for its class 3C and 3D uses.

The current ammonia impairment status is based on data collected at DWQ station #4920050, Weber River South of Plain City. This station is located approximately 2.6 miles below the 001 outfall and 3.2 miles below outfall 002 (2.6 miles via Warren Canal and 0.6 miles via Weber River). Using data from 2008-2009, the 2016 assessment showed two exceedances of the ammonia criteria out of 11 total samples. Analysis of the more recent dataset to be used in the upcoming 2018/2020 Water Quality Assessment Report (2015-2016 data) showed no exceedances of the ammonia standard out of 13 samples. This analysis was confirmed by a preliminary 2018/2020 Assessment Report which showed no impairments within the assessment unit. The listing station is a long-term DWQ site which has shown no previous listings for ammonia. It can reasonably be assumed that the segment will be delisted for ammonia as part of the upcoming assessment cycle.

Mixing Zone

The maximum allowable mixing zone is 15 minutes of travel time for acute conditions, not to exceed 50% of stream width, and for chronic conditions, per UAC R317-2-5. Water quality standards must be met at the end of the mixing zone.

As per DEQ's mixing zone policy, the effluent was considered to be totally mixed as the ratio of river flow (7Q10) to discharge flow was 0.3 (≤ 2). Both acute and chronic effluent limits were calculated using 100% of the critical low flow value in the receiving water.

Parameters of Concern

Copper, mercury, total residual chlorine, and ammonia are identified as potential parameters of concern in the discharge based on review of the impairment status of the receiving water and review of the previous permit.

WET Limits

The percent of effluent in the receiving water in a fully mixed condition, and acute and chronic dilution in a not fully mixed condition are calculated in the WLA in order to generate WET limits. The LC₅₀ (lethal concentration, 50%) percent effluent for acute toxicity and the IC₂₅ (inhibition concentration, 25%) percent effluent for chronic toxicity, as determined by the WET test, needs to be below the WET limits, as determined by the WLA. The WET limit for LC₅₀ is typically 100% effluent and does not need to be determined by the WLA.

IC25 WET limits should be based on the percentages presented in Table 2.

Table 2. IC25 WET limit dilution percentages

Outfall	Percent effluent
001	73.3
002	73.3
003	82.9

Wasteload Allocation Methods

Effluent limits were determined for conservative constituents using a simple mass balance mixing analysis (UDWQ 2012). The mass balance analysis is summarized in the Wasteload Addendums.

The water quality standard for chronic ammonia toxicity is dependent on temperature and pH, and the water quality standard for acute ammonia toxicity is dependent on pH. The analysis is summarized in the Wasteload Addendum. Models and supporting documentation are available for review upon request.

Antidegradation Level I Review

The objective of the Level I ADR is to ensure the protection of existing uses, defined as the beneficial uses attained in the receiving water on or after November 28, 1975. No evidence is known that the existing uses deviate from the designated beneficial uses for the receiving water.

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Wasteload Analysis
Central Weber Sewer Improvement District
UPDES Permit No. UT0029117**

Therefore, the beneficial uses will be protected if the discharge remains below the WQBELs presented in this wasteload.

A Level II Antidegradation Review (ADR) is not required for this facility. The proposed permit is a simple renewal of an existing UPDES permit. No increase in flow or concentration of pollutants over those authorized in the the existing permit is being requested.

Documents:

WLA Document: *CWSID_WLADoc_9-20-19.docx*

Wasteload Analysis and Addendums: *CWSID_WLA_9-20-19.xls*

References:

Utah Division of Water Quality. 2012. *Utah Wasteload Analysis Procedures Version 1.0*.

APPENDIX A - Wasteload Analysis Summary
Central Weber Sewer Improvement District WWTP

UPDES UT0021911
10/28/2019

Parameter of Interest	Season	7Q10 Flow, cfs	Receiving Water Temp. Deg. C	pH	Conc. mg/l	Discharge pH	Blended 001 pH	Blended 002 pH	NH3-N/TRC Decay Coeff. per Day	WQ Std. 001 mg/l	WQ Std. 002 mg/l	Std. Acute or Chronic	WWTP Design Flow MGD	WWTP Flow to Weber R. MGD	WWTP Flow to Warren C. MGD	Narren C. Flow to Weber MGD	Effluent Limit mg/l
Scenario 1 - 49.0 MGD to Outfall 001													49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	
NH3-N	Summer	22.24	17.5	8.4	0.05	7.48	7.68		4.4	14.9		Acute	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	19.24
NH3-N	Fall	54.71	5.1	8.4	0.03	7.51	7.88		4.4	10.5		Acute	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	18.11
NH3-N	Spring	31.64	12.0	8.2	0.03	7.47	7.68		4.4	14.8		Acute	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	20.98
NH3-N	Winter	46.29	5.0	8.1	0.11	7.41	7.69		4.4	14.8		Acute	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	23.72
NH3-N	Summer	22.24	17.5	8.4	0.05	7.48	7.68		4.4	3.01		Chronic	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	3.88
NH3-N	Fall	54.71	5.1	8.4	0.03	7.51	7.88		4.4	2.88		Chronic	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	4.93
NH3-N	Spring	31.64	12.0	8.2	0.03	7.47	7.68		4.4	3.64		Chronic	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	5.14
NH3-N	Winter	46.29	5.0	8.1	0.11	7.41	7.69		4.4	3.63		Chronic	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	5.78
TRC	Summer	22.24	17.5	8.4	0.00				30.0	0.0190		Acute	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	0.025
TRC	Fall	54.71	5.1	8.4	0.00				30.0	0.0190		Acute	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	0.033
TRC	Spring	31.64	12.0	8.2	0.00				30.0	0.0190		Acute	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	0.027
TRC	Winter	46.29	5.0	8.1	0.00				30.0	0.0190		Acute	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	0.031
TRC	Summer	22.24	17.5	8.4	0.00				30.0	0.011		Chronic	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	0.014
TRC	Fall	54.71	5.1	8.4	0.00				30.0	0.011		Chronic	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	0.019
TRC	Spring	31.64	12.0	8.2	0.00				30.0	0.011		Chronic	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	0.016
TRC	Winter	46.29	5.0	8.1	0.00				30.0	0.011		Chronic	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	0.018
Copper	Summer	22.24	17.5	8.4	0.0012					0.06010		Acute	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	0.0774
Copper	Fall	54.71	5.1	8.4	0.0012					0.06010		Acute	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	0.1026
Copper	Spring	31.64	12.0	8.2	0.0012					0.06010		Acute	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	0.0847
Copper	Winter	46.29	5.0	8.1	0.0012					0.06010		Acute	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	0.0961
Copper	Summer	22.24	17.5	8.4	0.0012					0.0370		Chronic	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	0.0475
Copper	Fall	54.71	5.1	8.4	0.0012					0.0370		Chronic	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	0.0628
Copper	Spring	31.64	12.0	8.2	0.0012					0.0370		Chronic	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	0.0519
Copper	Winter	46.29	5.0	8.1	0.0012					0.0370		Chronic	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	0.0588
Mercury	Summer	22.24	17.5	8.4	2.00E-06					0.002400		Acute	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	0.0031
Mercury	Fall	54.71	5.1	8.39	2.00E-06					0.002400		Acute	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	0.0041
Mercury	Spring	31.64	12	8.2	2.00E-06					0.002400		Acute	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	0.0034
Mercury	Winter	46.29	5	8.14	2.00E-06					0.002400		Acute	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	0.0039
Mercury	Summer	22.24	17.5	8.4	2.00E-06					0.000012		Chronic	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	0.000015
Mercury	Fall	54.71	5.1	8.39	2.00E-06					0.000012		Chronic	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	0.000019
Mercury	Spring	31.64	12	8.2	2.00E-06					0.000012		Chronic	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	0.000016
Mercury	Winter	46.29	5	8.14	2.00E-06					0.000012		Chronic	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	0.000018
Aluminum	Summer	22.24	17.5	8.4	0.005					0.750000		Acute	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	0.97
Aluminum	Fall	54.71	5.1	8.39	0.005					0.750000		Acute	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	1.29
Aluminum	Spring	31.64	12	8.2	0.005					0.750000		Acute	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	1.06
Aluminum	Winter	46.29	5	8.14	0.005					0.750000		Acute	49.0	49.0	0.0	0.0	1.20

Scenario 2 - 49.0 MGD to Outfall 002											49	0	49	49	Limit
NH3-N	Summer	22.24	17.5	8.4	0.05	7.48	7.86	4.4	10.86	Acute	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	34.64
NH3-N	Fall	54.71	5.1	8.4	0.03	7.51	7.95	4.4	9.16	Acute	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	25.90
NH3-N	Spring	31.64	12.0	8.2	0.03	7.47	7.77	4.4	12.70	Acute	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	36.06
NH3-N	Winter	46.29	5.0	8.1	0.11	7.41	7.76	4.4	13.08	Acute	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	34.85
NH3-N	Summer	22.24	17.5	8.4	0.05	7.48	7.81	4.4	2.59	Chronic	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	8.22
NH3-N	Fall	54.71	5.1	8.4	0.03	7.51	7.95	4.4	2.60	Chronic	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	7.30
NH3-N	Spring	31.64	12.0	8.2	0.03	7.47	7.77	4.4	3.28	Chronic	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	9.27
NH3-N	Winter	46.29	5.0	8.1	0.11	7.41	7.76	4.4	3.35	Chronic	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	8.84
TRC	Summer	22.24	17.5	8.4	0.000			30.0	0.0190	Acute	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	3.511
TRC	Fall	54.71	5.1	8.4	0.000			30.0	0.0190	Acute	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	0.386
TRC	Spring	31.64	12.0	8.2	0.000			30.0	0.0190	Acute	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	1.027
TRC	Winter	46.29	5.0	8.1	0.000			30.0	0.0190	Acute	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	0.360
TRC	Summer	22.24	17.5	8.4	0.000			30.0	0.011	Chronic	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	2.032
TRC	Fall	54.71	5.1	8.4	0.000			30.0	0.011	Chronic	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	0.223
TRC	Spring	31.64	12.0	8.2	0.000			30.0	0.011	Chronic	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	0.595
TRC	Winter	46.29	5.0	8.1	0.000			30.0	0.011	Chronic	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	0.208
Copper	Summer	22.24	17.5	8.4	0.0012				0.06010	Acute	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	0.0933
Copper	Fall	54.71	5.1	8.4	0.0012				0.06010	Acute	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	0.1173
Copper	Spring	31.64	12.0	8.2	0.0012				0.06010	Acute	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	0.1002
Copper	Winter	46.29	5.0	8.1	0.0012				0.06010	Acute	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	0.1111
Copper	Summer	22.24	17.5	8.4	0.0012				0.0370	Chronic	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	0.0565
Copper	Fall	54.71	5.1	8.4	0.0012				0.0370	Chronic	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	0.0706
Copper	Spring	31.64	12.0	8.2	0.0012				0.0370	Chronic	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	0.0605
Copper	Winter	46.29	5.0	8.1	0.0012				0.0370	Chronic	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	0.0669
Mercury	Summer	22.24	17.5	8.4	2.0E-06				0.002400	Acute	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	0.0038
Mercury	Fall	54.71	5.1	8.39	2.0E-06				0.002400	Acute	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	0.0048
Mercury	Spring	31.64	12	8.2	2.0E-06				0.002400	Acute	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	0.0041
Mercury	Winter	46.29	5	8.14	2.0E-06				0.002400	Acute	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	0.0046
Mercury	Summer	22.24	17.5	8.4	2.0E-06				0.000012	Chronic	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	0.000018
Mercury	Fall	54.71	5.1	8.39	2.0E-06				0.000012	Chronic	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	0.000022
Mercury	Spring	31.64	12	8.2	2.0E-06				0.000012	Chronic	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	0.000019
Mercury	Winter	46.29	5	8.14	2.0E-06				0.000012	Chronic	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	0.000021
Aluminum	Summer	22.24	17.5	8.4	0.005				0.750000	Acute	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	1.19
Aluminum	Fall	54.71	5.1	8.39	0.005				0.750000	Acute	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	1.51
Aluminum	Spring	31.64	12	8.2	0.005				0.750000	Acute	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	1.28
Aluminum	Winter	46.29	5	8.14	0.005				0.750000	Acute	49.0	0.0	49.0	49.0	1.43

Scenario 3 - 24.5 MGD to Outfall 001, 45 MGD to 002												69.5	24.5	45	45	Limit
NH3-N	Summer	37	17.7	8.2	0.11	7.47	7.81	4.4	11.94	4.12	Acute	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	11.55
NH3-N	Fall	55	6.7	8.2	0.06	7.43	8.03	4.4	7.95	3.96	Acute	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	9.29
NH3-N	Spring	39	11.7	8.0	0.10	7.41	7.80	4.4	12.09	5.73	Acute	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	14.02
NH3-N	Winter	42	3.8	8.1	0.12	7.38	7.81	4.4	11.90	6.43	Acute	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	14.39
NH3-N	Summer	37	17.7	8.2	0.11	7.47	7.81	4.4	2.60	1.12	Chronic	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	3.11
NH3-N	Fall	55	6.7	8.2	0.06	7.43	8.03	4.4	2.33	1.31	Chronic	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	3.05
NH3-N	Spring	39	11.7	8.0	0.10	7.41	7.80	4.4	3.17	1.79	Chronic	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	4.36
NH3-N	Winter	42	3.8	8.1	0.12	7.38	7.81	4.4	3.14	1.97	Chronic	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	4.36
TRC	Summer	37	17.7	8.2	0.000			30.0	0.0190	0.0190	Acute	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	0.030
TRC	Fall	55	6.7	8.2	0.000			30.0	0.0190	0.0190	Acute	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	0.046
TRC	Spring	39	11.7	8.0	0.000			30.0	0.0190	0.0190	Acute	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	0.035
TRC	Winter	42	3.8	8.1	0.000			30.0	0.0190	0.0190	Acute	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	0.042
TRC	Summer	37	17.7	8.2	0.000			30.0	0.011	0.011	Chronic	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	0.017
TRC	Fall	55	6.7	8.2	0.000			30.0	0.011	0.011	Chronic	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	0.027
TRC	Spring	39	11.7	8.0	0.000			30.0	0.011	0.011	Chronic	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	0.020
TRC	Winter	42	3.8	8.1	0.000			30.0	0.011	0.011	Chronic	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	0.024
Copper	Summer	37			0.0018				0.06010	0.06010	Acute	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	0.0827
Copper	Fall	55			0.0018				0.06010	0.06010	Acute	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	0.0982
Copper	Spring	39			0.0018				0.06010	0.06010	Acute	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	0.0870
Copper	Winter	42			0.0018				0.06010	0.06010	Acute	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	0.0941
Copper	Summer	37			0.0018				0.0370	0.0370	Chronic	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	0.0502
Copper	Fall	55			0.0018				0.0370	0.0370	Chronic	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	0.0594
Copper	Spring	39			0.0018				0.0370	0.0370	Chronic	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	0.0528
Copper	Winter	42			0.0018				0.0370	0.0370	Chronic	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	0.0569
Mercury	Summer	37			2.0E-06				0.002400	0.002400	Acute	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	0.0034
Mercury	Fall	55			2.0E-06				0.002400	0.002400	Acute	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	0.0040
Mercury	Spring	39			2.0E-06				0.002400	0.002400	Acute	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	0.0036
Mercury	Winter	42			2.0E-06				0.002400	0.002400	Acute	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	0.0039
Mercury	Summer	37			2.0E-06				0.000012	0.000012	Chronic	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	0.000016
Mercury	Fall	55			2.0E-06				0.000012	0.000012	Chronic	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	0.000019
Mercury	Spring	39			2.0E-06				0.000012	0.000012	Chronic	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	0.000017
Mercury	Winter	42			2.0E-06				0.000012	0.000012	Chronic	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	0.000018
Aluminum	Summer	22.24	17.5	8.4	0.005				0.750000	0.750000	Acute	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	1.049882
Aluminum	Fall	54.71	5.1	8.39	0.005				0.750000	0.750000	Acute	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	1.256608
Aluminum	Spring	31.64	12	8.2	0.005				0.750000	0.750000	Acute	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	1.107850
Aluminum	Winter	46.29	5	8.14	0.005				0.750000	0.750000	Acute	69.5	24.5	45.0	45.0	1.201570

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah**

**WASTELOAD ANALYSIS [WLA]
Addendum: Statement of Basis**

23-Oct-19

Facilities: Central Weber 001
Discharging to: Weber River
Design Flow: 49.0 MGD

UPDES No: UT-0021911

THIS IS A DRAFT DOCUMENT

I. Introduction

Wasteload analyses are performed to determine point source effluent limitations necessary to maintain designated beneficial uses by evaluating projected effects of discharge concentrations on in-stream water quality. The wasteload analysis also takes into account downstream designated uses [R317-2-8, UAC]. Projected concentrations are compared to numeric water quality standards to determine acceptability. The anti-degradation policy and procedures are also considered. The primary in-stream parameters of concern may include metals (as a function of hardness), total dissolved solids (TDS), total residual chlorine (TRC), un-ionized ammonia (as a function of pH and temperature, measured and evaluated in terms of total ammonia), and dissolved oxygen.

Mathematical water quality modeling is employed to determine stream quality response to point source discharges. Models aid in the effort of anticipating stream quality at future effluent flows at critical environmental conditions (e.g., low stream flow, high temperature, high pH, etc).

The numeric criteria in this wasteload analysis may always be modified by narrative criteria and other conditions determined by staff of the Division of Water Quality.

II. Receiving Water and Stream Classification

Weber River:	2B, 3C, 3D, 4
Antidegradation Review:	Level I review completed. Level II review not required.

III. Numeric Stream Standards for Protection of Aquatic Wildlife

Total Ammonia (TNH3)	Varies as a function of Temperature and pH Rebound. See Water Quality Standards
Chronic Total Residual Chlorine (TRC)	0.011 mg/l (4 Day Average) 0.019 mg/l (1 Hour Average)
Chronic Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	5.00 mg/l (30 Day Average) N/A mg/l (7Day Average) 3.00 mg/l (1 Day Average)
Maximum Total Dissolved Solids	1200.0 mg/l

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah**

Acute and Chronic Heavy Metals (Dissolved)

Parameter	4 Day Average (Chronic) Standard		1 Hour Average (Acute) Standard		
	Concentration	Load*	Concentration		Load*
Aluminum	87.00 ug/l**	35.615 lbs/day	750.00	ug/l	307.028 lbs/day
Arsenic	190.00 ug/l	77.780 lbs/day	340.00	ug/l	139.186 lbs/day
Cadmium	1.64 ug/l	0.672 lbs/day	4.66	ug/l	1.908 lbs/day
Chromium III	182.52 ug/l	74.720 lbs/day	3818.72	ug/l	1,563.272 lbs/day
Chromium VI	11.00 ug/l	4.503 lbs/day	16.00	ug/l	6.550 lbs/day
Copper	37.00 ug/l	15.147 lbs/day	60.10	ug/l	24.603 lbs/day
Iron			1000.00	ug/l	409.371 lbs/day
Lead	10.21 ug/l	4.182 lbs/day	262.12	ug/l	107.306 lbs/day
Mercury	0.0120 ug/l	0.005 lbs/day	2.40	ug/l	0.982 lbs/day
Nickel	113.25 ug/l	46.359 lbs/day	1018.57	ug/l	416.971 lbs/day
Selenium	4.60 ug/l	1.883 lbs/day	20.00	ug/l	8.187 lbs/day
Silver	N/A ug/l	N/A lbs/day	18.30	ug/l	7.492 lbs/day
Zinc	260.43 ug/l	106.612 lbs/day	260.43	ug/l	106.612 lbs/day

* Allowed below discharge

**Chronic Aluminum standard applies only to waters with a pH < 7.0 and a Hardness < 50 mg/l as CaCO₃

Metals Standards Based upon a Hardness of 250 mg/l as CaCO₃

Organics [Pesticides]

Parameter	4 Day Average (Chronic) Standard		1 Hour Average (Acute) Standard		
	Concentration	Load*	Concentration		Load*
Aldrin			1.500	ug/l	0.614 lbs/day
Chlordane	0.004 ug/l	2.272 lbs/day	1.200	ug/l	0.491 lbs/day
DDT, DDE	0.001 ug/l	0.528 lbs/day	0.550	ug/l	0.225 lbs/day
Dieldrin	0.002 ug/l	1.004 lbs/day	1.250	ug/l	0.512 lbs/day
Endosulfan	0.056 ug/l	29.593 lbs/day	0.110	ug/l	0.045 lbs/day
Endrin	0.002 ug/l	1.215 lbs/day	0.090	ug/l	0.037 lbs/day
Guthion			0.010	ug/l	0.004 lbs/day
Heptachlor	0.004 ug/l	2.008 lbs/day	0.260	ug/l	0.106 lbs/day
Lindane	0.080 ug/l	42.276 lbs/day	1.000	ug/l	0.409 lbs/day
Methoxychlor			0.030	ug/l	0.012 lbs/day
Mirex			0.010	ug/l	0.004 lbs/day
Parathion			0.040	ug/l	0.016 lbs/day
PCB's	0.014 ug/l	7.398 lbs/day	2.000	ug/l	0.819 lbs/day
Pentachlorophenol	13.00 ug/l	6869.873 lbs/day	20.000	ug/l	8.187 lbs/day
Toxephene	0.0002 ug/l	0.106 lbs/day	0.7300	ug/l	0.299 lbs/day

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah**

IV. Numeric Stream Standards for Protection of Agriculture

4 Day Average (Chronic) Standard		1 Hour Average (Acute) Standard	
	Concentration	Load*	
Arsenic		100.0 ug/l	lbs/day
Boron		750.0 ug/l	lbs/day
Cadmium		10.0 ug/l	2.05 lbs/day
Chromium		100.0 ug/l	lbs/day
Copper		200.0 ug/l	lbs/day
Lead		100.0 ug/l	lbs/day
Selenium		50.0 ug/l	lbs/day
TDS, Summer		1200.0 mg/l	245.62 tons/day

V. Numeric Stream Standards for Protection of Human Health (Class 1C Waters)

4 Day Average (Chronic) Standard		1 Hour Average (Acute) Standard	
Metals	Concentration	Load*	
Arsenic		ug/l	lbs/day
Barium		ug/l	lbs/day
Cadmium		ug/l	lbs/day
Chromium		ug/l	lbs/day
Lead		ug/l	lbs/day
Mercury		ug/l	lbs/day
Selenium		ug/l	lbs/day
Silver		ug/l	lbs/day
Fluoride (3)		ug/l	lbs/day
to		ug/l	lbs/day
Nitrates as N		ug/l	lbs/day

Chlorophenoxy Herbicides

2,4-D	ug/l	lbs/day
2,4,5-TP	ug/l	lbs/day
Endrin	ug/l	lbs/day
ocyclohexane (Lindane)	ug/l	lbs/day
Methoxychlor	ug/l	lbs/day
Toxaphene	ug/l	lbs/day

VI. Numeric Stream Standards the Protection of Human Health from Water & Fish Consumption [Toxics]

Maximum Conc., ug/l - Acute Standards			
Class 1C		Class 3A, 3B	
Toxic Organics			
	[2 Liters/Day for 70 Kg Person over 70 Yr.]		[6.5 g for 70 Kg Person over 70 Yr.]
Acenaphthene	ug/l lbs/day	2700.0 ug/l	1426.82 lbs/day
Acrolein	ug/l lbs/day	780.0 ug/l	412.19 lbs/day
Acrylonitrile	ug/l lbs/day	0.7 ug/l	0.35 lbs/day
Benzene	ug/l lbs/day	71.0 ug/l	37.52 lbs/day
Benzidine	ug/l lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
Carbon tetrachloride	ug/l lbs/day	4.4 ug/l	2.33 lbs/day
Chlorobenzene	ug/l lbs/day	21000.0 ug/l	11097.49 lbs/day
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene			
Hexachlorobenzene	ug/l lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
1,2-Dichloroethane	ug/l lbs/day	99.0 ug/l	52.32 lbs/day

Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah

1,1,1-Trichloroethane				
Hexachloroethane	ug/l	lbs/day	8.9 ug/l	4.70 lbs/day
1,1-Dichloroethane				
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ug/l	lbs/day	42.0 ug/l	22.19 lbs/day
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ug/l	lbs/day	11.0 ug/l	5.81 lbs/day
Chloroethane			0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	ug/l	lbs/day	1.4 ug/l	0.74 lbs/day
2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
2-Chloronaphthalene	ug/l	lbs/day	4300.0 ug/l	2272.34 lbs/day
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ug/l	lbs/day	6.5 ug/l	3.43 lbs/day
p-Chloro-m-cresol			0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
Chloroform (HM)	ug/l	lbs/day	470.0 ug/l	248.37 lbs/day
2-Chlorophenol	ug/l	lbs/day	400.0 ug/l	211.38 lbs/day
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day	17000.0 ug/l	8983.68 lbs/day
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day	2600.0 ug/l	1373.97 lbs/day
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day	2600.0 ug/l	1373.97 lbs/day
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ug/l	lbs/day	0.1 ug/l	0.04 lbs/day
1,1-Dichloroethylene	ug/l	lbs/day	3.2 ug/l	1.69 lbs/day
1,2-trans-Dichloroethylene	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
2,4-Dichlorophenol	ug/l	lbs/day	790.0 ug/l	417.48 lbs/day
1,2-Dichloropropane	ug/l	lbs/day	39.0 ug/l	20.61 lbs/day
1,3-Dichloropropylene	ug/l	lbs/day	1700.0 ug/l	898.37 lbs/day
2,4-Dimethylphenol	ug/l	lbs/day	2300.0 ug/l	1215.44 lbs/day
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ug/l	lbs/day	9.1 ug/l	4.81 lbs/day
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	ug/l	lbs/day	0.5 ug/l	0.29 lbs/day
Ethylbenzene	ug/l	lbs/day	29000.0 ug/l	15325.10 lbs/day
Fluoranthene	ug/l	lbs/day	370.0 ug/l	195.53 lbs/day
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether				
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether				
Bis(2-chloroisopropyl) ether	ug/l	lbs/day	170000.0 ug/l	89836.80 lbs/day
Bis(2-chloroethoxy) methane	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
Methylene chloride (HM)	ug/l	lbs/day	1600.0 ug/l	845.52 lbs/day
Methyl chloride (HM)	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
Methyl bromide (HM)	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
Bromoform (HM)	ug/l	lbs/day	360.0 ug/l	190.24 lbs/day
Dichlorobromomethane	ug/l	lbs/day	22.0 ug/l	11.63 lbs/day
Chlorodibromomethane	ug/l	lbs/day	34.0 ug/l	17.97 lbs/day
Hexachlorobutadiene(c)	ug/l	lbs/day	50.0 ug/l	26.42 lbs/day
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ug/l	lbs/day	17000.0 ug/l	8983.68 lbs/day
Isophorone	ug/l	lbs/day	600.0 ug/l	317.07 lbs/day
Naphthalene				
Nitrobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day	1900.0 ug/l	1004.06 lbs/day
2-Nitrophenol	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
4-Nitrophenol	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
2,4-Dinitrophenol	ug/l	lbs/day	14000.0 ug/l	7398.32 lbs/day
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ug/l	lbs/day	765.0 ug/l	404.27 lbs/day
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	ug/l	lbs/day	8.1 ug/l	4.28 lbs/day
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ug/l	lbs/day	16.0 ug/l	8.46 lbs/day
N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine	ug/l	lbs/day	1.4 ug/l	0.74 lbs/day
Pentachlorophenol	ug/l	lbs/day	8.2 ug/l	4.33 lbs/day

Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah

Phenol	ug/l	lbs/day	4.6E+06 ug/l	2.43E+06 lbs/day
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthala	ug/l	lbs/day	5.9 ug/l	3.12 lbs/day
Butyl benzyl phthalate	ug/l	lbs/day	5200.0 ug/l	2747.95 lbs/day
Di-n-butyl phthalate	ug/l	lbs/day	12000.0 ug/l	6341.42 lbs/day
Di-n-octyl phthlate				
Diethyl phthalate	ug/l	lbs/day	120000.0 ug/l	63414.21 lbs/day
Dimethyl phthlate	ug/l	lbs/day	2.9E+06 ug/l	1.53E+06 lbs/day
Benzo(a)anthracene (P/	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.02 lbs/day
Benzo(a)pyrene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.02 lbs/day
Benzo(b)fluoranthene (F	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.02 lbs/day
Benzo(k)fluoranthene (F	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.02 lbs/day
Chrysene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.02 lbs/day
Acenaphthylene (PAH)				
Anthracene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.02 lbs/day
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.02 lbs/day
Pyrene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day	11000.0 ug/l	5812.97 lbs/day
Tetrachloroethylene	ug/l	lbs/day	8.9 ug/l	4.70 lbs/day
Toluene	ug/l	lbs/day	200000 ug/l	105690.35 lbs/day
Trichloroethylene	ug/l	lbs/day	81.0 ug/l	42.80 lbs/day
Vinyl chloride	ug/l	lbs/day	525.0 ug/l	277.44 lbs/day
				lbs/day
				lbs/day

Pesticides

Aldrin	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
Dieldrin	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
Chlordane	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
4,4'-DDT	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
4,4'-DDE	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
4,4'-DDD	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
alpha-Endosulfan	ug/l	lbs/day	2.0 ug/l	1.06 lbs/day
beta-Endosulfan	ug/l	lbs/day	2.0 ug/l	1.06 lbs/day
Endosulfan sulfate	ug/l	lbs/day	2.0 ug/l	1.06 lbs/day
Endrin	ug/l	lbs/day	0.8 ug/l	0.43 lbs/day
Endrin aldehyde	ug/l	lbs/day	0.8 ug/l	0.43 lbs/day
Heptachlor	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
Heptachlor epoxide				

PCB's

PCB 1242 (Arochlor 124	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
PCB-1254 (Arochlor 125	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
PCB-1221 (Arochlor 122	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
PCB-1232 (Arochlor 123	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
PCB-1248 (Arochlor 124	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
PCB-1260 (Arochlor 126	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
PCB-1016 (Arochlor 101	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day

Pesticide

Toxaphene	ug/l		0.0 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
-----------	------	--	----------	--------------

Dioxin

Dioxin (2,3,7,8-TCDD)	ug/l	lbs/day		
-----------------------	------	---------	--	--

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah**

Metals

Antimony	ug/l	lbs/day		
Arsenic	ug/l	lbs/day	4300.00 ug/l	2272.34 lbs/day
Asbestos	ug/l	lbs/day		
Beryllium				
Cadmium				
Chromium (III)				
Chromium (VI)				
Copper				
Cyanide	ug/l	lbs/day	2.2E+05 ug/l	116259.39 lbs/day
Lead	ug/l	lbs/day		
Mercury			0.15 ug/l	0.08 lbs/day
Nickel			4600.00 ug/l	2430.88 lbs/day
Selenium	ug/l	lbs/day		
Silver	ug/l	lbs/day		
Thallium			6.30 ug/l	3.33 lbs/day
Zinc				

There are additional standards that apply to this receiving water, but were not considered in this modeling/waste load allocation analysis.

VII. Mathematical Modeling of Stream Quality

Model configuration was accomplished utilizing standard modeling procedures. Data points were plotted and coefficients adjusted as required to match observed data as closely as possible.

The modeling approach used in this analysis included one or a combination of the following models.

(1) The Utah River Model, Utah Division of Water Quality, 1992. Based upon STREAMDO IV (Region VIII) and Supplemental Ammonia Toxicity Models; EPA Region VIII, Sept. 1990 and QUAL2E (EPA, Athens, GA).

(2) Utah Ammonia/Chlorine Model, Utah Division of Water Quality, 1992.

(3) AMMTOX Model, University of Colorado, Center of Limnology, and EPA Region 8

(4) Principles of Surface Water Quality Modeling and Control. Robert V. Thomann, et.al. Harper Collins Publisher, Inc. 1987, pp. 644.

Coefficients used in the model were based, in part, upon the following references:

(1) Rates, Constants, and Kinetics Formulations in Surface Water Quality Modeling. Environmental Research Laboratory, Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Athens Georgia. EPA/600/3-85/040 June 1985.

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah**

(2) Principles of Surface Water Quality Modeling and Control. Robert V. Thomann, et.al.
Harper Collins Publisher, Inc. 1987, pp. 644.

VIII. Modeling Information

The required information for the model may include the following information for both the upstream conditions at low flow and the effluent conditions:

Flow, Q, (cfs or MGD)	D.O. mg/l
Temperature, Deg. C.	Total Residual Chlorine (TRC), mg/l
pH	Total NH3-N, mg/l
BOD5, mg/l	Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), mg/l
Metals, ug/l	Toxic Organics of Concern, ug/l

Other Conditions

In addition to the upstream and effluent conditions, the models require a variety of physical and biological coefficients and other technical information. In the process of actually establishing the permit limits for an effluent, values are used based upon the available data, model calibration, literature values, site visits and best professional judgement.

Model Inputs

The following is upstream and discharge information that was utilized as inputs for the analysis. Dry washes are considered to have an upstream flow equal to the flow of the discharge.

Current Upstream Information

	Stream		pH	T-NH3 mg/l as N	BOD5 mg/l	DO mg/l	TRC mg/l	TDS mg/l
	Flow cfs	Temp. Deg. C						
Summer (Irrig. Season)	22.24	17.5	7.7	0.10	1.00	7.37	0.00	390.4
Fall	54.71	5.1	7.9	0.10	1.00	---	0.00	534.2
Winter	46.29	5.0	7.7	0.10	1.00	---	0.00	637.0
Spring	31.64	12.0	7.7	0.10	1.00	---	0.00	358.4
Dissolved Metals	Al ug/l	As ug/l	Cd ug/l	CrIII ug/l	CrVI ug/l	Copper ug/l	Fe ug/l	Pb ug/l
All Seasons	5.00	2.77	0.05	2.30	3.975*	1.20	37.8	0.13
Dissolved Metals	Hg ug/l	Ni ug/l	Se ug/l	Ag ug/l	Zn ug/l	Boron ug/l	* ~80% MDL	
All Seasons	0.0000	2.50	0.50	0.25	14.40	73.6		

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah**

Projected Discharge Information

Season	Flow, MGD	Temp.
Summer	49.00000	17.5
Fall	49.00000	5.1
Winter	49.00000	5.0
Spring	49.00000	12.0

All model numerical inputs, intermediate calculations, outputs and graphs are available for discussion, inspection and copy at the Division of Water Quality.

IX. Effluent Limitations

Current State water quality standards are required to be met under a variety of conditions including in-stream flows targeted to the 7-day, 10-year low flow (R317-2-9).

Other conditions used in the modeling effort coincide with the environmental conditions expected at low stream flows.

Effluent Limitation for Flow based upon Water Quality Standards

In-stream criteria of downstream segments will be met with an effluent flow maximum value as follows:

Season	Daily Average	
Summer	49.000 MGD	75.803 cfs
Fall	49.000 MGD	75.803 cfs
Winter	49.000 MGD	75.803 cfs
Spring	49.000 MGD	75.803 cfs

Flow Requirement or Loading Requirement

The calculations in this wasteload analysis utilize the maximum effluent discharge flow of 49 MGD. If the discharger is allowed to have a flow greater than 49 MGD during 7Q10 conditions, and effluent limit concentrations as indicated, then water quality standards will be violated. In order to prevent this from occurring, the permit writers must include the discharge flow limitation as indicated above; or, include loading effluent limits in the permit.

Effluent Limitation for Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) based upon WET Policy

Effluent Toxicity will not occur in downstream segments if the values below are met.

WET Requirements	LC50 >	100.0% Effluent	[Acute]
	IC25 >	77.3% Effluent	[Chronic]

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah**

Effluent Limitation for Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) based upon Water Quality Standards or Regulations

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Dissolved Oxygen will be met with an effluent BOD limitation as follows:

Season	Concentration	
Summer	25.0 mg/l as BOD5	10214.5 lbs/day
Fall	25.0 mg/l as BOD5	10214.5 lbs/day
Winter	25.0 mg/l as BOD5	10214.5 lbs/day
Spring	25.0 mg/l as BOD5	10214.5 lbs/day

Effluent Limitation for Dissolved Oxygen (DO) based upon Water Quality Standards

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Dissolved Oxygen will be met with an effluent D.O. limitation as follows:

Season	Concentration
Summer	5.00
Fall	5.00
Winter	5.00
Spring	5.00

Effluent Limitation for Total Ammonia based upon Water Quality Standards

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Total Ammonia will be met with an effluent limitation (expressed as Total Ammonia as N) as follows:

Season		Concentration	Load
Summer	4 Day Avg. - Chronic	4.26 mg/l as N	1,741.8 lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg. - Acute	18.2 mg/l as N	7,419.5 lbs/day
Fall	4 Day Avg. - Chronic	5.3 mg/l as N	2,177.6 lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg. - Acute	17.4 mg/l as N	7,127.4 lbs/day
Winter	4 Day Avg. - Chronic	6.1 mg/l as N	2,482.1 lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg. - Acute	18.8 mg/l as N	7,677.9 lbs/day
Spring	4 Day Avg. - Chronic	5.1 mg/l as N	2,099.1 lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg. - Acute	13.8 mg/l as N	5,649.9 lbs/day

Acute limit calculated with an Acute Zone of Initial Dilution (ZID) to be equal to 50.%.

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah**

Effluent Limitation for Total Residual Chlorine based upon Water Quality Standards

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Total Residual Chlorine will be met with an effluent limitation as follows:

Season		Concentration		Load	
Summer	4 Day Avg. - Chronic	0.014	mg/l	5.69	lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg. - Acute	0.022	mg/l	8.84	lbs/day
Fall	4 Day Avg. - Chronic	0.018	mg/l	7.44	lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg. - Acute	0.025	mg/l	10.41	lbs/day
Winter	4 Day Avg. - Chronic	0.017	mg/l	6.98	lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg. - Acute	0.024	mg/l	10.00	lbs/day
Spring	4 Day Avg. - Chronic	0.015	mg/l	6.20	lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg. - Acute	0.023	mg/l	9.29	lbs/day

Effluent Limitations for Total Dissolved Solids based upon Water Quality Standards

Season		Concentration		Load	
Summer	Maximum, Acute	1437.5	mg/l	293.67	tons/day
Fall	Maximum, Acute	1395.3	mg/l	285.05	tons/day
Winter	Maximum, Acute	1365.2	mg/l	278.89	tons/day
Spring	Maximum, Acute	1446.9	mg/l	295.59	tons/day

Colorado Salinity Forum Limits

Determined by Permitting Section

Effluent Limitations for Total Recoverable Metals based upon Water Quality Standards

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Dissolved Metals will be met with an effluent limitation as follows (based upon a hardness of 250 mg/l):

	4 Day Average		Load	1 Hour Average		Load
	Concentration			Concentration		
Aluminum*	N/A		N/A	968.6	ug/l	396.5 lbs/day
Arsenic*	244.93	ug/l	64.7 lbs/day	438.9	ug/l	179.7 lbs/day
Cadmium	2.11	ug/l	0.6 lbs/day	6.0	ug/l	2.5 lbs/day
Chromium III	235.40	ug/l	62.2 lbs/day	4,938.4	ug/l	2021.6 lbs/day
Chromium VI*	13.06	ug/l	3.4 lbs/day	19.5	ug/l	8.0 lbs/day
Copper	47.50	ug/l	12.5 lbs/day	77.4	ug/l	31.7 lbs/day
Iron*	N/A		N/A	1,282.3	ug/l	524.9 lbs/day
Lead	13.17	ug/l	3.5 lbs/day	339.0	ug/l	138.8 lbs/day
Mercury*	0.02	ug/l	0.0 lbs/day	3.1	ug/l	1.3 lbs/day
Nickel	145.74	ug/l	38.5 lbs/day	1,316.7	ug/l	539.0 lbs/day
Selenium*	5.80	ug/l	1.5 lbs/day	25.7	ug/l	10.5 lbs/day
Silver	N/A	ug/l	N/A lbs/day	23.6	ug/l	9.7 lbs/day

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah**

Zinc	332.61 ug/l	87.8 lbs/day	332.6	ug/l	136.2 lbs/day
Cyanide*	6.73 ug/l	1.8 lbs/day	28.5	ug/l	11.6 lbs/day

*Limits for these metals are based on the dissolved standard.

**Effluent Limitations for Heat/Temperature based upon
Water Quality Standards**

Summer	22.7 Deg. C.	72.8 Deg. F
Fall	12.0 Deg. C.	53.6 Deg. F
Winter	11.4 Deg. C.	52.6 Deg. F
Spring	17.7 Deg. C.	63.8 Deg. F

**Effluent Limitations for Organics [Pesticides]
Based upon Water Quality Standards**

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Organics [Pesticides]
will be met with an effluent limit as follows:

	4 Day Average		1 Hour Average		
	Concentration	Load	Concentration	Load	
Aldrin			1.5E+00	ug/l	9.50E-01 lbs/day
Chlordane	4.30E-03 ug/l	1.76E+00 lbs/day	1.2E+00	ug/l	7.60E-01 lbs/day
DDT, DDE	1.00E-03 ug/l	4.09E-01 lbs/day	5.5E-01	ug/l	3.48E-01 lbs/day
Dieldrin	1.90E-03 ug/l	7.76E-01 lbs/day	1.3E+00	ug/l	7.92E-01 lbs/day
Endosulfan	5.60E-02 ug/l	2.29E+01 lbs/day	1.1E-01	ug/l	6.97E-02 lbs/day
Endrin	2.30E-03 ug/l	9.40E-01 lbs/day	9.0E-02	ug/l	5.70E-02 lbs/day
Guthion	0.00E+00 ug/l	0.00E+00 lbs/day	1.0E-02	ug/l	6.33E-03 lbs/day
Heptachlor	3.80E-03 ug/l	1.55E+00 lbs/day	2.6E-01	ug/l	1.65E-01 lbs/day
Lindane	8.00E-02 ug/l	3.27E+01 lbs/day	1.0E+00	ug/l	6.33E-01 lbs/day
Methoxychlor	0.00E+00 ug/l	0.00E+00 lbs/day	3.0E-02	ug/l	1.90E-02 lbs/day
Mirex	0.00E+00 ug/l	0.00E+00 lbs/day	1.0E-02	ug/l	6.33E-03 lbs/day
Parathion	0.00E+00 ug/l	0.00E+00 lbs/day	4.0E-02	ug/l	2.53E-02 lbs/day
PCB's	1.40E-02 ug/l	5.72E+00 lbs/day	2.0E+00	ug/l	1.27E+00 lbs/day
Pentachlorophenol	1.30E+01 ug/l	5.31E+03 lbs/day	2.0E+01	ug/l	1.27E+01 lbs/day
Toxephene	2.00E-04 ug/l	8.17E-02 lbs/day	7.3E-01	ug/l	4.62E-01 lbs/day

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah**

**Effluent Targets for Pollution Indicators
Based upon Water Quality Standards**

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Pollution Indicators will be met with an effluent limit as follows:

	1 Hour Average	
	Concentration	Loading
Gross Beta (pCi/l)	50.0 pCi/L	
BOD (mg/l)	5.0 mg/l	2046.9 lbs/day
Nitrates as N	4.0 mg/l	1637.5 lbs/day
Total Phosphorus as P	0.05 mg/l	20.5 lbs/day
Total Suspended Solids	90.0 mg/l	36843.3 lbs/day

Note: Pollution indicator targets are for information purposes only.

**Effluent Limitations for Protection of Human Health [Toxics Rule]
Based upon Water Quality Standards (Most stringent of 1C or 3A & 3B as appropriate.)**

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Protection of Human Health [Toxics] will be met with an effluent limit as follows:

	Maximum Concentration	
	Concentration	Load
Toxic Organics		
Acenaphthene	3.49E+03 ug/l	1.43E+03 lbs/day
Acrolein	1.01E+03 ug/l	4.12E+02 lbs/day
Acrylonitrile	8.54E-01 ug/l	3.49E-01 lbs/day
Benzene	9.18E+01 ug/l	3.75E+01 lbs/day
Benzidine	ug/l	lbs/day
Carbon tetrachloride	5.69E+00 ug/l	2.33E+00 lbs/day
Chlorobenzene	2.72E+04 ug/l	1.11E+04 lbs/day
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		
Hexachlorobenzene	9.96E-04 ug/l	4.07E-04 lbs/day
1,2-Dichloroethane	1.28E+02 ug/l	5.23E+01 lbs/day
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		
Hexachloroethane	1.15E+01 ug/l	4.70E+00 lbs/day
1,1-Dichloroethane		
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5.43E+01 ug/l	2.22E+01 lbs/day
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1.42E+01 ug/l	5.81E+00 lbs/day
Chloroethane		
Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	1.81E+00 ug/l	7.40E-01 lbs/day
2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether		
2-Chloronaphthalene	5.56E+03 ug/l	2.27E+03 lbs/day
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	8.41E+00 ug/l	3.43E+00 lbs/day
p-Chloro-m-cresol		
Chloroform (HM)	6.08E+02 ug/l	2.48E+02 lbs/day
2-Chlorophenol	5.17E+02 ug/l	2.11E+02 lbs/day
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	2.20E+04 ug/l	8.98E+03 lbs/day
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	3.36E+03 ug/l	1.37E+03 lbs/day

Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah

1,4-Dichlorobenzene	3.36E+03 ug/l	1.37E+03 lbs/day
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	9.96E-02 ug/l	4.07E-02 lbs/day
1,1-Dichloroethylene	4.14E+00 ug/l	1.69E+00 lbs/day
1,2-trans-Dichloroethylene1		
2,4-Dichlorophenol	1.02E+03 ug/l	4.17E+02 lbs/day
1,2-Dichloropropane	5.04E+01 ug/l	2.06E+01 lbs/day
1,3-Dichloropropylene	2.20E+03 ug/l	8.98E+02 lbs/day
2,4-Dimethylphenol	2.97E+03 ug/l	1.22E+03 lbs/day
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	1.18E+01 ug/l	4.81E+00 lbs/day
2,6-Dinitrotoluene		
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	6.98E-01 ug/l	2.85E-01 lbs/day
Ethylbenzene	3.75E+04 ug/l	1.53E+04 lbs/day
Fluoranthene	4.79E+02 ug/l	1.96E+02 lbs/day
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether		
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether		
Bis(2-chloroisopropyl) ether	2.20E+05 ug/l	8.98E+04 lbs/day
Bis(2-chloroethoxy) methane		
Methylene chloride (HM)	2.07E+03 ug/l	8.46E+02 lbs/day
Methyl chloride (HM)		
Methyl bromide (HM)		
Bromoform (HM)	4.66E+02 ug/l	1.90E+02 lbs/day
Dichlorobromomethane(HM)	2.85E+01 ug/l	1.16E+01 lbs/day
Chlorodibromomethane (HM)	4.40E+01 ug/l	1.80E+01 lbs/day
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	2.20E+04 ug/l	8.98E+03 lbs/day
Isophorone	7.76E+02 ug/l	3.17E+02 lbs/day
Naphthalene		
Nitrobenzene	2.46E+03 ug/l	1.00E+03 lbs/day
2-Nitrophenol		
4-Nitrophenol		
2,4-Dinitrophenol	1.81E+04 ug/l	7.40E+03 lbs/day
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	9.89E+02 ug/l	4.04E+02 lbs/day
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	1.05E+01 ug/l	4.28E+00 lbs/day
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	2.07E+01 ug/l	8.46E+00 lbs/day
N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine	1.81E+00 ug/l	7.40E-01 lbs/day
Pentachlorophenol	1.06E+01 ug/l	4.33E+00 lbs/day
Phenol	5.95E+06 ug/l	2.43E+06 lbs/day
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	7.63E+00 ug/l	3.12E+00 lbs/day
Butyl benzyl phthalate	6.73E+03 ug/l	2.75E+03 lbs/day
Di-n-butyl phthalate	1.55E+04 ug/l	6.34E+03 lbs/day
Di-n-octyl phthlate		
Diethyl phthalate	1.55E+05 ug/l	6.34E+04 lbs/day
Dimethyl phthlate	3.75E+06 ug/l	1.53E+06 lbs/day
Benzo(a)anthracene (PAH)	4.01E-02 ug/l	1.64E-02 lbs/day
Benzo(a)pyrene (PAH)	4.01E-02 ug/l	1.64E-02 lbs/day
Benzo(b)fluoranthene (PAH)	4.01E-02 ug/l	1.64E-02 lbs/day
Benzo(k)fluoranthene (PAH)	4.01E-02 ug/l	1.64E-02 lbs/day
Chrysene (PAH)	4.01E-02 ug/l	1.64E-02 lbs/day
Acenaphthylene (PAH)		
Anthracene (PAH)		
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene (PAH)	4.01E-02 ug/l	1.64E-02 lbs/day
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (PAH)	4.01E-02 ug/l	1.64E-02 lbs/day

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah**

Pyrene (PAH)	1.42E+04 ug/l	5.81E+03 lbs/day
Tetrachloroethylene	1.15E+01 ug/l	4.70E+00 lbs/day
Toluene	2.59E+05 ug/l	1.06E+05 lbs/day
Trichloroethylene	1.05E+02 ug/l	4.28E+01 lbs/day
Vinyl chloride	6.79E+02 ug/l	2.77E+02 lbs/day

Pesticides

Aldrin	1.81E-04 ug/l	7.40E-05 lbs/day
Dieldrin	1.81E-04 ug/l	7.40E-05 lbs/day
Chlordane	7.63E-04 ug/l	3.12E-04 lbs/day
4,4'-DDT	7.63E-04 ug/l	3.12E-04 lbs/day
4,4'-DDE	7.63E-04 ug/l	3.12E-04 lbs/day
4,4'-DDD	1.09E-03 ug/l	4.44E-04 lbs/day
alpha-Endosulfan	2.59E+00 ug/l	1.06E+00 lbs/day
beta-Endosulfan	2.59E+00 ug/l	1.06E+00 lbs/day
Endosulfan sulfate	2.59E+00 ug/l	1.06E+00 lbs/day
Endrin	1.05E+00 ug/l	4.28E-01 lbs/day
Endrin aldehyde	1.05E+00 ug/l	4.28E-01 lbs/day
Heptachlor	2.72E-04 ug/l	1.11E-04 lbs/day
Heptachlor epoxide		

PCB's

PCB 1242 (Arochlor 1242)	5.82E-05 ug/l	2.38E-05 lbs/day
PCB-1254 (Arochlor 1254)	5.82E-05 ug/l	2.38E-05 lbs/day
PCB-1221 (Arochlor 1221)	5.82E-05 ug/l	2.38E-05 lbs/day
PCB-1232 (Arochlor 1232)	5.82E-05 ug/l	2.38E-05 lbs/day
PCB-1248 (Arochlor 1248)	5.82E-05 ug/l	2.38E-05 lbs/day
PCB-1260 (Arochlor 1260)	5.82E-05 ug/l	2.38E-05 lbs/day
PCB-1016 (Arochlor 1016)	5.82E-05 ug/l	2.38E-05 lbs/day

Pesticide

Toxaphene	9.70E-04 ug/l	3.96E-04 lbs/day
-----------	---------------	------------------

Metals

Antimony	ug/l	lbs/day
Arsenic	ug/l	lbs/day
Asbestos	ug/l	lbs/day
Beryllium		
Cadmium		
Chromium (III)		
Chromium (VI)		
Copper	ug/l	lbs/day
Cyanide	ug/l	lbs/day
Lead		
Mercury	ug/l	lbs/day
Nickel	ug/l	lbs/day
Selenium		
Silver		
Thallium	ug/l	lbs/day
Zinc		

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah**

Dioxin

Dioxin (2,3,7,8-TCDD)

1.81E-08 ug/l

7.40E-09 lbs/day

**Metals Effluent Limitations for Protection of All Beneficial Uses
Based upon Water Quality Standards and Toxics Rule**

	Class 4 Acute Agricultural ug/l	Class 3 Acute Aquatic Wildlife ug/l	Acute Toxics Drinking Water Source ug/l	Acute Toxics Wildlife ug/l	1C Acute Health Criteria ug/l	Acute Most Stringent ug/l	Class 3 Chronic Aquatic Wildlife ug/l
Aluminum		968.6				968.6	N/A
Antimony				5561.6		5561.6	
Arsenic	129.3	438.9				129.3	244.9
Barium							
Beryllium						0.0	
Cadmium	12.9	6.0				6.0	2.1
Chromium (III)		4938.4				4938.4	235.4
Chromium (VI)	128.7	19.5				19.53	13.06
Copper	258.3	77.4				77.4	47.5
Cyanide		28.5	284546.3			28.5	6.7
Iron		1282.3				1282.3	
Lead	129.3	339.0				129.3	13.2
Mercury		3.10		0.19		0.19	0.016
Nickel		1316.7		5949.6		1316.7	145.7
Selenium	64.5	25.7				25.7	5.8
Silver		23.6				23.6	
Thallium				8.1		8.1	
Zinc		332.6				332.6	332.6
Boron	948.5					948.5	
Sulfate	2586.8					2586.8	

Summary Effluent Limitations for Metals [Wasteload Allocation, TMDL]

[If Acute is more stringent than Chronic, then the Chronic takes on the Acute value.]

	WLA Acute ug/l	WLA Chronic ug/l	
Aluminum	968.6	N/A	
Antimony	5561.59		
Arsenic	129.3	244.9	Acute Controls
Asbestos			
Barium			
Beryllium			
Cadmium	6.0	2.1	
Chromium (III)	4938.4	235	
Chromium (VI)	19.5	13.1	
Copper	77.4	47.5	

**Utah Division of Water Quality
Salt Lake City, Utah**

Cyanide	28.5	6.7	
Iron	1282.3		
Lead	129.3	13.2	
Mercury	0.194	0.016	
Nickel	1316.7	146	
Selenium	25.7	5.8	
Silver	23.6	N/A	
Thallium	8.1		
Zinc	332.6	332.6	Acute Controls
Boron	948.45		
Sulfate	2586.8		N/A at this Waterbody

Other Effluent Limitations are based upon R317-1.

E. coli 126.0 organisms per 100 ml

X. Antidegradation Considerations

The Utah Antidegradation Policy allows for degradation of existing quality where it is determined that such lowering of water quality is necessary to accommodate important economic or social development in the area in which the waters are protected [R317-2-3]. It has been determined that certain chemical parameters introduced by this discharge will cause an increase of the concentration of said parameters in the receiving waters. Under no conditions will the increase in concentration be allowed to interfere with existing instream water uses.

An Antidegradation Level I Review was conducted on this discharge and its effect on the receiving water. Based upon that review, it has been determined that an Antidegradation Level II Review is not required. The proposed permit is a simple renewal, with no increase in flow or concentration over that which was approved in the existing permit.

XI. Colorado River Salinity Forum Considerations

Discharges in the Colorado River Basin are required to have their discharge at a TDS loading of less than 1.00 tons/day unless certain exemptions apply. Refer to the Forum's Guidelines for additional information allowing for an exceedence of this value.

XII. Summary Comments

The mathematical modeling and best professional judgement indicate that violations of receiving water beneficial uses with their associated water quality standards, including important downstream segments, will not occur for the evaluated parameters of concern as discussed above if the effluent limitations indicated above are met.

ATTACHMENT 3

Reasonable Potential Analysis

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

REASONABLE POTENTIAL ANALYSIS

Water Quality has worked to improve our reasonable potential analysis (RP) for the inclusion of limits for parameters in the permit by using an EPA provided model. As a result of the model, more parameters may be included in the renewal permit. A Copy of the Reasonable Potential Analysis Guidance (RP Guide) is available at water Quality. There are four outcomes for the RP Analysis⁶. They are;

- Outcome A: A new effluent limitation will be placed in the permit.
- Outcome B: No new effluent limitation. Routine monitoring requirements will be placed or increased from what they are in the permit,
- Outcome C: No new effluent limitation. Routine monitoring requirements maintained as they are in the permit,
- Outcome D: No limitation or routine monitoring requirements are in the permit.

Initial screening for metals values that were submitted through the discharge monitoring reports showed that a closer look at some of the metals is needed. A copy of the initial screening is included in the two “Effluent Metals and RP Screening Results” tables in this attachment. The initial screening check for metals showed that the full model needed to be run on aluminum, arsenic, cadmium, chromium, cyanide, copper, lead, mercury, molybdenum, nickel, selenium, silver, and zinc. The results discussed below will incorporate and combine data from both outfalls.

The RP model was run on arsenic, cadmium, chromium, cyanide, lead, molybdenum, nickel, selenium, silver, and zinc using the most recent data back through 2014. This resulted in 33 data points for each constitute. The results of the models are that there is not acute or chronic RP at 95% confidence or 99% confidence (Outcome C from Reasonable Potential Guide).

The RP model was run on copper and mercury using the most recent data back through 2014. This resulted in 30 data points for each constitute. The results of the models are that there is not acute or chronic RP at 95% confidence or 99% confidence (Outcome C from Reasonable Potential Guide).

The RP model was run on aluminum using the most recent data back through 2014. This resulted in 30 data points for each constitute. The results of the models are that there is acute or chronic RP at 95% confidence or 99% confidence (Outcome A from Reasonable Potential Guide).

A Summary of the RP Model inputs and outputs are included in the tables below.

⁶ See Reasonable Potential Analysis Guidance for definitions of terms

RP input/output summary

	Outfall 001		Outfall 002	
RP Procedure Output	Data Units: mg/L			
Parameter	Aluminum			
Distribution	Lognormal			
Reporting Limit	0.0010			
Significant Figures	2			
Maximum Reported Effluent Conc.	0.1		2.5	
Coefficient of Variation (CV)	0.31		0.85	
Acute Criterion	0.75		0.75	
Chronic Criterion	0.087		0.087	
Confidence Interval	95	99	95	99
Projected Maximum Effluent Conc. (MEC)	0.1600	0.2200	3.300	6.500
RP Multiplier	1.6	2.2	1.1	2.6
RP for Acute?	NO	NO	YES	YES
RP for Chronic?	NA	NA	NA	NA
Outcome	C		A	

	Outfall 001		Outfall 002	
RP Procedure Output	Data Units: mg/L			
Parameter	Arsenic			
Distribution	Lognormal			
Reporting Limit	0.0010			
Significant Figures	2			
Maximum Reported Effluent Conc.	0.0059		0.0058	
Coefficient of Variation (CV)	0.38		0.35	
Acute Criterion	0.34		0.34	
Chronic Criterion	0.19		0.19	
Confidence Interval	95	99	95	99
Projected Maximum Effluent Conc. (MEC)	0.0100	0.0150	0.0058	0.0090
RP Multiplier	1.8	2.6	1.1	1.6
RP for Acute?	NO	NO	NO	NO
RP for Chronic?	NO	NO	NO	NO
Outcome	C		C	

	Outfall 001		Outfall 002	
RP Procedure Output	Data Units: mg/L			
Parameter	Cadmium			
Distribution	Lognormal			
Reporting Limit	0.0010			
Significant Figures	2			
Maximum Reported Effluent Conc.	0.00020		0.0004	
Coefficient of Variation (CV)	--		0.13	

Acute Criterion	0.00466		0.00466	
Chronic Criterion	0.00164		0.00164	
Confidence Interval	95	99	95	99
Projected Maximum Effluent Conc. (MEC)	0.0002	0.0002	0.0004	0.0005
RP Multiplier	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.2
RP for Acute?	NO	NO	NO	NO
RP for Chronic?	NO	NO	NO	NO
Outcome	C		C	

	Outfall 001		Outfall 002	
RP Procedure Output	Data Units: mg/L			
Parameter	Chromium III			
Distribution	Lognormal			
Reporting Limit	0.0010			
Significant Figures	2			
Maximum Reported Effluent Conc.	0.0024		0.0034	
Coefficient of Variation (CV)	0.71		0.52	
Acute Criterion	0.0601		0.0601	
Chronic Criterion	3.81872		3.81872	
Confidence Interval	95	99	95	99
Projected Maximum Effluent Conc. (MEC)	0.0063	0.0120	0.0041	0.0064
RP Multiplier	2.6	5.2	1.2	1.9
RP for Acute?	NO	NO	NO	NO
RP for Chronic?	NO	NO	NO	NO
Outcome	C		C	

	Outfall 001		Outfall 002	
RP Procedure Output	Data Units: mg/L			
Parameter	Copper			
Distribution	Lognormal			
Reporting Limit	0.0010			
Significant Figures	2			
Maximum Reported Effluent Conc.	0.0072		0.0164	
Coefficient of Variation (CV)	0.40		0.28	
Acute Criterion	0.0601		0.0601	
Chronic Criterion	0.037		0.037	
Confidence Interval	95	99	95	99
Projected Maximum Effluent Conc. (MEC)	0.0180	0.0280	0.0180	0.0230
RP Multiplier	2.5	4.0	1.1	1.4
RP for Acute?	NO	NO	NO	NO
RP for Chronic?	NO	NO	NO	NO
Outcome	C		C	

	Outfall 001		Outfall 002	
RP Procedure Output	Data Units: mg/L			
Parameter	Cyanide			
Distribution	Lognormal			
Reporting Limit	0.0010			
Significant Figures	2			
Maximum Reported Effluent Conc.	0.003		0.02	
Coefficient of Variation (CV)	--		0.71	
Acute Criterion*	NA		NA	
Chronic Criterion*	NA		NA	
Confidence Interval	95	99	95	99
Projected Maximum Effluent Conc. (MEC)	0.0040	0.0048	0.0250	0.0460
RP Multiplier	1.3	1.6	1.3	2.3
RP for Acute?	NO	NO	NO	NO
RP for Chronic?	NO	NO	NO	NO
Outcome	C		C	

*Not included in WLA.

	Outfall 001		Outfall 002	
RP Procedure Output	Data Units: mg/L			
Parameter	Lead			
Distribution	Lognormal			
Reporting Limit	0.0010			
Significant Figures	2			
Maximum Reported Effluent Conc.	0.0005		0.0019	
Coefficient of Variation (CV)	--		0.26	
Acute Criterion	0.26212		0.26212	
Chronic Criterion	0.01021		0.01021	
Confidence Interval	95	99	95	99
Projected Maximum Effluent Conc. (MEC)	0.0005	0.0005	0.021	0.0026
RP Multiplier	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.4
RP for Acute?	NO	NO	NO	NO
RP for Chronic?	NO	NO	NO	NO
Outcome	C		C	

	Outfall 001		Outfall 002	
RP Procedure Output	Data Units: ug/L			
Parameter	Mercury			
Distribution	Lognormal			
Reporting Limit	0.0010			
Significant Figures	2			
Maximum Reported Effluent Conc.	0.0011		0.0048	
Coefficient of Variation (CV)	0.068		0.57	
Acute Criterion	2.40		2.40	
Chronic Criterion	0.0120		0.0120	
Confidence Interval	95	99	95	99

Projected Maximum Effluent Conc. (MEC)	0.0013	0.0014	0.0059	0.0096
RP Multiplier	1.2	1.3	1.2	2.0
RP for Acute?	NO	NO	NO	NO
RP for Chronic?	NO	NO	NO	NO
Outcome	C		C	

	Outfall 001		Outfall 002	
RP Procedure Output	Data Units: mg/L			
Parameter	Molybdenum			
Distribution	Lognormal			
Reporting Limit	0.0010			
Significant Figures	2			
Maximum Reported Effluent Conc.	0.0053		0.0194	
Coefficient of Variation (CV)	0.33		0.47	
Acute Criterion*	NA		NA	
Chronic Criterion*	NA		NA	
Confidence Interval	95	99	95	99
Projected Maximum Effluent Conc. (MEC)	0.0087	0.0120	0.0230	0.0350
RP Multiplier	1.6	2.3	1.2	1.8
RP for Acute?	NO	NO	NO	NO
RP for Chronic?	NO	NO	NO	NO
Outcome	C		C	

*Not included in WLA.

	Outfall 001		Outfall 002	
RP Procedure Output	Data Units: mg/L			
Parameter	Nickel			
Distribution	Lognormal			
Reporting Limit	0.0010			
Significant Figures	2			
Maximum Reported Effluent Conc.	0.0069		0.0101	
Coefficient of Variation (CV)	0.38		0.32	
Acute Criterion	1.01857		1.01857	
Chronic Criterion	0.11325		0.11325	
Confidence Interval	95	99	95	99
Projected Maximum Effluent Conc. (MEC)	0.0120	0.0180	0.0110	0.0150
RP Multiplier	1.7	2.6	1.1	1.5
RP for Acute?	NO	NO	NO	NO
RP for Chronic?	NO	NO	NO	NO
Outcome	C		C	

	Outfall 001	Outfall 002
RP Procedure Output	Data Units: mg/L	
Parameter	Selenium	

Distribution	Lognormal			
Reporting Limit	0.0010			
Significant Figures	2			
Maximum Reported Effluent Conc.	0.0017		0.0044	
Coefficient of Variation (CV)	0.16		0.33	
Acute Criterion	0.02		0.02	
Chronic Criterion	0.0046		0.0046	
Confidence Interval	95	99	95	99
Projected Maximum Effluent Conc. (MEC)	0.0022	0.0026	0.0050	0.0067
RP Multiplier	1.3	1.5	1.1	1.5
RP for Acute?	NO	NO	NO	NO
RP for Chronic?	NO	NO	NO	NO
Outcome	C		C	

	Outfall 001		Outfall 002	
RP Procedure Output	Data Units: mg/L			
Parameter	Silver			
Distribution	Lognormal			
Reporting Limit	0.0010			
Significant Figures	2			
Maximum Reported Effluent Conc.	0.0005		0.0010	
Coefficient of Variation (CV)	--		0.20	
Acute Criterion	0.0183		0.0183	
Chronic Criterion	NA		NA	
Confidence Interval	95	99	95	99
Projected Maximum Effluent Conc. (MEC)	0.0005	0.0005	0.0011	0.0013
RP Multiplier	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.3
RP for Acute?	NO	NO	NO	NO
RP for Chronic?	NO	NO	NO	NO
Outcome	C		C	

	Outfall 001		Outfall 002	
RP Procedure Output	Data Units: mg/L			
Parameter	Zinc			
Distribution	Lognormal			
Reporting Limit	0.0010			
Significant Figures	2			
Maximum Reported Effluent Conc.	0.04		0.11	
Coefficient of Variation (CV)	0.56		0.63	
Acute Criterion	0.26043		0.26043	
Chronic Criterion	0.26043		0.26043	
Confidence Interval	95	99	95	99
Projected Maximum Effluent Conc. (MEC)	0.0890	0.0890	0.1400	0.2300
RP Multiplier	2.2	2.2	1.2	2.1

RP for Acute?	NO	NO	NO	NO
RP for Chronic?	NO	NO	NO	NO
Outcome	C		C	

Metals Monitoring and RP Check

Effluent Outfall 001 (mg/L)													
Metal	Aluminum	Arsenic	Cadmium	Chromium	Copper	Cyanide	Lead	Mercury (ug/L)	Molybdenum	Nickel	Selenium	Silver	Zinc
ARP Val	0.75	0.34	0.00466	0.0601	0.0601	NA	0.26212	2.40	NA	1.01857	0.02	0.0183	0.26043
CRP Val	0.087	0.19	0.00164	3.81872	0.037	NA	0.01021	0.0120	NA	0.11325	0.0046	NA	0.26043
Metals, mg/L	0.05	0.0031	0.0002	0.0024	0.0072	0.002	0.0005	0.0011	0.0024	0.006	0.0017	0.0005	0.03
	0.06	0.0027	0.0002	0.0005	0.0042	0.002	0.0005	0.001	0.0053	0.0038	0.0014	0.0005	0.04
	0.1	0.0059	0.0002	0.0007		0.002	0.0005		0.0033	0.0041	0.0017	0.0005	0.02
	0.05	0.0046	0.0002	0.0006		0.003	0.0005		0.0031	0.0069	0.0017	0.0005	0.01
	0.05	0.0025	0.0002	0.0012		0.002	0.0005		0.0024	0.0028	0.0012	0.0005	0.02
Max	0.1	0.0059	0.00020	0.0024	0.0072	0.003	0.0005	0.0011	0.0053	0.0069	0.0017	0.0005	0.04
A RP?	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
C RP?	NA	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No

Effluent Outfall 002 (mg/L)													
Metal	Aluminum	Arsenic	Cadmium	Chromium	Copper	Cyanide	Lead	Mercury (ug/L)	Molybdenum	Nickel	Selenium	Silver	Zinc
ARP Val	0.75	0.34	0.00466	0.0601	0.0601	NA	0.26212	2.40	NA	1.01857	0.02	0.0183	0.26043
CRP Val	0.087	0.19	0.00164	3.81872	0.037	NA	0.01021	0.0120	NA	0.11325	0.0046	NA	0.26043
Metals, mg/L	0.05	0.0019	0.0002	0.0006	0.0068	0.002	0.0005	0.001	0.0024	0.0059	0.0013	0.0005	0.05
	0.05	0.0026	0.0002	0.0011	0.009	0.003	0.0019	0.0027	0.0034	0.0048	0.0026	0.0005	0.05
	0.05	0.0025	0.0002	0.0009	0.008	0.002	0.0005	0.0034	0.0039	0.0049	0.0021	0.0005	0.02
	0.05	0.0058	0.0002	0.0011	0.0164	0.002	0.0005	0.0048	0.003	0.0079	0.0018	0.0006	0.05
	0.05	0.0031	0.0002	0.0034	0.0075	0.002	0.0005	0.0017	0.0026	0.0062	0.0014	0.0005	0.03
	0.05	0.0026	0.0002	0.0011	0.0128	0.013	0.0005	0.0035	0.002	0.0073	0.0017	0.0005	0.03
	0.05	0.0023	0.0002	0.0007	0.0071	0.007	0.0005	0.0017	0.0025	0.0037	0.0017	0.0005	0.05
	0.05	0.0037	0.0002	0.0007	0.0076	0.002	0.0005	0.0012	0.0065	0.0067	0.0029	0.0005	0.07
	0.05	0.004	0.0004	0.001	0.0154	0.002	0.0005	0.0016	0.003	0.0058	0.0022	0.0008	0.06
	0.06	0.0027	0.0002	0.0005	0.0072	0.002	0.0005	0.0011	0.0053	0.0038	0.0014	0.0005	0.04
	0.05	0.0025	0.0002	0.0008	0.0103	0.003	0.0005	0.0028	0.0025	0.0048	0.0019	0.0005	0.04
	0.05	0.0025	0.0002	0.0007	0.0088	0.003	0.0005	0.0016	0.0025	0.0081	0.0015	0.0005	0.03
	0.05	0.0019	0.0002	0.0013	0.0095	0.002	0.0005	0.0018	0.0029	0.0073	0.0018	0.0005	0.04
	0.06	0.0047	0.0002	0.0008	0.0131	0.003	0.0005	0.003	0.005	0.0046	0.002	0.001	0.04

[illegible]